## CONFIDENTIAL DEPOSITION OF EDWARD MONROE CONDUCTED ON THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2002

Page 1

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	THREE JUDGE COURT, WASHINGTON, D.C.
4	
5	MCCONNELL, et al.,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	v. Case No. 02-0582
8	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMITTEE, CONSOLIDATED CASES
9	et al.,
10	Defendants
11	
12	
13	CONFIDENTIAL
14	Deposition of
15	PLAINTIFFS ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS BY
16	EDWARD L. MONROE
17	INDIVIDUALLY AND AS 30(b)6
18	Washington, D. C.
19	Thursday, September 12, 2002
20	9:30 a.m.
21	
22	
23	Job No: 12-5006
24	Pages 1 - 142
25	LEGALINK. Amyharan Massanga Tel. 218PB 7-7400 Advocate Reporting Tel 212-697-6565

glubal court reporting. large case specialists - degal videography v itaigation support

1	CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE
2	Q What better way is there to tell Mr. Ross
3	that we expect Mr. Ross to tell the truth than to
4	vote against him?
5	A Call him on the telephone, write the
6	person or visit them personally.
7	Q So is it your expectation is it your
8	genuine expectation that people would call Mr. Ross,
9	and how many of the people that you thought might be
LO	reached by the ad did you think would call Mr. Ross?
11	MR. KIRBY: Compound question.
12	MR. SCHWARZ: Fair point.
13	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
L <b>4</b>	Q Do you have any idea whether anybody
15	called Mr. Ross?
16	A I don't have any documentation or
L 7	recollection.
18	Q And were you ever told that anybody had
19	called Mr. Ross?
20	A I don't have any recollection of that.
21	Q Did you make any effort to check whether
22	anybody had ever called or written to Mr. Ross?
23	A We communicate with our chapters and our
24	members in Arkansas while this is running. And so
25	my assumption would be that, yes, we were

1	CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE
2	A It is more likely that the sound effect
3	was there to add comedic value as opposed to
4	negative angry tones, more comedic.
5	Q You didn't you wouldn't mind this ad
6	having negative flavor to it, would you?
7	A This ad? Perhaps.
8	Q I mean, it is pretty negative to describe
9	someone as figuring everybody should be able to lie;
10	isn't that a fairly negative thing to say about
11	someone?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And that was intended to make the viewers
14	think less of Mr. Ross who was running for office in
15	Arkansas 04; isn't that correct?
16	A Perhaps.
17	Q Now, the next one I want to show you is
18	about Melissa Hart. Now, Melissa Hart, I think we
19	have said earlier, she was not an incumbent; she was
20	a state legislator, correct?
21	A I believe so.
22	Q Was your desire to praise Melissa Hart or
23	criticize Melissa Hart?
24	A I don't recall the script.
25	Q Are penalties for child molesters who

1	CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE
2	attempt to lure children over the internet a matter
3	of a particular interest for contractors and
4	builders?
5	A Sure.
6	Q But they are not something which is
7	specially of interest to contractors and builders,
8	are they?
9	A It is of interest to our members.
10	Q That is not my question. Most people in
11	America are interested in penalties for child
12	molesters who attempt to lure children over the
13	Internet, don't you suppose that to be true?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Most people in Pennsylvania where Ms. Hart
16	was running would be interested in penalties for
17	child molesters who attempt to lure children over
18	the Internet; don't you assume that?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Do your contractor and builders members
21	have any different or special interest in child
22	molestation as compared to the general public?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you remember that the ad about Melissa
25	Hart talks about she pushed for the strongest

1	CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE
2	possible penalties for child molesters who attempt
3	to lure children over the Internet?
4	A I don't recall the script.
5	Q If you had seen that, would you have said
6	that doesn't have any reason to be in an ad that is
7	issued by the Association for Builders And
8	contractors?
9	MR. KIRBY: Hypothetical.
10	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
11	Q Go ahead and answer.
12	MR. KIRBY: If you can answer.
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
15	Q Is the reason why you say no is because
16	you know as a person who has been involved in
17	American politics that if you can tell individuals,
18	voters, if you can tell voters that someone has
19	pushed for the strongest possible penalties for
20	child molesters who attempt to lure children over
21	the Internet, that would make a voter more likely to
22	vote for the person who had done that; isn't that
23	correct?
24	A Rephrase that.
25	Q I will try. You are experienced in

1 CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE 2 people, actions they took in their capacity as a legislator, they were actions they had already 3 taken, correct? 4 Or had stated they were going to take. 5 А 6 And they were in no way people who you 7 regarded as undecided or on the fence on these issues? 8 In some cases, it could be that we thought Α 9 they were persuadable based on trying to educate the 10 public to have them accurately reflect the concerns 11 of their constituents. 12 With respect to Mr. Ross, did you think he 13 was persuadable, or did you think a person who you 14 15 referred to as thinking everybody should have a chance to lie as being someone who should be 16 condemned full stop, period? 17 18 Α Members of Congress represent constituents, so from that perspective we believe 19 that with education of their constituents, of the 20 21 public, that we may be able to persuade them on our 22 legislation. So you may be able to persuade Ms. Hart 23 24 who had already pushed for the strongest possible penalties for child molesters that attempt to lure 25

ī	CONFIDENTIAL - EDWARD L. MONROE
2	children over the Internet, which is not one of your
	•
3	issues, correct?
4	A Compound sentence.
5	Q Was the pushing for strongest possible
6	penalties for child molesters who attempt to lure
7	children over the Internet one of the "your issues,"
8	your issues with respect to contractors and
9	builders?
1 0	A As previously answered, no, it is not a
11	particular concern to the general public of
12	contractors or general group of contractors.
13	Q Okay. Let's now mark as did I do the
14	Jordan thing on the telephone? I didn't.
15	Look at the last page, Jordan
16	revised, which had to start running sometime after
17	October 27, right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Did you make any effort whatsoever to
20	determine if anybody had in fact called or
21	communicated to Ms. Jordan about the matters covered
22	in the ad?
23	A It is likely because of our procedures,
24	but I don't have any direct recollection of that.
25	O And as to your procedures, that would only

## DEPOSITION OF EDWARD L. MCCOE CONDUCTED ON FRIDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2002

Page 1

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CUR
3	THREE JUDGE COURT, WASHINGTON, D.C.
4	
5	MCCONNELL, et al.,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	v. Case No. 02-0582
8	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMITTEE, CONSOLIDATED CASES
9	et al.,
10	Defendants
11	
12	
13	
14	Deposition of
15	PLAINTIFFS ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS AND
16	ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS PAC
17	by and through its corporate designee,
18	EDWARD L. MONROE
19	Washington, D. C.
20	Friday, October 11, 2002
21	9:10 a.m.
22	
23	Job No: 12-6778
24	Pagés 1 - 108
25	Re LEGALINK. Anathan Reporting 97 1212-857 17400

Advocate Reporting Tel 212-697-6565
global court reporting \* large case specialists \* legal videography \* litigation support

## DEPOSITION OF EDWARD L. MO-ROE CONDUCTED ON FRIDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2002

Page 86

ī	CROSS-EXAMINATION, EDWARD L. MONROE
2	MR. SCHWARZ: What do lawyers do? This is
3	the page you rather look at, I think.
4	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
5	Q Since you have been at ABC let me do
6	this a little bit differently.
7	I will read to you a couple of
8	sentences from Paragraph 12 of your declaration.
9	And they read, "ABC once disclosed
10	the names of such contributors" I have to go back
11	to explain what "such" means.
12	So this is reading from Paragraph 12
13	of your affidavit.
14	MS. BHATTACHARYYA: If it would help you,
15	you can have my copy which is not scribbled on.
16	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
17	Q Now you can look at Paragraph 12 and I
18	don't have read it to you. Do you see it?
19	A Yes, I do.
20	Q There is a reference to ABC having once
21	disclosed the name of contributors, and a reference
22	to some of these companies suffered substantial
23	vandalism, and the reference they were of the view
24	that the vandalism was the result of labor union
25	efforts.

Page 88

ī	CRCSS-EXAMINATION, EDWARD L. MONROE
2	to solicit and was told no thank you.
3	MR. KIRBY: Also, the last sentence, I
4	believe, talks about their current policy which
5	he probably has knowledge of.
6	THE WITNESS: Our current policy is we
7	don't publicly disclose donors, publicly thank
8	donors in our publications anymore.
9	BY MR. SCHWARZ:
J 0	Q But you do obviously because you have to
11	publicly disclose the people that donate to your
12	PAC, including listing who the employers are?
13	A Yes, and we also have donors who
14	specifically donate under the disclosed amount
15	which, I believe, is \$200, so their name is not
16	publicly disclosed.
דב	Q Now, so you have a policy not to disclose
18	the names of certain contributors. That doesn't
19	stop them from contributing, does it?
20	MR. KIRBY: You are talking about to the
21	PAC or the Association?
22	MR. SCHWARZ: To either one.
23	THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes. It
24	does it I guess the question is a
25	complicated one.