BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
1101 14th Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 736-2200

v. MUR No. ________

DANIEL DEFENSE, LLC
101 Warfighter Way
Black Creek, GA 31308

COMPLAINT

1. Daniel Defense, LLC (“Daniel Defense”) is a gun manufacturer that has been awarded more than eleven million dollars in contracts to supply guns and gun accessories to the federal government.¹ On January 6, 2021, Daniel Defense made a $100,000 political contribution to Gun Owners Action Fund, a super PAC, while it had multiple open contracts with federal agencies. In doing so, Daniel Defense violated federal campaign finance laws that expressly prohibit federal contractors from making political contributions. Allowing federal contractors like Daniel Defense to make political contributions would risk creating a “pay to play” culture of political corruption, in which companies benefiting from taxpayer-funded federal contracts receive favored treatment in exchange for their political contributions. As such, the federal contractor contribution ban has for decades served as a bulwark against corruption and the appearance of corruption, thus upholding accountability and integrity in the democratic process.

2. This complaint is filed pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(1) and is based on information and belief that Daniel Defense violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 ("FECA") prohibition on federal contractor contributions by contributing $100,000 to Gun Owners Action Fund.²

3. If the Federal Election Commission ("FEC" or "Commission"), “upon receiving a complaint . . . has reason to believe that a person has committed, or is about to commit, a violation of [the Federal Election Campaign Act] . . . [t]he Commission shall make an investigation of such alleged violation . . . .”³

FACTS

4. Gun Owners Action Fund is an independent-expenditure only political committee ("IEOPC") that registered with the Commission on December 10, 2020.⁴ Its treasurer is Nancy H. Watkins.⁵

5. On January 6, 2021, Gun Owners Action Fund received a $100,000 contribution from Daniel Defense, which disclosed an address of 101 Warfighter Way, Black Creek, GA, 31308.⁶

6. Daniel Defense⁷ describes itself on its website as a “a family owned and privately held firearms manufacturer located in Black Creek, Georgia,” and lists its address as 101 Warfighter Way, Black Creek, GA 31308.⁸ A page on Daniel Defense’s website titled “U.S. Government & Foreign Military Sales (FMS)” states that “the Daniel Defense Rail Interface

³ 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(2) (emphasis added); see also 11 C.F.R. § 111.4(a)
⁵ Id.
System II (RIS II) was designed at the request of the United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) for the SOPMOD II weapons system. After years of rigorous testing by Special Forces Operators, Daniel Defense was selected as the Sole Source Provider of the RIS II. Daniel Defense has and is still delivering thousands of units and they are continuing to be fielded by SOCOM.”

According to USAspending.gov, “the official source for spending data for the U.S. Government,” Daniel Defense has been awarded $1.9 million total in federal contracts and contract indefinite delivery vehicles (IDV) from the Department of State (DOS), the Department of Defense (DOD), and the Department of Justice (DOJ). In March 2022, the company was also awarded a $9.1 million DOD indefinite-delivery/indefinite-quantity contract (IDIQ).

As detailed in the attached table, Daniel Defense had multiple federal contracts — specifically, three IDVs and one purchase order — open at the time it made a $100,000 contribution to Gun Owners Action Fund:

---

13 Table of Federal Contracts (attached as Exhibit B).
• An indefinite delivery contract ("IDC") with the Department of the Navy, NSWC Crane, with a product or service code ("PSC") of "GUNS, THROUGH 30MM" and a description of "CONTRACT CEILING";¹⁴

• An IDC with the Defense Logistics Agency with a PSC of "GUNS, THROUGH 30MM" and a description of "ADAPTER RAIL, WEAPON";¹⁵

• A purchase order with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), with a PSC of "MISCELLANEOUS WEAPONS" and a description of "SOD RIFLES & SUPPRESSORS FOR T&E";¹⁶

• A Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA) with the Department of State, Bureau of Diplomatic Security, with a PSC of "ASSEMBLIES INTERCHANGEABLE BETWEEN WEAPONS IN TWO OR MORE CLASSES", and a description of "BPA FOR DANIEL DEFENSE REPLACEMENT PARTS".¹⁷

9. During the 2022 election cycle, Gun Owners Action Fund has received $110,000 in itemized contributions — i.e., Daniel Defense’s $100,000 contribution constitutes 90% of the committee’s contributions this election cycle — and has made over $130,000 in independent expenditures.¹⁸

10. During the 2020 election cycle, Gun Owners Action Fund received a $100,000 contribution from Sig Sauer, another gunmaker and federal government contractor, which the committee refunded several weeks after Campaign Legal Center filed a complaint with the Commission alleging that Sig Sauer had violated the federal contractor contribution ban.¹⁹

**Summary of the Law**

11. Under FECA, a “contribution” is defined as “any gift . . . of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office.”²⁰

12. Federal law prohibits a federal contractor from making any “contribution to any political party, committee, or candidate for public office” at any time between the commencement of negotiations for a federal contract and the completion of performance or termination of negotiations for the contract.²¹

13. Federal law additionally prohibits any person from knowingly soliciting such a contribution from a federal contractor.²²

14. Under government contracting law, indefinite quantity contracts (IDC) and blanket purchase agreements (BPA) are types of federal contracts.²³ Federal regulations provide that IDC contracts “must require the Government to order” and the contractor to furnish at least a stated

---


²³ 48 C.F.R. § 16.504 (“Description. An indefinite-quantity contract provides for an indefinite quantity, within stated limits, of supplies or services during a fixed period.”); id. § 13.303-1 (“A blanket purchase agreement (BPA) is a simplified method of filling anticipated repetitive needs for supplies or services by establishing “charge accounts” with qualified sources of supply.”).
minimum quantity of supplies or services,” and “if ordered, the contractor must furnish any additional quantities, not to exceed the stated maximum.”

15. The contractor contribution ban applies to any person “who enters into any contract with the United States or any department or agency thereof” for “the rendition of personal services” or for “furnishing any material, supplies, or equipment,” or for “selling any land or building,” if “payment for the performance of such contract or payment for such material, supplies, equipment, land, or building is to be made in whole or in part from funds appropriated by the Congress.”

16. The contractor contribution ban applies from when a request for proposals is sent out (or when contractual negotiations commence) until the completion of performance of the contract or the termination of negotiations.

17. The Commission has made clear since at least 2011 that the government contractor prohibition applies to contributions to IEOPCs: in MUR 6403, the Commission emphasized that a contractor making a contribution to a political committee to fund independent expenditures is not itself making an expenditure; therefore, a contribution to such a committee falls “squarely within the statute’s prohibitions.”

18. Moreover, in 2017, the Commission noted that there is no de minimis exception to the federal contractor contribution, finding that even if a contributor’s federal contract work is

---

24 Id. § 16.504(a)(1) (emphases added); see Factual and Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 7843 (Marathon Petroleum Company LP) (finding reason to believe respondents violated the federal contractor contribution ban by making IEOPC contributions while negotiating or performing under IDV federal contracts).
26 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1); 11 C.F.R.§ 115.1(b).
27 Factual and Legal Analysis at 5, 9, MUR 6403 (Alaskans Standing Together).
only a “small fraction” of its overall business, this “does not negate the company’s status as a federal contractor.”

19. Even when the prohibited contractor contribution has been refunded, the Commission has pursued enforcement action. In 2019, the Commission found reason to believe federal contractor Ring Power Corporation violated Section 30119 when it contributed $50,000 to an IEOPC, finding that Ring Power’s remedial measures, including obtaining a refund of the illegal contribution from the IEOPC, “do not excuse the violation.”

20. The federal contractor ban applies in circumstances where there is “a very specific quo for which the contribution may serve as the quid,” and it was upheld unanimously by the en banc U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in *Wagner v. FEC*, where the court stated that “the record offers every reason to believe that, if the dam barring contributions were broken, more money in exchange for contracts would flow through the same channels already on display.”

**CAUSE OF ACTION**

I. **DANIEL DEFENSE, LLC VIOLATED THE FEDERAL CONTRACTOR CONTRIBUTION BAN**

21. FECA and Commission regulations prohibit a federal contractor from making a contribution to any political committee during the period in which a federal contract is being negotiated or performed.

22. According to USAspending.gov, Daniel Defense is a federal contractor, and was a federal contractor when it made a $100,000 contribution to Gun Owners Action Fund on January 6,

---

28 Factual and Legal Analysis at 4-5, MUR 7099 (Suffolk Construction Co., Inc.) (finding reason to believe that federal contractor Suffolk Construction Company, Inc. violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1) by contributing $200,000 to an IEOPC).

29 Factual and Legal Analysis at 4, MUR 7451 (Ring Power Corp.); see Factual and Legal Analysis at 2-3, MUR 7568 (Alpha Marine Servs., Inc.) (same).

30 *Wagner v. FEC*, 793 F.3d 1, 18, 22 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (en banc).

2021. Specifically, at the time it made the contributions at issue, Daniel Defense had multiple active contracts to “furnish[] any material, supplies, or equipment to the United States or any department or agency thereof,” in particular, the Department of the Navy, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the Department of State Bureau of Diplomatic Security, and the Defense Logistics Agency.

Consequently, there is reason to believe that Daniel Defense, a federal contractor, violated FECA’s federal contractor contribution ban by making a $100,000 contribution to an IEOPC, Gun Owners Action Fund, during the period its federal contracts were being negotiated and/or performed.

---

32 See supra ¶ 8.
33 See Exhibit B.
PRAYER FOR RELIEF

24. Wherefore, the Commission should find reason to believe that Daniel Defense, LLC violated 52 U.S.C. § 30101 et seq., and conduct an immediate investigation under 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(2).

25. The Commission should seek appropriate sanctions for any and all violations, including civil penalties sufficient to deter future violations and an injunction prohibiting the respondents from any and all violations in the future, and should seek such additional remedies as are necessary and appropriate to ensure compliance with the FECA.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Saurav Ghosh
Campaign Legal Center, by
Saurav Ghosh, Esq.
1101 14th Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 266-5143

Saurav Ghosh, Esq.
Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
Counsel to the Campaign Legal Center
June 9, 2022
VERIFICATION

The complainant listed below hereby verify that the statements made in the attached Complaint are, upon their information and belief, true.


For Complainant Campaign Legal Center

Saurav Ghosh, Esq.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 9 day of June 2022.

[Signature]

Notary Public

City/County of Fairfax
Commonwealth of Virginia
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 9 day of June, 2022
by Saurav Ghosh
Notary Public
My commission expires 09/30/2024
EXHIBIT A
U.S. GOVERNMENT & FOREIGN MILITARY SALES (FMS)

Daniel Defense Government product line is available for U.S. DoD and other U.S. Government agencies, as well for International Customers under the Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Program. The Daniel Defense Government line of rifles, rails, parts and accessories constitutes an innovative upgrade for the M4A1 / M16A4 family. The Daniel Defense Rail Interface System II (RIS II) was designed at the request of the United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) for the SOPMOD II weapons system. After years of rigorous testing by Special Forces Operators, Daniel Defense was selected as the Sole Source Provider of the RIS II. Daniel Defense has and is still delivering thousands of units and they are continuing to be fielded by SOCOM. In addition to that, the UK Ministry of Defense selected Daniel Defense as the sole provider for rail systems for it SA80/L85A2 improvement program.

For Foreign Military Sales (FMS) orders, the Daniel Defense Government line could be ordered either through "USASAC/TACOM LCMC - Warren" or "NIPO/NSWC - Crane" channels.

M4A1 FSP RAIL INTERFACE SYSTEM, RIS II (FDE)
$440.00

MK18 RAIL INTERFACE SYSTEM II, RIS II (FDE)
$440.00

M4A1 RAIL INTERFACE SYSTEM II, RIS II (FDE)
$440.00
EXHIBIT B
## Daniel Defense Federal IDVs and Contract Open at the Time of its Contribution to Gun Owners Action Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award ID</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>PSC</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Awarding Agency</th>
<th>Awarding Sub Agency</th>
<th>Contract Award Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19AQMM19A0176</td>
<td>9/1/2019</td>
<td>8/31/2024</td>
<td>1090: ASSEMBLIES</td>
<td>BPA FOR DANIEL DEFENSE REPLACEMENT PARTS.</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
<td>Department of State</td>
<td>BPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INTERCHANGEABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BETWEEN WEAPONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IN TWO OR MORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLASSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THROUGH 30MM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0016420DS016</td>
<td>8/28/2020</td>
<td>8/31/2024</td>
<td>1005: GUNS,</td>
<td>CONTRACT CEILING</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
<td>Department of the Navy</td>
<td>IDIQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>THROUGH 30MM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15A00020PAQA00529</td>
<td>7/29/2020</td>
<td>2/6/2021</td>
<td>1095: MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>SOD RIFLES&amp;SUPPRESSORS FOR T&amp;E</td>
<td>Department of Justice</td>
<td>Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms</td>
<td>Purchase Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WEAPONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Explosives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>