

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

```
. _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ X
VICTORIA JACKSON GRAY, : No. 02-cv-754-KLH-CKK-RJL
                       : No. 02-cv-781-KLH-CKK-RJL
ADAMS et al.,
                        : No. 02-cv-874-KLH-CKK-RJL
                       : No. 02-cv-875-KLH-CKK-RJL
        Plaintiffs,
                        : No. 02-cv-881-KLH-CKK-RJL
                        : No. 02-cv-877-KLH-CKK-RJL
       ν.
                        : No. 02-cv-582-KLH-CKK-RJL
                       : No. 02-cv-581-KLH-CKK-RJL
THE FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION et al.,
                       : No. 02-cv-633-KLH-CKK-RJL
                       : No. 02-cv-751-KLH-CKK-RJL
                       : No. 02-cv-753-KLH-CKK-RJL
        Defendants.
```

Washington, D.C.

Monday, September 30, 2002

Deposition of

JOHN L. OLIVER, III

a Rule 30(b)6 witness on behalf of Bush for
President, Inc., called for examination by counsel
for the Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice and
agreement of counsel, beginning at approximately
4:45 p.m., at the law offices of Covington &
Burling, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington,
D.C., before Lauri M. Ploch of Beta Reporting &
Videography Services, notary public in and for the
District of Columbia, when were present on behalf

	2
of the respective parties:	2
APPEARANCES:	
On behalf of Plaintiffs:	
JOHN C. BONIFAZ, ESQUIRE	
National Voting Rights Institute	
Boston, Massachusetts 02108	
Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, L.L.P.	
Washington, D.C. 20006-1228	
Ohio, Colorado and New Mexico:	
BENJAMIN L. GINSBERG, ESQUIRE MITCHELL R. BERGER, ESQUIRE	
Patton Boggs, L.L.P. 2550 M Street, N.W.	
Washington, D.C. 20037-1350 (202) 457-6000	
On behalf of The Attorney General of	
the United States:	
TERRY M. HENRY, ESQUIRE Federal Programs Branch	
Civil Division	
901 E Street, N.W., Room 942	
(202) 514-4107	
* * * *	
	of the respective parties:  APPEARANCES:  On behalf of Plaintiffs:  JOHN C. BONIFAZ, ESQUIRE LISA J. DANETZ, ESQUIRE National Voting Rights Institute One Bromfeld Street, Third Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 368-9100  On behalf of Defendant Intervenors:  MONICA P. MEDINA, ESQUIRE Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe, L.L.P. 1660 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006-1228 (202) 912-2000  On behalf of RNC and State Parties of Ohio, Colorado and New Mexico:  BENJAMIN L. GINSBERG, ESQUIRE MITCHELL R. BERGER, ESQUIRE Patton Boggs, L.L.P. 2550 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1350 (202) 457-6000  On behalf of The Attorney General of the United States:  TERRY M. HENRY, ESQUIRE Federal Programs Branch Civil Division United States Department of Justice 901 E Street, N.W., Room 942 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 514-4107

1	CONTENŢS	3	
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE	
3	Counsel for Defendant Intervenors	4	
4	Counsel for Plaintiffs	32	
5 6	* Proceedings transcribed form page 127 to page 149 designated CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY and bound separately per request.		
7 8	BFP DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:		
9	No. 1 - Notice of Substitute Deposition	33	
10	No. 2 - Adams Personal Information Form	3 9	1
11	No. 3 - Cook Personal Information Form	8 5	
12	No. 4 - January 4, 2000, Facsimile	108	
13	No. 5 - Updated List of Pioneers	126	
14	No. 6 - Invitation	132	
15	No. 7 - Updated Confirmed Meetings	143	
16	* * * *		
17			
18			
19			
20	·		
21			
22			

	4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Whereupon,
3	JOHN L. OLIVER, III
4	was called as a witness and, having been
5	first duly sworn, was examined and testified
6	as follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
8	INTERVENORS
9	BY MS. MEDINA:
10	Q Mr. Oliver, my name is Monica
11	Medina. I'm a lawyer with Heller Ehrman,
12	and I am one of the lawyers working for the
13	defendant intervenors in the case.
14	Do you know who those are?
15	A No, but that's okay. Who do you
16	represent?
17	Q I represent Senators McCain and
18	Feingold, Snowe, Jeffords, Congressman
19	Shays, the folks who were the main sponsors
20	of the Act.
21	When I say the word BICRA, do you
22	understand what that is?

A No, I don't.

Q That's the Bipartison Campaign Finance Reform Act that's the subject of this lawsuit.

A Okay.

Q Good. I'm glad we cleared that up. My purpose in talking with you today is about your role in the Bush for President campaign and Bush-Cheney 2000 campaigns.

Have I got the names of the campaigns correct?

A Bush for President, primary.
Bush-Cheney, general, yes.

Q Bush-Cheney. Okay, thank you. My questions have to do with the interactions between the Bush-Cheney and perhaps sometimes the Bush for President campaign and the RNC. So it may be that you are aware of those interactions -- it may not be -- given your job in the Bush for President and then Bush-Cheney campaign?

MR. BERGER: May I just respond to

that before you go further?

MS. MEDINA: Yes, of course.

MR. BERGER: I'm not aware of a cross notice for this deposition having been served by the defendants intervenors, and the subject as you've described it is

outside the scope of his 30(b)(6) notice.

MS. MEDINA: Well, I'm sorry if I didn't see the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. If you could explain to me how it would be outside the scope.

MR. BERGER: I haven't heard your question yet, but there's nothing in this notice that deals with the relationship between the RNC and any of the Bush campaigns.

MS. MEDINA: Well, as you know, the notices of these depositions have been going out late and they haven't been easy to keep up with, so perhaps I've got the wrong scope.

MR. BERGER: Well, unfortunately

mine are all marked up with my notes. I know you don't want to be reading my work product.

MR. BONIFAZ: We are getting copies.

MR. BERGER: The short answer is that it's unfortunately not my problem nor the witness's problem if you haven't seen the deposition notice or if your subjects are outside the scope. I've produced this witness as to the limited negotiated scopes of testimony and what you've described is outside the scope.

MS. MEDINA: Well, why don't we see if some of the questions will be within the scope.

MR. BERGER: Sure, I will be happy to take it on a question-by-question basis.

MS. DANETZ: I just found an unmarked deposition notice. This is the Schedule A. It's two pages.

MS. MEDINA: That will help me.

	1
1	8 So it only had to do with finances?
2	MS. DANETZ: Yes.
3	MS. MEDINA: Well, that will
4	shorten my questions.
5	BY MS. MEDINA:
6	Q Can you tell me whether the
7	Pioneers were given background information
8	about then Governor Bush?
9	A I'm sorry, what do you mean by
10	background?
11	Q Let me start again. Strike it.
12	Did the Bush-Cheney campaign meet
13	with the Pioneers from time to time?
1 4	A What do you mean by Bush-Cheney
15	campaign? In the general election?
16	Q Yes. In the general election, did
17	officials from the Bush-Cheney campaign meet
18	with the Pioneers as a group?
19	A I'm sorry, I'm trying to remember.
2 0	I don't remember a specific
21	instance but there may have been one.
2 2	Q Were the Pioneers given any

question. Biographical information or information that might help solicit the donations, that might help interest other individuals in giving the donations.

A I'm sure we had a bio on then Governor Bush that we made available if people had questions about it and then wanted copies of it.

Q Were there any other pieces of information or brochures?

MR. BERGER: Outside the scope of the notice, but if you can answer, go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm trying to understand what you mean by brochure.

## BY MS. MEDINA:

Q Let me go back. The Pioneers were asked to donate money themselves, yes?

A Sure.

Q In addition to donating money of their own, they solicited donations from other individuals, yes?

MR. BERGER: Object to the form.

2 | It's leading. It's a third-party witness.

MS. MEDINA: You are right.

BY MS. MEDINA:

Q Were the Pioneers ever asked or did they ever solicit contributions from other individuals?

A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q When they went about soliciting contributions from other individuals, what did the Bush champaign give them, the Pioneers, to assist them in soliciting information, or contributions, from other individuals?

A In terms of paper?

Q Sure. Paper or briefings in person, or telephone calls that might have --

A I'm sorry. We had a web site that had information on it for people to go to if they had questions. Then Governor had a lot of public appearances that was on national

television or state TV or newspaper articles. They were all publicly available for view.

Q What was on the web site, do you remember?

A I think there were -- to the best of my knowledge it was -- I think there were bios on the Governor and Mrs. Bush, and then all the press releases that we had sent out I think were on the web site. I also think that speeches that the Governor gave were also on the web site, but I can't be sure of that without reviewing it.

Q When the Bush campaign solicited donations from the Pioneers, did you use any printed brochures or other types of information?

A Well, what we had, if we were soliciting people to come to an event, there would have been an invitation for an event or a direct mail fund raising letter that would have been sent out.

		1.0
1	Q Who did the direct mail fund	13
2	raising letters for the Bush campaign?	
3	MR. BERGER: Objection, outside	
4	the scope of the notice, but go ahead and	
5	answer it, if you can.	
6	MS. MEDINA: The witness raised	
7	it.	
8	THE WITNESS: When you say "do,"	
9	what do you mean?	
10	BY MS. MEDINA:	
11	Q Which consultant or which vendor	
12	helped to produce the direct mail fund	
13	raising letters?	
14	A Olson and Delisi was the company.	
15	Q Did the Century Strategies assist	
16	at all in the direct mail?	
17	A I don't remember if they ever did	
18	a direct mail fund raising piece for us.	
19	They may have but I don't remember.	
20	Q Was Ralph Reed involved in any of	
21	the fund raising or direct mail pieces for	
22	the Pioneers?	
ļ		

MR. BERGER: At this point I'm going to object. This is outside the scope of the notice. Your colleague representing the defendants intervenors had the opportunity to examine Mr. Oliver individually at length this morning. I assume there's some level of coordination between you. If you had questions that you wanted to ask of him in his individual capacity, you should have had your colleagues ask those questions. So I would suggest we move on to another subject.

MS. MEDINA: Well, I guess I thought I was here to have the opportunity to depose a 30(b)(6) witness from the Bush champaign, Bush-Cheney campaign. I wasn't aware that in his individual capacity Mr. Oliver wouldn't be able to answer those questions, because I understood him to be here representing himself in his current capacity as deputy director or deputy chair -- I'm sorry if I've got the title

wrong -- of the RNC.

MR. BERGER: There's obviously some miscommunication, but it's not on our part. He is not here for all the purposes as a 30(b)(6) representative of either the primary campaign, Bush for President, Inc., or the general campaign, Bush-Cheney 2000.

He is here for very limited purposes. Your questions are outside that scope. However, we could have avoided that problem had defendants intervenor asked him that question in his individual capacity. That deposition is closed, because your colleagues, representing the same clients, asked their questions and rested, so we are done with that.

The man has been here since 8:00 this morning, so let's move on to subjects that are within the scope of the notice.

MS. MEDINA: I believe we are asking about how the Pioneers solicited funds from their donors. We started down

the road of direct mail, which was one way in which they solicited funds from their donors, and now I'm asking about vendors, direct mail vendors, who might have been involved in preparing the letters, the direct mail fund raising letters, that were used to solicit the Pioneers and other donors.

MR. BERGER: I don't see how it's within the scope of the notice. If you can tell me, I'm happy to reconsider.

MS. MEDINA: Well, can I look at the notice again? Thank you. It's about communications between Bush-Cheney and the Pioneers or between the Bush campaign and the Pioneers. This witness just testified that Bush-Cheney didn't communicate with the Pioneers because they couldn't.

MR. BERGER: Right. I agree with that part. He certainly said that. Your question was about Ralph Reed. I don't hear anything about communications between either

	1.0
1	of the campaigns and the Pioneers when you
2	ask a question about Ralph Reed.
3	MS. MEDINA: If Mr. Reed was the
4	author of any of the communications between
5	the campaign and the Pioneers.
6	MR. BERGER: I see, your question
7	lacks foundation. You haven't established
8	that Mr. Reed had anything to do with the
9	campaign.
10	MS. MEDINA: That's what I'm
11	trying to do, establish whether he might
12	have, whether or not he did, and if he did,
13	I'll ask the next question. I can't ask
14	that question until I have the foundation,
15	and that's why I'm asking the question.
16	MR. BERGER: Ask the question.
17	MS. MEDINA: Can we go back to see
18	what the question is? I think the witness
19	and I both can't remember it.
20	(The reporter read the record as
21	requested.)

THE WITNESS: I don't think Ralph

18 Reed himself was involved -- his company was 1 involved. Ralph Reed was involved because 2 he was a Pioneer, but I don't think he 3 produced letters that were used on a broad 4 base for the Pioneer solicitations. 5 BY MS. MEDINA: 6 7 To your knowledge, was the Christian Coalition involved in assisting 8 the Bush champaign in soliciting funds from 9 the Pioneers? 10 11 Not to my knowledge. Was former First Lady Barbara Bush 12 involved in any solicitations of the 13 Pioneers? 14 MR. BERGER: As an agent of the 15 16 campaign? MS. MEDINA: As an agent of the 17 campaign. 18 THE WITNESS: I think she may have 19 signed a direct mail piece that went out to 20 21 the entire list but it wasn't Pioneer

specific.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

20

21

22

- Q It wasn't Pioneer specific. Was the current First Lady, Laura Bush, ever involved in fund raising to the Pioneers or for the Pioneers?
- A The First Lady of Texas at the time also signed a piece of direct mail solicitation that went to the entire file but it was not Pioneer specific.
- Q So the Pioneers received direct
  mail solicitations --
  - MR. BERGER: Let her finish the question.

## BY MS. MEDINA:

- Q No, go ahead. Did the Pioneers receive direct mail solicitations?
- 17 A I don't know. If they had given
  18 the maximum by law, they probably were taken
  19 off the list that we were soliciting.
  - Q Once they became Pioneers, did the Pioneers receive regular e-mails or direct mail letters updating them on the campaign,

2	1	

Q Did these Pioneers who raised this money receive any other special benefits for being a Pioneer?

MR. BERGER: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: Can you say that again, please?

BY MS. MEDINA:

You may answer.

Q Did the Pioneers receive any other special benefits because they were Pioneers?

MR. BERGER: Mischaracterizes his testimony to the extent you are suggesting --

MS. MEDINA: Any benefits.

MR. BERGER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: They had

opportunities to have their picture taken at events when we were in various cities that they were involved in. As I testified, there were instances where we had a special

event, at the convention.

BY MS. MEDINA:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 1 about target or battleground states? 2 Sure. 3 Did you give them information about the upcoming messages or events that 5 the Governor, then Governor, would be 6 attending? You mean like did we give them 7 Α where the scheduled fund raisers were? 8 9 Q Yes, or speeches, or rallies. 10 Α Sure. 11 Did you give them information that you didn't make available to the general 12 13 public? 14 I'm sure there's instances where 15 we shared polling information that was not 16 publicly available. 17 At that time? 18 At that time. 19 Did you give them information 20 about where you were concerned about then Governor Bush's ability to do well in the 21

primaries?

MR. BERGER: Objection, lacks 1 foundation, but you may answer. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't 3 understand what you mean by that, "do well." 4 BY MS. MEDINA: 5 Did you give them information 6 about primaries where you thought Governor 7 Bush might not win? 8 9 A That information was publicly available. There were national polls and 10 news coverage that kind of laid that out for 11 12 people. 13 I understand that they may have learned it from other places, but did you 14 talk about it with them? 15 Did we talk to them about how we 16 17 were ahead in the campaign? 18 Yes. 19 Yes. 20 Places where Governor Bush might be trailing at the time? 21 22 I cannot say that we did not have

that conversation at some point in time, or
we indicated where we were behind and when
we were ahead.

Q Let me turn your attention -- may

Q Let me turn your attention -- may I see the list again? One second.

Can you tell me whether or not you personally had any discussions with one of the Pioneers named Charles Wyly?

- A Charles? Discussions about what?
- Q Did you talk with him?
- A There were instances that I've talked to Charlie, Charlie Wyly, yes.
- Q Did you talk with him during the campaign? You may have talked with him since then, but I'm really talking about during the campaign.
- A I'm sure there were events that he was at that I was at that I may have said hello to him. I don't remember a substantive conversation with Charles Wyly.
- Q Did he have regular contact with a campaign that you know of?

MR. BERGER: Objection, ambiguous, 1 2 but you may answer. 3 BY MS. MEDINA: Q Did he talk to the campaign on a 5 monthly basis? 6 He may have. I would be the 7 person he would talk to, and I don't 8 remember having a monthly conversation with 9 him. 10 Would you be the only person that he might talk to? 11 I don't know whom else -- I mean, 12 13 I'm sure he had the ability to talk to other people, but I would be the likely person 14 15 that he would have called. 16 Could he have talked to other 17 people on your staff? 18 Α Yes. 19 Why would you be the likely person 20 that he would have had contact with? Because I was the national finance 21 22 director and he was helping us to raise

27 1 resources. 2 Do you recall any specific time 3 periods where you talked to him? 4 I remember talking to him at the kickoff. 5 6 When was that? 7 The 7th -- I'm trying to remember. 8 The 7th of -- I think was at the 7th of March event. He may not have been. I 9 remember talking to him early because we 10 were geared up, ready to roll. 11 12 What kind of things did he want to talk about? 13 14 MR. BERGER: Objection. That's 15 outside the scope. There are two subjects 16 here under communications. One is 17 contributions and the other is policy. BY MS. MEDINA: 18 19 Did he want to talk about policy? Q 20 Not with me. Not with me. Α 21 He didn't talk about policy with 0

you?

1	A No.	28
2	Q Might he have talked about police	112
		. У
3	with anyone else within the campaign?	
4	MR. BERGER: Objection, calls fo	r
,5	speculation.	
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know the	·
7	answer.	
8	BY MS. MEDINA:	
9	Q Are you familiar with the group	
10	Republicans for Clean Air?	
11	MR. BERGER: Objection. It's	
12	outside the scope of the notice, but you m	ay
13	answer.	
14	THE WITNESS: I'm not I don't	
15	have a specific memory about that group.	
16	BY MS. MEDINA:	
17	Q How did you meet Mr. Wyly?	
18	A I think I met him at an event in	
19	Dallas.	
20	Q What do you know about his	
21	background?	
22	A He was in support of Governor Bu	sh

1	in his campaigns for Governor.
2	Q Did you know anything else about
3	his background? Do you now know?
4	A Yeah, I do. I by now know
5	Q You can't remember what you knew
6	then?
7	A I don't know what I knew then. I
8	knew he was a former supporter of the
9	Governor, but I didn't know exactly what
10	business specifically he was in.
11	Q Can you tell me what you know
12	about his background?
13	A That he was a supporter of the
14	Governor's, when the Governor ran for
15	governor.
16	Q Do you know what business he was
17	in?
18	A I think he was in the energy
19	business, but I'm not positive about that.
20	Q So you definitely remember having
21	contact with him in March of 1996?
22	A March or April, yeah, in that time

- 1 period.
- Q What was that contact, do you 2
- remember? 3
- Just I think I called him and 4
- introduced myself. 5
- MR. BERGER: You said '96. 6
- MS. MEDINA: I mean 2000. I'm 7
- 8 sorry.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Ninety-nine, it was
- 10 in '99.
- 11 BY MS. MEDINA:
- Q Oh, I see, March of '99. 12
- Uh-huh. 13
- Then how often might you have 14
- talked to him after that? 15
- A Infrequently, maybe a couple more 16
- times throughout the campaign, if I saw him 17
- at an event. 18
- 19 Q He was one of the earlier
- Pioneers? 20
- A I don't remember whether he became 21
- 22 a Pioneer. He was a long-time supporter of

the Governor's. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

Q I think you said before you remembered talking with him early on?

Α I did remember talking with him early on, but I don't know when he achieved Pioneer status, which is what you just asked me.

Q I see.

9 MR. BERGER: You are getting good 10 at this.

MS. MEDINA: Who is, he or me?

MR. BERGER: He has got the 12

13 objections down. He has been doing this all

14 day. I'm going to leave now.

15 THE WITNESS: I'm going to fall

16 asleep now.

17 MS. MEDINA: I think I'm done, actually. Because of the scope, I don't 18

19 think I can ask any of my other questions,

20 so I will conclude with that.

21 MR. BONIFAZ: Can we take a

22 one-minute break and I can explain what is happening here?

2 (Recess)

EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q Sir, just to start things off, can you please state your full name for the record?

A John Leachman Oliver, III.

MR. BONIFAZ: For the purpose of this transcript, Mr. Oliver, my name is John Bonifaz. I'm the director of the National Voting Rights Institute based in Boston.

Lisa Danetz is an attorney at the Institute, and together we are going to be asking you questions at this deposition.

We are co-counsel for the Adams plaintiffs, which is the case Adams, et al., v FEC, et al., which is the case challenging the increase in the hard money limits, one of the 11 consolidated cases challenging certain provisions of the Bipartison Campaign Reform Act.

33 1 (BFP Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.) 2 3 BY MR. BONIFAZ: You have in front of you what has 4 Q 5 been marked BFP Exhibit No. 1. This is an 6 exhibit to highlight the terms of this 7 deposition. This is a Notice of Substitute 8 Deposition under Rules 30(b)(6) and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 9 10 I would like to turn your 11 attention to page three, Schedule A, which 12 identifies the subject matters of this 13 deposition. 14 First, are you aware of what 15 a 30(b)(6) deposition is? 16 Α Yes. 17 What is your understanding of what 18 it is? 19 Α I don't know the legal 20 terminology, but that I am testifying on 21 behalf of the campaign. 22 You are here to testify on both

the behalf of the Bush for President, Inc. 1 2 campaign and Bush-Cheney 2000; is that 3 correct? Α That's my understanding, that is 4 5 correct. Is that right? 6 7 MR. BERGER: That's correct. BY MR. BONIFAZ: 8 9 You are here to testify on these 10 subject matters under Schedule A with the 11 understanding that Point No. 8 is in 12 contention. Is that also your understanding? 13 14 MR. BERGER: I don't know if the 15 witness has been briefed on all of the back 16 and forth of the lawyers' bickering, for lack of a better word. 17 18 MR. BONIFAZ: I thought it was

MR. BERGER: It was, and consistent with my friendly communication with you, you took my suggestion and asked

quite friendly.

19

20

21

him in his individual capacity a number of questions, all of which would fit within that category, so I see we are proceeding as predicted.

## BY MR. BONIFAZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

- Q What I'm trying to establish is whether you, Mr. Oliver, are aware that you are here to testify on these subject matters listed on Schedule A of this deposition notice.
- A You mean me as an individual or me as a 30(b)(6)?
  - Q 30(b)(6) deponent.
- 14 A Yes, I'm aware of this.
- Q What have you done to prepare
  yourself to testify on these subject matters
  today?
- 18 A I have talked with my counsel
  19 about it in preparation for it.
  - Q Have you done any separate investigation, research, to refresh your recollection and be able to be prepared to

answer questions on these subject areas?

A I've reviewed the documents that my lawyers provided to you.

Q Have you done anything else?

MR. BERGER: Well, without getting

into the content of his communications with

7 lawyers, I'm happy to make the

8 representation on the record that we are

9 perfectly well aware of what 30(b)(6)

requires. This is a campaign that has not

11 been in active existence for nearly two

12 years, but yes, we have reached out to

people to the extent they can be found who

worked with him and for him, and we have

posed questions where they were not within

16 his individual knowledge.

MR. BONIFAZ: So just to be clear

here, when you say "we," that includes

19 Mr. Oliver?

2

3

4

5

MR. BERGER: Well, either

21 Mr. Oliver or people working with him. For

22 | example, in preparing him, if he had

38 move to strike everything that has been 1 2 asked? MS. DANETZ: Are you going to make 3 that objection? MR. BERGER: I don't think so, no. 5 MS. DANETZ: If you are going to 6 make that objection, then I will let John 7 continue. 8 For ease of reference I'm going to 9 10 just hand everybody a stack of the documents 11 that have been produced. That way I don't 12 have to fish through as I mark an exhibit. 13 I will also let you know the Bates number. 14 Also, for purposes of the record, 15 many of the documents that were produced 16 were labeled confidential, counsel only, and 17 should be so designated in the transcript. 18 I will, of course, let you know as I mark each one. 19 20 MR. BERGER: We are going to hand 21 you one. You can look at them now.

THE WITNESS: I didn't know what

22 .

	40
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	MR. BERGER: Seven through 299, if
3	I remember her correctly.
4	MS. DANETZ: Yes.
5	MR. BERGER: Which is more of
6	same.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	MS. DANETZ: I can represent
9	that 491 is a similar looking form with
10	different information.
11	MR. BERGER: Is there anything
12	more after 491?
13	MS. DANETZ: No, not for this set
14	of questions.
15	BY MS. DANETZ:
16	Q Can you tell me what the form that
17	I have now marked as BFP Exhibit 2 is?
18	A This is a solicitor tracking form.
19	Q What does that mean?
20	A It's a solicitor tracking form
21	that was given to an individual when they
22	signed up to be an authorized agent of the

l	l c	a	mp	а	i	a	n	
-		~		~	_	J		٠

- Q It was given by Bush for President?
  - A This individual form, yes. I mean, yes, it was given by Bush or President or Governor George W. Bush Presidential Exploratory Committee.
    - Q It says George W. Bush
      Presidential Exploratory Committee at the top. Is that accurate?
    - A Yes, yes, it does.
  - Q Now, once the Exploratory

    Committee, for lack of a better phrase,

    segued into Bush for President, did Bush for

    President continue to use this same form?

A I think that this -- the form -there was a form that was used that was this
form. I'm not sure if it was this one or a
different variation of the form, but there
was a form that was used for solicitor
tracking numbers that would have contained
this information.

Q It would have been substantially similar information?

A Yes.

2.0

Q I don't want to mischaracterize your testimony, but I'm just trying to remember the answer you gave earlier where you said this was a form that people filled out when they signed up with the Pioneer program; is that correct?

No, it's not correct?

A This is a form that was used when people signed up to be agents of the campaign and raise money on behalf of the campaign.

Q So anybody who wanted to raise money on behalf of the campaign would fill out a form like this; is that true?

A Yes. Not anybody. Yes, people that had called and said we want to raise money for the campaign, we used this form to sign them up to be agents of the campaign, that's correct.

Q So I just want to make sure I'm understanding. So did all agents of the campaign then fill out one of these forms?

A To the best of my knowledge, everybody that we signed up to be an agent filled out one of these forms, but I don't know the answer to whether every single person who raised money for the campaign filed one.

- Q But that was the practice?
- A Yes, that was the practice.
- Q Could you read for me what this
  form says in the top right-hand corner?

MR. BERGER: In the spirit of moving this along, there's really no point in having the witness read you things we can all agree on. You are asking him about the solicitor tracking number?

MS. DANETZ: Yes.

MR. BERGER: What would you like to know about the solicitor tracking number.

BY MS. DANETZ:

Q In your personal deposition -- and again, I'm not meaning to mischaracterize your testimony at all, so feel free to correct me if I do so mischaracterize it -- but in your personal deposition you testified about tracking numbers that were assigned to people in the Pioneer program; is that true?

A I testified that there were tracking numbers assigned to people who wanted to become authorized agents of the campaign and help the campaign. Some of those people went on to be Pioneers, yes.

Q Is this an example of one of those tracking numbers?

A This is an example of a tracking number that was assigned to an individual, yes.

Q In the documents that I have identified for reference, which again are BFP 4, BFP 7 through 299, and BFP 491, are the numbers in the upper right-hand corner

of those documents also the tracking numbers that you have been referring to?

1.8

A They should be, but unless I look at each one of them, I don't know the answer. I think so.

MR. BERGER: In the spirit

of 30(b)(6) -- and the witness can correct

me if I've got this wrong -- what we

produced to you were the tracking forms

containing solicitor identification numbers

that were assigned to people who went on to

become Pioneers, so it is a subset of all of

the solicitor tracking forms signed by

authorized agents of the campaign, this

subset relating to Pioneers.

MS. DANETZ: Okay, great.

THE WITNESS: That's right. He said it much more eloquently than I could.

MR. BERGER: If you want collective information, we are here to give you collective information.

MS. DANETZ: I have to say I am

happy to have collective information as long as you are representing it's from Bush for President or Bush-Cheney 2000.

MR. BERGER: We are going to do our level best to make sure you get that information, whether it's from him or from me.

(Discussion off the record)
BY MS. DANETZ:

Q Once somebody was an authorized agent of the campaign and had a number, did they indicate in some way that donations were the result of their fund raising efforts to the campaign?

A What do you mean by indicate?

Q In other words -- and I'll just, to move this along -- press reports have indicated that if somebody raised money and they wanted attribution to their tracking number that they would write the tracking number on the check that they had solicited. Is that accurate?

A Oh, I'm sure there are occasions where individuals indicated on the response device cards.

Q I'm sorry, the --

1.3

A A card. The federal law requires you to -- I don't know if you have one of those or not. I don't know if there's one in existence.

The Federal Election Law requires you to get the following information when someone gives more than \$200. Employer information. The wording -- and don't quote me on this -- but I think it's best estimates -- best efforts to get that information.

- O What was that card called?
- A It's a response device.
- Q Response device?

A Uh-huh. If you are coming to a state, you've got to mail in a card and tell them you are coming. It's called a response device.

	(	<del>1</del> 8
1	Q So I guess I don't understand.	• •
2	How do tracking numbers relate to the	
3	response device card?	
4	A There was a place on the response	
5	device where you could put in a tracking	
6	number.	
7	Q So if an authorized agent I	
8	guess I'm curious. Why would something sent	
9	out from an authorized agent result in a	
10	response device card coming back to the	
11	campaign?	
12	A Well, if you send out a letter	
13	let's say someone sent out a letter, okay?	
14	On behalf of the campaign. Then they would	
15	include in that response device they	
16	would potentially include the response	
17	device and an envelope for it to be sent	
18	back by Bush for President.	
19	Q When you say someone sent out a	
20	letter, you mean an agent of the campaign	
21	sent out a letter?	
i	(	

A Uh-huh.

- Q To a bunch of people? To who?
- A To whomever he or she were soliciting contributions from.
  - Q So an authorized agent of the campaign would sent out -- can I call it a solicitation?
    - A Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q So an authorized agent would send out a solicitation to people who they were trying to fund raise from, on behalf of Bush for President; is that accurate?
- A Yes.
- Q Then included in that letter would be this response device card that you were talking about?
- 16 A Sure.
  - Whoever was being solicited, would they indicate, you know, my tracking number is X? How did the person who was the target of the solicitation know a particular tracking number to write on the response device card?

A I don't know how they would know.

I mean, the response device card may -somebody may have filled it in before they
sent in the little the space that said
tracking number, or they could have
encouraged people in the body of the letter
to put a tracking number on it.

Q Was it a common practice for the agents to write tracking numbers on the response device card?

A I don't know. I don't know if it was a common practice. I'm sure it occurred.

Q Well, by the definition of the word agent -- I'm not trying to trick you,
I'm really trying to understand here -- if they were an agent of the campaign, they are doing it on behalf of the campaign?

A Right.

Q Is that true? You are here today to testify on behalf of the campaign; is that true?

A That's correct.

Q So what I'm trying to understand is, was it a regular practice of the campaign through the particular agents to write a particular tracking number on the response device card that would then be sent back in?

A I think there are people that were authorized agents that did that, yes. I don't know if it was a regular practice of the campaign. It was probably more a practice of the agent, he or she themselves.

Q Do you know, in your capacity as a representative of Bush for President, do you know of other ways that agents conveyed or told the people they were soliciting, this is the tracking number, and I would like you to write it either on your response device card or somewhere else to let the campaign know?

A Sure. People put that in letters as well.

Q I see. So if you know, what would be an example of something that someone would write in a letter?

A You are asking me for speculation.

You could put, please put my personal tracking number, you know, 1234, on your checks, as I'm trying to become a Pioneer.

Q Now, when the campaign received checks and/or response device cards, and they had tracking numbers on it, what did they do with that information?

A I think it was -- when the checks came in from individuals, they usually came in to -- they would come in to one of the staff, and they would be tracked. There would be a computer indication, an entry into a computer, that they had received a contribution on behalf -- they had received a contribution with that tracking number on it.

Q I see, so was there a spreadsheet of some sort maintained?

A We have produced for you -- the lawyers have produced for you our information about how much people's tracking numbers had by them.

I'm happy to have that document. I don't mean to be glib, but what I'm trying to determine is, if during the campaign there was a spreadsheet maintained that had a tracking number, perhaps other information, and also was used to track the contributions that came in for that particular tracking number.

A Oh, I think there probably -yeah, I don't know if it's a spreadsheet,
but I think people kept lists, sure.

Q So I'm trying to understand, how was that information maintained? Who kept those lists?

MR. BERGER: I can help with part of that, because there may be come confusion. The spreadsheet that we produced

to you was merged in a document which we created, which was the identity of the Pioneer with the historical spreadsheet maintained by the campaign.

That's where the column in the information that says contributions as of March 31st comes from. That was a contemporaneous document prepared by the campaign, and we merged them for you so that you would have that.

I think the remaining part of your question is, who was responsible within the campaign for maintaining that spreadsheet?

THE WITNESS: Is that right?

MS. DANETZ: Yes.

THE WITNESS: The spreadsheet was kept by -- the regional people kept them for individual people in the regions.

BY MS. DANETZ:

Q So again, I'm going to refer back to your individual testimony, and tell me and/or correct me if I'm mischaracterizing

	5 5
1	it, but I believe you stated that there were
2	four regional people who worked for the
3	campaign; is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q I believe you identified them in
6	your personal deposition; is that correct?
7	A That's correct.
8	MR. BERGER: Have their names
9	changed in the last hour?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	BY MS. DANETZ:
12	Q They were, just for making it
13	clear
14	MR. BERGER: Beth Sturgeon?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	MR. BERGER: Travis Thomas?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	MR. BONIFAZ: Mary Kay Kelly, now
19	Johnson?
20	THE WITNESS: Kelly, now Johnson.
21	MR. BERGER: Heather Larison?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.

# BY MS. DANETZ:

Q So those were the four regional people that would have maintained spreadsheets for agents from their particular region?

A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q This might go back to my confusion, so feel free to jump in if necessary.

Was there a form of how the spreadsheet was maintained? In other words, did they all maintain the same information within their respective spreadsheets?

A I don't know what particulars they kept within their individual spreadsheets.

A lot of people kept their own list, too. A lot of the individual people who wanted to be Pioneers kept a list, too.

- Q I want to go to Exhibit 2 again.
- 20 A This one?
- 21 Q Yes.
- 22 A Okay.

Q There's a lot of information asked for in this document, and if you notice about halfway down, the form asks for the industry; is that correct?

A That's correct.

2.0

Q Could you tell me why the Bush
Exploratory Committee and also the Bush for
President, Inc. asked what industry the
particular agent was affiliated with?

A For purposes of probably knowledge of what industry they were involved in.

Q Well, right, but I mean, I'm assuming that the campaign didn't ask for extraneous information, so I'm just wondering what particular interest the campaign had in the industry.

So for example, just by way of explanation, I can understand why it's of interest to the campaign that in this case -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- Peter Adams pledged to try to raise \$100,000 by September 1st, because the campaign was

engaged in fund raising and presumably
wanted the money early.

Have I stated anything that seems untrue so far?

MR. BERGER: There's a lot in that question, so rather than arguing over the form, are you focused on the September 1st date, the employer/occupation information or the amount? Because you mentioned all three of those in your question.

### BY MS. DANETZ:

Q I'll go through it step by step because I think it will be easier, with the ultimate goal being my trying to understand why a campaign wants to know the industry that an agent is involved in, okay?

I understand why you need to know the person's name, because you need to know who is raising money for you. I understand why you need to know the employer and the occupation, because I believe you said that that is required in the best efforts. Is

that true when you were talking about the response device card?

A The Federal Election Law requires, you know, if someone is going to donate any amount over \$200, you are required to make a best efforts to go and find their employer and occupation, that's correct.

Q So I understand why you would ask that because it seems like that's going toward those best efforts.

Since the campaign was fund raising, I can understand why you would want to know that they pledged to raise \$100,000. Again, correct me if I'm wrong in why you need to know this, but it seems to me that a campaign wants to be able to project how much money they have.

Is that kind of why you want to know how much this person is pledging to raise?

A You want to know how much money the person is pledging to raise so you can

try to figure out what kind of resource potential is out there.

Q I see. Does asking them to pledge a certain amount also encourage them to actually go out and raise that amount as opposed to a lesser amount? I'm asking. It could be that you don't know.

MR. BERGER: The premise of your question was I think you said asking them to pledge a certain amount. I don't think he ever said they asked them to pledge a certain amount. It says "I agree to pledge" but I don't think that he ever said the campaign asked them to pledge a certain amount.

# BY MS. DANETZ:

Q I'm sorry, I'll rephrase that.

In filling out this form, the person, we will say, is requested by the form to indicate how much money they are pledging to raise, okay? I'm trying to get at what purpose does that serve.

MR. BERGER: I think you answered that, but if there's anything you have to add to your answer. Resource potential?

THE WITNESS: Trying to find out what the resource potential is.

# BY MS. DANETZ:

- Q Going to this September 1st date, does it help the campaign to know a date by which an agent is going to try to raise a certain amount?
  - A Sure.

- Q How does it help?
- A Gives you a sense of the kind of budget, you know, when they think they will accomplish what they are trying to accomplish.
  - Q Then again, the last line that's filled out is signature. Does the campaign seek that information through the form to verify that this person is in fact pledging this amount? What is the purpose of the signature?

	~ ~
A I don't know what the purpose of	62
the signature was. I don't know what the	
purpose of the signature was.	
Q Does the signature indicate that	
in this case Peter Adams is actually almost	
signing off, if you will, that he will	·
pledge to raise \$100,000?	
MR. BERGER: You mean is it	
contractual?	
MS. DANETZ: No, I just	
MR. BERGER: Let's make this	:
easier.	i
THE WITNESS: I have no earthly	
idea.	
MS. DANETZ: I'll move on because	
it's really not that important.	
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	
BY MS. DANETZ:	
Q To go back to the line that says	
my industry is blank, I'm trying to	
understand, because I haven't worked in a	
political campaign and you are the	
	the signature was. I don't know what the purpose of the signature was.  Q Does the signature indicate that in this case Peter Adams is actually almost signing off, if you will, that he will pledge to raise \$100,000?  MR. BERGER: You mean is it contractual?  MS. DANETZ: No, I just  MR. BERGER: Let's make this easier.  THE WITNESS: I have no earthly idea.  MS. DANETZ: I'll move on because it's really not that important.  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.  BY MS. DANETZ:  Q To go back to the line that says my industry is blank, I'm trying to understand, because I haven't worked in a

representative of a political campaign and individually have worked on political campaigns.

Of what use is it to the campaign to have the person's industry identified?

A We were trying to reach out to as many people in as many different facets of life as possible, so I think that's why we asked the question.

Q So did the campaign look to this information as an indication of how well it was doing outreach in particular industries?

A The campaign took this information and had it set up system so that we knew what Peter Adams was doing, and this individual, as solicitor tracking No. 7612. That was the purpose of the information.

Q I'm sorry, I don't want to mischaracterize again, so you put the industry information into some form of computerized filing? Could you just explain what you are talking about?

A Peter Adams -- I didn't keep this list, as I said before, but I think Peter Adams would have been listed with his tracking number of 7612. This would have been filed at the campaign.

Q Sorry, the form would have been filed or --

A The form would have been filed.

That's where these come from.

MR. BERGER: The question was how, if at all, did the Bush for President campaign use the industry information shown on forms like Exhibit 2?

MS. DANETZ: Yes, that's exactly what I'm trying to find out. Thank you.

MR. BERGER: Can you help her?

THE WITNESS: The purpose of figuring that out was to try to figure out where we had a lot of people helping us and where we didn't. We wanted to reach out to as many different groups as possible in

different varieties, in different walks of

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

life. So I think that was the purpose of and the usefulness of that.

#### BY MS. DANETZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q So was there somebody who or did the campaign keep track of, we have people from this industry, we have people from this industry? Was that something the campaign kept track of?

We kept track of individuals in their functions as individuals. I'm sure there were people -- I'm sure that we noticed whether or not there were people involved in different industries in fund raising effort.

Was there an attempt to get people from particular industries involved in the campaign?

We wanted to reach out as broad as humanly possible, to touch as many different segments of America as we could.

So can I take that, then, to mean -- and again, I know you will correct me if I'm wrong -- that you sought to get people from every industry involved because that would be like the broadest brush?

A We tried to get people involved in a variety of -- in every geographic group possible. We were trying to get as many people involved in the campaign as humanly possible.

Q I'm still not getting the information I'm trying to get. I don't want to kind of belabor the point, but I'm trying to come up with a way to ask you that will be as succinct as my friend, Mr. Berger here, asked.

MR. BERGER: Part of the reason why maybe you are confused is because of the type of information that was redacted, because he has got in mind what the form looks like when it's not redacted, and you haven't seen it, because he is talking about all these different points of connection.

There's also personal geographic

information and other types of information that we have redacted to protect personal privacy. You are focussing on what is left here, and he is thinking about industry, geographic and other types of information.

#### BY MS. DANETZ:

Q Just to give you a sense of what I'm trying to figure out, I'm still trying to get a sense of -- with respect to industry in particular, let's forget about what is redacted because I have no idea what it is anyway -- to what use did the campaign put this information about industry?

A As I've said, we wanted to reach out to as broad a spectrum as possible, so I think that that would have been the use, but the specific purpose of this form was, as we have discussed, to get the name and give them a number.

Q Was there, say, a time within the campaign -- obviously focusing on the primaries since that's when you were raising

money -- or during the Exploratory Committee where there was a determination made that, you know, we have people in this industry, we have people in that industry, but it looks like we have a whole -- we don't have anybody from, say -- and I'm really just pulling this out of the air -- the telecommunications industry, or some other industry that maybe you felt like had not been involved in the campaign and you wanted to kind of do further outreach to that particular industry?

A I don't really remember a specific meeting where that was discussed, but we were looking for universally people. People who had gone to Harvard Business School with then Governor George W. Bush, people who were in the arts community, people who were in the investment management -- whatever that means -- industry.

We were trying to find as many different touch points as we possibly could.

0 But the form doesn't ask about, say, are you involved in the arts or did you go to Harvard Business School with then Governor Bush. So I'm still trying to get to why this form inquired about the industry of the person. I didn't draft the form so I can't

answer the question.

Right, but you are here in your capacity as a representative of the campaign, so although you have no personal knowledge, you have an obligation to provide this information to the extent it was available in an investigation?

MR. BERGER: He understands that. Based on your investigation and your own personal knowledge and the other sources of information that are available to you, do you have an understanding why the campaign sought industry information?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, to make sure that we were involving as many people in as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A In preparing for my testimony I reviewed a memo from Tom Kuhn, who worked for the Electric Industry Association, I think is his official capacity.

While you are commenting, I'm going to quick go to the bathroom.

MS. DANETZ: Sure.

(Recess)

BY MS. DANETZ:

Q I'm just going to go through a series of questions, and I think that they will require a yes or no, but obviously you have figured out the routine by now. If they don't, you won't answer them that way.

In the campaign's efforts to reach out to different communities of interest, did the campaign reach out to the banking industry?

A I think there were individuals that helped us in the campaign that were part of the banking industry.

Q Now, would you say that the

campaign reached out to people who went to Harvard Business School with then Governor Bush?

A No, there were people -- I mean, yes, we reached out in the sense of we encouraged them, but people came to us that went to Harvard Business School and said, we want to get together and do the Harvard Business School thing.

Q So is the same thing true with the banking industry?

A There were individuals that had helped the Governor in his campaign for Governor that were in the banking world that participated in the campaign.

Q Did the campaign reach out to the insurance industry?

A I think there were individuals in the campaign that were part of the insurance industry, yes.

- Q Can you name any of them offhand?
- 22 A I can't, I'm sorry.

That's not true. Hank Greenberg is in the insurance industry. That would be Hank Morris Greenberg.

Q I was going to ask about that.

What about individuals in the banking industry? I know you've already answered my question about whether the campaign reached out to the banking industry, but were there people involved in the campaign who were in the banking industry?

A Yes.

Q Who were they?

A I don't know the specific, but I can just -- I'm sure there is somebody that was in the banking industry that was involved in the campaign.

MR. BERGER: Is your question whether there were Pioneers who were in the banking industry?

BY MS. DANETZ:

Q Sure. Let's make it, were there

75 1 Pioneers who were --2 In the banking industry? 3 0 Yes. 4 Α I think so. 5 Did the campaign reach out to the Q 6 oil industry? 7 There were people that had 8 supported the Governor in the past who were in the oil industry who were involved in the 9 10 campaign, yes. 11 So the campaign then reached out 12 to people in the oil industry; is that true? 13 Α There were people in the campaign who were in the oil industry who supported 14 15 the Governor and had supported the Governor 16 and wanted to help him become president. 17 MS. DANETZ: Can we just take a 18 two-minute break? 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. 20 (Recess)

MR. BERGER: Just for your

planning purposes, we have a tired witness

21

marked as Exhibit 2, with different 1 information filled into the forms. 2 Who retained the hard copies of 3 these forms? 4 I think they were retained by the 5 counsel's office. They were either retained 6 7 by the counsel's office or by somebody on my team. I don't know. 8 . Q By that do you mean the legal 9 counsel? 10 11 Α Yeah. Who was the --12 0 13 MR. GINSBERG: They were in my office. 14 15 MS. DANETZ: Do you just want to identify yourself for the record? 16 MR. BERGER: That's Ben Ginsberg. 17 He was the outside general counsel for the 18 19 campaign. 20 MS. DANETZ: Okay. 21 MR. BERGER: The document states that the white copy of the original was 22

probably in the individual's files, the yellow copy was in state finance chairman files, so that's an answer with respect to what is identified here as the pink copies, and I can tell you this was copied from the pink copies.

#### BY MS. DANETZ:

- Q The yellow copy went to state finance chairman. How does that relate to the agents who had the tracking numbers?
  - A What do you mean?
- Q Well, in other words, the agents who had tracking numbers, were they kind of in an organizational structure, reporting to a state finance chair? How was it determined what yellow copy went to who?

MR. BERGER: What was the relationship between the state finance chair and the national finance director and the national finance chair?

THE WITNESS: The state chairs were part of the team that was helping us in

the individual states.

BY MS. DANETZ:

- Q So there was a state finance chair for each state in the United States?
  - A Yeah.
- Q Did, say, all of the agents who were assigned tracking numbers who came from Pennsylvania, for example, were they all underneath organizationally the state finance chair or was that kind of a -- was that parallel in the organizational structure?

I'm trying to understand the relationship between the state finance chairs and the agents who had tracking numbers.

MR. BERGER: It's outside the scope of the notice, but we will do our best to help you on that, but there's no topic on the organizations of the finance operation, but let's see if we can get you an answer in terms of -- is your question did individual

agents who lived in state X have any direct report responsibilities to the state finance chair of the same state?

MS. DANETZ: Yes. Just to be clear, I'm asking this question because it seems to me it's listed on the document, so to the extent that it arises from the document, I do believe it's within the scope.

MR. BERGER: We will try to get you an answer. I just wanted to make sure I understood the question.

THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.

MR. GINSBERG: Did individual agents report to the state finance chair?

THE WITNESS: Report in what? I don't understand. We wanted to make sure the state chairmen knew who had signed up in their state to help. That was really the purpose of the whole thing.

MS. DANETZ: Thank you. That's good enough.

## BY MS. DANETZ:

Q In terms of the pink copies -- and I'll direct this question to you with the understanding that then you might jump in because you might have the knowledge -- how were these documents filed?

By that I mean, were they filed alphabetically? Were they filed chronologically by tracking number? Were they filed by industry? I mean, I'm just curious.

A I don't know how you filed them.

MR. GINSBERG: I think they were

filed geographically. They were state by

state notebooks, I think alphabetically by

state.

THE WITNESS: That would make sense.

# BY MS. DANETZ:

Q How about the individual state finance chairs? Do you know how they filed their hard copies?

I don't know. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Getting back to the tracking system, we may have gone over this. I'm getting tired, too.
  - That's okay.
- When, say, a check or a response device card came in and it had a tracking number, I believe you said that was input to a computer system somewhere; is that true?
- When the check came in from a state it would have gone to the person that was responsible for that state, one of those regional people we talked about.
- Oh, right, thank you. Each of those regional people kept their own spreadsheets, I think you stated?
- Α Yes.
- In your investigation for today and in producing the spreadsheet that you did that listed the contributions for the individual tracking numbers, did you consult these four different spreadsheets that would

have been maintained by the regional people?

MR. BERGER: There's a premise to your question which was not correct. There were four different regional spreadsheets.

What we did was, from the available records of the campaign, to the extent they are maintained by either Mr. Oliver here individually, by his records, to the extent the campaign's records are in the possession of his legal counsel, they were searched.

To the extent that we were able to find any other existing records of the campaign, that is the spreadsheets that we consulted.

MS. DANETZ: I'm not trying to suggest that there were spreadsheets out there that were ignored in producing what you did, but I thought I understood Mr. Oliver to say that the individual regional people, the four regional people, would have each maintained a spreadsheet.

84 I'm not suggesting that they still exist. 1 I'm just --2 I'm not sure what he MR. BERGER: 3 did say. I think your question was who 4 input the data. He said he didn't 5 individually, but he believes that the 6 people who did were probably either the 7 regional finance people or someone else. 8 BY MS. DANETZ: 9 So did each of the regional people 10 Q maintain a spreadsheet? 11 They may have. I don't know if 12 they specifically had a spreadsheet that 13 followed a form. I think they maintained 14 records. All of them maintained records. 15 MS. DANETZ: I'm going to be 16 referring to BFP 151. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 18 MR. BERGER: They want to look at 19 the spreadsheet that was produced. 20 MS. DANETZ: No, BFP 151. 21 THE WITNESS: Is that one of 22

- A I don't know. I haven't seen the document itself, but it looks that it could be.
  - Q If you just want to look at what looks like it's on a Post-It note?
- A That does look like it's a Post-It note, yeah.
  - Q Can you tell me who Kate is?
- 9 A Kate Marinas was one of the staff
  10 members on the finance operation.
  - Q That would be at the national level or at a regional level or --
    - A She worked for a lot of people.

      She worked -- she was kind of a helper in this instance. The regional people worked at the national level. I mean, those four people worked for me at the national level.
    - Q So you were all in the same office?
- 20 A Yeah.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
- A I should have told you that. I'm

87 1 sorry. Yeah, we were all in the same office. 2 I see. Can you tell me who this 3 note is from? It looks like Clare. 5 Who is Clare? 6 Clare, that -- I don't know for 7 Α sure, but I would assume that that's Clare 8 Pritchett, who worked on the campaign as 9 well, in the finance division. 10 11 What was her title? 12 I think she was a finance 13 assistant, staff assistant. What does that mean she did? What 14 15 were her responsibilities? MR. BERGER: Wrote Post-It notes. 16 THE WITNESS: Answer the phone. I 17 mean --18 MR. GINSBERG: She assisted 19 20 regional finance directors? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, she 21 22 worked with the regional finance directors.

there is a spreadsheet, and that is where we found the information that we then used to produce the spreadsheet to you.

She indicated that, yes, in fact a spreadsheet was maintained with information that came in as Mr. Oliver has described it using tracking numbers. That's how they in fact compiled the information to know whether somebody got to the Pioneer level.

THE WITNESS: The filing would be then sent to the counsel for filing, you know, as we talked about. There's the first one. The third one we kept and gave to the counsel's office.

### BY MS. DANETZ:

Q It may be that Mitch has to answer this question, but the spreadsheets that were used to create the spreadsheet that you gave to us, where is that kept?

MR. BERGER: We have it.

MS. DANETZ: Well, who gave it to

22 | you?

1 MR. BERGER: Well, let me see. got that, I think, either from Mr. Oliver or 2 from -- I always thought it was Kate 3 Marinas, but obviously I mispronounced her 4 5 name, Kate Marinas. 6 BY MS. DANETZ: 7 Did you produce that spreadsheet 8 to --9 Α I don't know. I mean, I don't know what was produced from me and what was 10 11 produced from other sources. MR. BERGER: Let me make this 12 13 completely unmysterious. 14 Certain records of the campaign are kept in paper form like the famous pink 15

Certain records of the campaign are kept in paper form like the famous pink sheets. Other records were copied onto the CD. Duplicates of the CD, to the best of my understanding, were maintained both by Mr. Oliver and Kate Marinas.

From those CDs we looked for information that provided both spreadsheets and, for example, those were the documents

16

17

18

19

20

21

		0.1
1	that were searched to see whether or not	91
2	there were any policy communications as you	
3	requested.	
4	MS. DANETZ: Do we know during the	
5	campaign who maintained these, the	
6	spreadsheets or the information that went on	·
7	the CD that came to you?	
8	MR. BERGER: Who individually?	
9	MS. DANETZ: Yes, within the	
10	campaign.	
11	MR. BERGER: I know it was a	
12	member of the finance operation.	
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know the	
14	individual. I think it was maintained by	
15	multiple people.	
16	BY MS. DANETZ:	
17	Q Was there any information on those	
18	spreadsheets that were on the CDs that was	
19	not incorporated into the spreadsheet that	
20	you produced?	
21	MR. BERGER: Yes.	
22	MS. DANETZ: Beyond, say, redacted	

1	information of address?	92
2	MR. BERGER: Yes.	
3	MS. DANETZ: Was information was	
4	that?	
5	MR. BERGER: Well, if I wanted you	
6 .	to know, I wouldn't have redacted it. It	
7	was information that we considered to be	
8	outside the scope of what we had negotiated.	
9	MS. DANETZ: Well, let me get more	
10	specific, then. Did that information	
11	include the industry of the person?	
12	MR. BERGER: I don't think so,	
13	because obviously we know you are interested	
14	in that. That's why we went to great	
15	efforts to leave in industry information	
16	here. I'll doublecheck that. I think it's	
17	hard to believe we would have done it given	
18	your obvious interest in it.	
19	MS. DANETZ: Okay, but you will	
20	let me know?	
21	MR. BERGER: Absolutely.	
22	MS. DANETZ: Whether or not that	•

spreadsheet included the information about the industry that was of the particular person as indicated on the form?

MR. BERGER: Yeah, absolutely. I can tell you, because of the scope we negotiated here, that we have searched for and looked to see whether there were any reports, for example, that were prepared on a spreadsheet by industry that would say, X industry is credited with having contributed Y amount of money to the campaign.

We found no such report, which I think is part of what you are asking about in terms of the spreadsheet.

MS. DANETZ: Right. Also what I'm trying to understand with the spreadsheet is, I don't know if you are aware how spreadsheets work. I assume you are, but if that is a piece of information, that spreadsheet could be sorted by industry, and that's what I'm trying to determine.

MR. BERGER: Right. I think that

you could fairly ask this witness. I mean,
if he is aware whether the campaign ever
generated a report like that.

MS. DANETZ: Mr. Oliver?

MR. BONIFAZ: More than whether he is aware. I mean, this isn't about his personal knowledge.

MR. BERGER: I understand, but this is also, to cut right to the bottom line here, a deposition of a third party that is not actively in operation. He is doing the best he can with records and people all over the place to come up with information and answer your question. So the best knowledge of the organization is what you are entitled to, and that is the effort that we have put together. That doesn't mean it's perfect information.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BONIFAZ: It's more than what he is aware of, that's all.

MR. BERGER: It's more than what he was aware of, but the guy is a national

finance director and he is the most likely
person to know this.

THE WITNESS: What is the question you want to ask me about this?

### BY MS. DANETZ:

Q To the best of your knowledge,
based on your investigation, in your
capacity as a representative of the
campaign, did the campaign ever sort
contributors, or I should say Pioneers and
potential Pioneers, who had tracking numbers
by industry?

that we ever had a specific -- a spreadsheet which said Pioneer X is in Y. I don't remember a specific spreadsheet that had it listed by industry based upon that, but there clearly was -- you knew there were multiple people that were part of a certain industry, and it wouldn't be hard to take their tracking numbers on an informal basis and add them together if you had the

1 knowledge of who they were.

- Q Did the campaign ever do that?
- A I don't remember if the campaign ever did that specifically for every industry, no.
  - Q For any industry?
  - A I think the campaign did do that for the Harvard Business School piece, because there was a letter that specifically went out for the Harvard Business School group.
  - Q How about for the electric utility industry?
  - A I don't remember specifically a group that was put together, a spreadsheet that showed the electric utility industry.
  - Q Can you remember any other
    particular industry, besides the Harvard
    Business School group that you've already
    mentioned? Can you'remember any other
    industry for which the campaign sorted
    information in the manner we have been

discussing?

A Yeah, I think there were some people in the airline industry as well that we did, that that was sorted from, because I think they sent a letter together, but I don't remember the specifics of it. That's my memory of the airline group.

Q Any other industries besides the airline industry?

A There may be but I don't remember specifics.

MS. DANETZ: At this point I'm going to turn the deposition over to Mr. Bonifaz, assuming you don't have an objection.

MR. BERGER: I'm going to keep my options open to object because I'm not sure we are most efficiently using the witness's time, but let's see where we are.

(Recess)

BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q Mr. Oliver, you just stated that

there was some sorting done for the airline 1 industry; is that correct? 2 No, there was individuals that 3 were involved in the airline industry that I 4 think that asked for what they have done, 5 and we may have sorted it for them by 6 7 individual, because the tracking numbers were individuals. 8 Approximately do you remember when 9 10 they asked? 11 I don't remember. 12 Why would they have asked for that information? 13 MR. BERGER: Objection, calls for 14 15 speculation. You may answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know. 16 BY MR. BONIFAZ: 17

Q How did you answer that request? What did you provide them?

A I think we pulled their individual tracking numbers and gave it to them, but that would be my guesstimate of what

18

19

20

21

happened.

- Q Who asked for this information in particular?
- A I don't remember specifically who asked for it.
- Q Any other industries for which the campaign sorted the tracking numbers and the industries together?
- A I don't remember. There could have been but I don't remember.

MR. BONIFAZ: So I just want to get on the record here, this 30(b)(6) deponent is stating that he doesn't remember, there could be. This is not a sufficient answer in a 30(b)(6) deposition.

MR. BERGER: I'll tell you what.

I've had enough of this. If you want to

play games like this, we are going to shut

this down now. When he said he doesn't

know, he means he, the authorized

representative of this campaign, doesn't

know. We have made inquiry of those who are

likely to know.

2.0

When he says he doesn't know, he means we don't know.

MR. BONIFAZ: Just to understand what was stated, he didn't say we don't know. He said we don't remember.

MR. BERGER: Well, there has been something of a lack of formality in this deposition. We have never even defined what the questions mean when they are said to the witness. When I ask you a question, I mean you on behalf of the campaign, so if you want to be clear about that, we can be clear about all of that.

MR. BONIFAZ: I thought we set the foundation when we began with the Notice of Deposition that he was here to testify on behalf of the campaign, not in his personal capacity.

MR. BERGER: He has been prepared to testify to the best information that this institution has available. His answers are,

as I understand from the preparation process, what you would learn from any other representative. They are a product of speaking to a number of people affiliated with this campaign.

There is no other designee who can provide you more information than this man can do.

MR. BONIFAZ: So the campaign's testimony today is that it does not remember whether any other industry sorting took place other than the airline industry example?

MR. BERGER: Correct.

BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q Just back on this question about reaching out to specific industries, you have testified that the campaign made a specific effort to reach out to Harvard Business School alumni?

MR. BERGER: I think that

22 mischaracterizes his testimony.

2.0

BY MR.	BONIFAZ
--------	---------

- Q I'm sorry. Can you recharacterize it correctly?
  - A We wanted to make sure that -there were a group of people who came to us
    that had gone to school with the Governor at
    Harvard that wanted to make sure they -- I
    sent a letter out on behalf to try to get as
    many people who had been at Harvard with
    him, to help the campaign.
  - Q Speaking on behalf of the campaign as a 30(b)(6) deponent, did the campaign make any specific efforts such as that for the banking industry?
  - A There may have been. There were individuals in the campaign who were in the banking industry that I'm sure reached out to individuals in the banking community, but that would be national, yes.
  - Q I understand that answer. I'm asking you whether the campaign, just as it had made a specific effort to reach out to

this Harvard Business School group, did it make any specific effort to reach out to the banking industry?

MR. BERGER: Mischaracterizes his testimony. If you understand the question, go ahead and answer the question.

MR. BONIFAZ: Please restate the question. I'm really not trying to mischaracterize testimony. I thought he just stated --

MR. GINSBERG: You said it wrong three times.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  BONIFAZ: Go ahead and tell me what it is I said wrong.

MR. GINSBERG: People came to the campaign, said they want to go out and contact their colleagues. That's what they did. That's not the campaign reaching out. You have mischaracterized it repeatedly now.

MR. BONIFAZ: I thought there was a Harvard Business School example in which there was a letter that was sent out.

2.0

1	MR. GINSBERG: I believe he
2	testified that people from Harvard Business
3	School came to the campaign, said they
4	wanted to contact their colleagues from
5	Harvard Business School and did so. You
6	have repeatedly characterized that as a
7	campaign action as opposed to volunteers
8	coming to the campaign. I'm sure we can get
9	this right at least once.
10	MR. BONIFAZ: Can we just go back
11	to the record to when he spoke about the
12	Harvard Business School situation? It would
13	have been about 10 statements ago.
14	(The reporter read the record as
15	requested.)
16	MR. BONIFAZ: That's what you
17	stated.
18	MR. GINSBERG: There were a group
19	of people who came to the campaign.
20	MR. BONIFAZ: I wanted to ask the
21	question to the witness.
22	BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q	You	said	" I	sent	a	letter."

2.2

A A letter was sent on behalf -- the individuals that came to us sent a letter out. It wasn't signed by me, it was signed by them.

MR. BONIFAZ: Obviously, then, it was misstated.

MR. BERGER: I move to strike that. Don't argue with the witness. Ask a question.

#### BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q Did individuals associated with the insurance industry come to the campaign to reach out to the insurance industry?

A I don't know. I don't remember whether or not that they had a specific reach-out to the insurance industry. I'm sure that there were individuals who were associated with the campaign who came to the campaign and wanted to raise resources for the campaign and therefore went to the group of people that they knew and reached out to

them.

Q Did individuals associated with the oil industry come to the campaign to reach out to members of the oil industry?

A I'm sure there were individuals who happened to be involved in the oil industry that raised resources for the campaign and, in doing so, talked to the people that they knew.

Q Were these tracking numbers helpful in understanding how those different kinds of efforts were going?

A The tracking numbers were for the purpose of being able to tell individuals and tracking what individuals did themselves as individuals.

Q Were they also helpful for that effort, to reach out to different industries?

MR. BERGER: Objection, ambiguous, but you may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if they

were helpful. I mean, you have to ask the individuals who used the tracking numbers. BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Before we get to that, let's turn Q to what is Exhibit BFP 300 to 301.

MR. BERGER: The witness has told me that he is tired, so we have got a problem here. You want testimony from him to the best of his ability, but he has been going since 8:00 this morning and he is tired, so --

MR. BONIFAZ: We will have to continue this.

MR. BERGER: Well, I'm not quite sure what you are after here. I think there may be other ways to get this rather than having a back and forth about who is the best person possible to provide you information that doesn't appear to exist.

MR. BONIFAZ: We are moving on to a different subject.

MR. BERGER: Maybe you should take

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

109 1 MR. BONIFAZ: I believe the two others are coming. BFP 302 and 303 will be 2 marked together. We are going to go ahead 3 and include that as one. That's part of 5 Exhibit 4. 6 BY MR. BONIFAZ: 7 So just to go back, does this all 8 taken together refer to the document that is referenced on the facsimile cover sheet? 9 10 As discussed? 11 Yes. Yes, I'm going to read it. 12 Ιs 13 that all right? 14 Yes, go ahead. Please do. 15 Okay. Α 16 I cut you off earlier. Can you please describe what this document is now 17 18 marked as Exhibit 4? 19 It is a fax cover sheet from 20 January 4th of 2000; a memo from Tom Kuhn of 21 May 22nd, and a memo from Tom Kuhn of 22 May 26th.

110 Just for the record, is it 0 1 May 27th you meant to say, not May 22nd? 2 I said May 27th and May 26th. 3 Yes. The cover sheet is a facsimile to you; is that correct? 5 That's correct. 6 Is that from Mr. Kuhn? It says at the bottom in the 8 right-hand corner, "From the desk of Thomas 9 R. Kuhn." 10 Do you remember receiving this 11 facsimile and these three pages? 12 13 Α Yes, I do. The three pages as well? I'm 14 sorry, I mean, four, including the cover 15 16 sheet? A Yes, I assume that I got all four 17 18 pages, yes. Q First, why was he sending you 19 this, to your knowledge? Why was he sending 20 21 you this document?

If my memory is correct, it was

1	Before moving on to the rest of
2	that paragraph, did you stress the
3.	importance of having the electric utilities
4	industry did you stress the importance of
5	having any industry incorporate a tracking
6	number into fund raising efforts?
7	A I told if my memory is
8	correct, 1178 is Tom's individual tracking
9	number.
10	Q So why would he say both Don Evans
11	and Jack Oliver have expressed the
12	importance of having our industry
13	incorporate the 1178 tracking number in your
14	fund raising efforts?
15	MR. BERGER: Objection, calls for
16	speculation, but you may answer.
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
18	BY MR. BONIFAZ:
19	Q He then goes on to say in this
20	paragraph, "Listing your industry's code
21	does not prevent you, any of your individual
22	solicitors or your state, from receiving

	1
1	credit for soliciting a contribution. It
2	does ensure that our industry"
3	underlined "is credited and that your
4	progress is listed among the other
5	business/industry sectors." All of that is
6	in bold and in caps.
7	MR. BERGER: There's no question.
8	BY MR. BONIFAZ:
9	Q Again, Mr. Oliver, why would
10	Mr. Kuhn believe that listing of what he
11	called industry's code would ensure that the
12	industry is credited?
13	MR. BERGER: Objection, calls for
14	speculation. You may answer.
15	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
16	BY MR. BONIFAZ:
17	Q Did the campaign, speaking on
18	behalf of the campaign, ever give any reason
19	for Mr. Kuhn to make that kind of statement?
20	MR. BERGER: Objection, calls for
21	speculation. You may answer.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. BONIFAZ:	11
Q Did you ever discuss with Mr. Kuhn	
the contents of these memos?	
A Yes, I did.	
Q Did you ever discuss the accuracy	
of the contents of these memos?	
A I called Mr. Kuhn to have him send	
me the memos because I had not seen them	-
before they had gone out.	
Q In your discussion of the contents	
of the memos, what was the discussion?	
A I suggested to Tom that he had not	
followed proper procedure in sending the	
letter up to be approved by the campaign	
before it went out.	
Q What was that proper procedure?	
A We had a volunteer letter form	
that had to be filled out, if you wanted to	
send a letter out.	
Q What had he done?	
	Q Did you ever discuss with Mr. Kuhn the contents of these memos?  A Yes, I did. Q Did you ever discuss the accuracy of the contents of these memos?  A I called Mr. Kuhn to have him send me the memos because I had not seen them before they had gone out.  Q In your discussion of the contents of the memos, what was the discussion?  A I suggested to Tom that he had not followed proper procedure in sending the letter up to be approved by the campaign before it went out.  Q What was that proper procedure?  A We had a volunteer letter form that had to be filled out, if you wanted to send a letter out.

called him because he hadn't followed proper

If my memory is correct on this, I

A

21

- 1 procedure in that regard.
- Q What had he done wrong? I don't know.
  - A He had sent a letter out without it getting approved by the campaign.
    - Q Did the letter itself have language that was not in accordance with proper procedure?
    - A You mean this memo?
- 10 O Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A This memo I would have corrected, corrected his -- some of the things he said in this memo.
- Q What would you have corrected?
- A That we said that I had stressed the importance of having his industry incorporate his personal tracking number into his fund raising efforts.
  - Q Did you ask him to do anything, given that he had sent this out, to make that correction known to the people who received it?

A I don't remember if I asked him to do anything or not. I probably told him if he was going to send anything else out, he needed to send it to the campaign and follow the proper procedure before he did.

Q Was there any effort that the campaign made to inform the recipients of this memo that the information in this memo, some of it at least, was not in accordance with the campaign's proper procedure, was incorrect?

A I don't remember if we sent a letter to these individuals or not. I don't know.

Q I assume, turning to page 302, which is the third page of this document, in the bold and underlined heading, "Now is the time for each of us to identify our industry colleagues in each of these major cities and ask them to serve as a vice chair or co-chair for their city's event," that too is not in accordance with proper procedure?

A I'm sorry, what do you mean by that?

Q You've testified that this statement in the second page -- first page, really, of this document, second page of the facsimile -- was incorrect about listing industry code and so forth. I'm asking you whether on the third page that statement in bold and underlined that I've just read into the record was also incorrect.

A Incorrect as to what? It looks like Tom was encouraging people to identify people to be involved in the fund raising events.

Q Specifically to identify our industry colleagues? Was that okay, according to the campaign?

A No, this was not signed off on beforehand. I would have indicated to him that he needed to put -- needed to change the language -- I would have changed the language if I would have saw it before it

had gone out.

- Q How would you have changed the language?
- A I probably would have said now is the time for each of us to identify people in each of the major cities and ask them to serve as vice chair or co-chair for their city's event.
- Q This memo, which is dated May 26, has as the recipient Association Executives for Bush; is that correct?
  - A That's what it says it is to.
- Q Was there any effort that the Bush campaign made to correct the language to those recipients?
- A Well, there are individuals in associations that were involved in the campaign, so occasionally we would have conference calls with people that were involved in associations.
- Q Understood, but with respect to this particular memo and the incorrect

language that was used, was there any effort
to correct that with the recipients of this
memo?

A I don't remember.

Q Mr. Kuhn was given the authority to use George W. Bush Presidential Exploratory Committee letterhead; is that right?

MR. BERGER: Objection,

misleading, but you may answer.

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE WITNESS: Mr. Kuhn had access
to George W. Bush letterhead, yes. But this
letter, we didn't see either of these
letters before they went out.

BY MR. BONIFAZ:

Q Can you identify on page two who Robbie Aiken is?

A I think Robbie -- Robbie Aiken is -- how I know Robbie Aiken is, he is an advance guy, and he is a lobbyist for Pinnacle Golf Company.

Q Bud Albright?

120 Bud Albright works with, I 1 think -- I could be wrong, but I think it's 2 3 Reliant Energy. David Brown? 0 4 I don't know. 5 Haley Fisackerly? 6 Yes, he was with Intergee, the 7 company Intergee. 8 What is that? 9 . 10 I think it's an energy company. 11 0 Kerrill Scrivner? 12 Α Don't know. 13 Patsy Thompson? 14 Α I don't know Patsy Thompson. 15 Jeanne Wolak? 16

- Don't know.
- 17 John Maxon?
- Don't know. 18
- Was there any other instances, 19
- Mr. Oliver, where you had communications 20
- with Pioneers who had sent out documents 21
- using Bush Exploratory Committee letterhead 22

or Bush campaign letterhead that needed correction?

A I'm sure in the course of the campaign there were letters that went out that we didn't see beforehand, but it was our practice to -- we set out to try to make our best effort to get them to send the letters in before they went out.

Q Did you personally review each of those letters that went out?

they were done by the regional people, and then -- I don't remember what the exact legal sign-off form is. I don't know if we provided a copy or not. I don't know if one exists anymore. But I think that there was -- the lawyers signed off on it and the regional people signed off on it. I may have seen it in some cases and in some cases not.

Q But speaking for the campaign, you can't right now remember any other specific

instance like the Kuhn situation where a document was sent out by a Pioneer on letterhead of the Bush campaign with this kind of incorrect language?

A I cannot remember, no.

Q Turning to page three of this exhibit, which is Bates stamped 302 at the bottom, this memo from Mr. Kuhn starts out, "Many thanks to those of you who were able to join us on the May 3 conference call."

Do you know what that conference call was about?

A It was probably a campaign update on what was happening on the campaign.

Q The next sentence, "I believe it was an excellent opportunity for us to discuss the Governor's campaign with our friends Jack Oliver and Don Evans, and I know they were very appreciative of the work this group is doing on behalf of the Governor Bush Presidential Exploratory Committee."

A No, I think these I don't know
if they were individuals in Tom's industry
or whether they were in other industries
that also had association people as well
involved.

Q I'm sorry, the last page, 303, the third paragraph starts out, "We have scheduled our next group conference call for Monday June 7th, at 5:00 p.m. Daylight Savings Time."

Do you recall being on that phone call?

A I don't know if I was on that phone call or not. We had an upcoming event in Washington, so I may have been on that call to encourage people to participate in the Washington event.

Q Is it correct that that upcoming event was the June 22nd event that Mr. Kuhn references honoring Governor George W. Bush?

A Yeah.

Q Do you have anything more to say

as to what the purpose of that June 7th phone call would be?

A I don't know.

- O The next document is --
- A Do you want this back?
- Q You can just put it here and we will take it later. Thank you.

This is entitled Updated List of Pioneers and Potential Pioneers Prepared from Available Records. This entire document that is page numbers two through 11 we are going to mark as BFP Exhibit No. 5. We only have a couple of questions on this document.

A Do you want me to review the entire document or do you have individual questions from this document?

Q I don't believe you need to review it, and perhaps after I ask the first question you will know whether you do.

Do you see marked on the right-hand column, there are amounts for

	151
1	work things out as we have worked things out
2	until now, but I think we have had enough of
3	this man's time today, so I'll say thank you
4	for spending your time here today.
5	MR. BONIFAZ: Thank you.
6	(Whereupon, at 7:40 p.m., the
7	deposition of JOHN L. OLIVER,
8	III was adjourned.)
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	·
21	4