

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____	)	Civil Action No.
VICTORIA JACKSON GRAY ADAMS,	)	02-cv-877-KLH-CKK-RJL
<i>et al.,</i>	)	
	)	<i>consolidated with</i>
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	)	02-cv-582-KLH-CKK-RJL
	)	(lead case)
	)	
-vs-	)	<i>and</i>
	)	02-cv-581-KLH-CKK-RJL
	)	02-cv-633-KLH-CKK-RJL
THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	)	02-cv-751-KLH-CKK-RJL
<i>et al.,</i>	)	02-cv-753-KLH-CKK-RJL
	)	02-cv-754-KLH-CKK-RJL
	)	02-cv-781-KLH-CKK-RJL
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	02-cv-874-KLH-CKK-RJL
	)	02-cv-875-KLH-CKK-RJL
_____	)	02-cv-881-KLH-CKK-RJL

**Declaration of Ted Glick**

I, Ted Glick, declare as follows:

1. I am a registered and qualified voter in Bloomfield, New Jersey.
2. I am running as the Green Party candidate for the United States Senate from New Jersey in the 2002 general election. I am running for the United States Senate because I believe in building alternatives to the Democratic and Republican parties. I decided to run to provide a different voice in this Senate election in the face of what has been happening in this country since the September 11 terrorist attack. I am running on a

platform that challenges the shifting of our national budget priorities to the Pentagon and the top wealthy one percent of the population at the expense of basic human needs, such as health care, housing, and education. I do not believe that our government's sharply increased militarism will bring us a safer world or will bring us closer to social and economic justice for all. I am deeply concerned that we protect our civil rights and liberties as we take steps to provide security.

3. In addition to running for the U.S. Senate, I am employed as the national coordinator for the Independent Progressive Politics Network, an organization which I co-founded. The Independent Progressive Politics Network is a national third party network made up of fifty organizations with the aim of building an alternative voice in American politics to the two major parties. I have served as the network's national coordinator for the past seven years.

4. I have worked hard to raise money for my campaign, but I do not have access to wealthy circles. I have raised approximately \$25,000. The average donation to my campaign is approximately fifty dollars. I have received only two donations at the \$1,000 level. I have had to scramble for resources in order to get my message out and to reach the voters.

5. By contrast, my Democratic and Republican opponents have extremely well-funded campaigns. My Republican opponent, Douglas R. Forrester, has contributed millions of dollars of his own money to his campaign. My prior Democratic opponent, Senator Robert G. Torricelli, had raised millions of dollars from wealthy contributors across the country. My new Democratic opponent, former Senator Frank R. Lautenberg, is, like Mr. Forrester, a multimillionaire, and Democratic Party leaders are currently

seeking to make the millions of dollars Senator Torricelli raised available to support Mr. Lautenberg's new candidacy.


6. If I do not win this Senate race, I would consider running for the U.S. Senate in a future election, but the increases in the hard money contribution limits of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act would seriously discourage me from doing so. Those increases would create an extremely uneven playing field and would prevent me from effectively competing for the office. If I were to run again in a race with similar circumstances, I would be facing not only a self-funded candidate but another candidate able to raise up to \$12,000 per individual per election or \$24,000 per individual per election cycle. It is impossible to participate facing that tremendous disparity in resources. I just do not run in the circles of people who can contribute \$12,000.

7. I realize some of the proponents of these hard money limit increases have tried to argue that a candidacy like mine might be helped, suggesting that in this new scenario I could raise larger sums from a few supporters. I draw the analogy of a sporting event where one team has twenty players and the other team has three players. The suggestion that I could raise more money under these increased limits is like saying that the team with the three players can just play harder to compete against the team with the twenty players. This argument does not reflect the real world in which candidates like me do not have access to wealthy contributors.

8. These increased hard money contribution limits will have the effect of drowning out my voice in my future candidacy for the U.S. Senate and the voices of my voter-supporters. They will prevent me from participating in the electoral process on an equal basis.

I declare under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

This 30<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2002.

  
Ted Glick