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From the President

2021 was a year when many Americans witnessed firsthand the numerous challenges facing American democracy. The former president, defeated for re-election by the voters, pushed stolen election lies, and those lies took hold among many of his supporters, culminating in unprecedented pressure on state election officials and legislators to take illegal actions, and finally the effort to force the vice president in his role presiding over the electoral vote counting in Congress to overturn the results of the 2020 presidential election. Thankfully, the vice president sought independent legal advice and fulfilled his role as a presider, not a decider, but these events made clear that there are powerful forces working to manipulate our citizens and our laws protecting democracy.

In the aftermath of this contested presidential election, we subsequently witnessed a wave of actions by politicians in the states to pass legislation restricting the freedom to vote. As the year went on, we also began to see partisan actors try to manipulate post-election processes, in part by pushing out independent and experienced election officials in favor of zealous partisans.

Against the backdrop of these anti-voter efforts, the once-in-a-decade redistricting cycle that began in 2021 has further revealed the promises and perils of the state of fair maps and gerrymandering in America. And by the end of 2021, it was looking like the movement toward the biggest federal voting rights legislation in decades would not succeed in Congress.

If 2021 brought the challenges before us into greater contrast, it also revealed that CLC and our partners are ready to meet them.

In the coming pages, you’ll learn more about how CLC stood up for voters. You’ll learn about CLC’s lawsuits to halt restrictive voting barriers; about CLC’s leadership in efforts to update the Electoral Count Act of 1887 (the law providing the primary legal framework for casting and counting electoral votes); about CLC Action’s groundbreaking work to stop groups like the National Rifle Association from violating election laws and secretly buying influence over elected officials; about CLC’s efforts to hold members of Congress accountable for stock trading; and about CLC’s efforts to educate voters about how they can hold their government accountable.

Thank you for standing with us in this fight for every American’s right to responsive government and a fair opportunity to participate in and affect the democratic process.

Sincerely,

Trevor Potter
Campaign Legal Center, President and Founder
It should go without saying, but politicians and partisan actors shouldn’t be able to sabotage elections or overturn election results.

In 2021, CLC identified election sabotage as a key threat to democracy in 2022 and beyond. CLC made combating election sabotage an organizational priority and emerged as a key leader in advocating for updating the Electoral Count Act of 1887 so that it can meet the challenges of today. CLC also continued its involvement in the diverse, cross-partisan National Task Force on Election Crises.

Advocacy for Updating the Electoral Count Act of 1887

The Electoral Count Act of 1887 (ECA) provides the primary legal framework for casting and counting electoral votes, but it has not been updated since its enactment more than 130 years ago, and it is rife with gaps and ambiguities that make it confusing.

In the winter and spring of 2021, CLC convened a group of national constitutional lawyers and scholars to address potential changes to the ECA. CLC successfully hosted several meetings over a two-month period.

Since then, CLC has been working on this issue with congressional staff and has engaged partners across the political spectrum to build support for ECA updates. CLC’s Trevor Potter engaged with right-of-center thinkers on Twitter and shared supportive opinions from the National Review, the Cato Institute and others. Trevor and CLC Board Chair Norman Ornstein also wrote an opinion piece in Talking Points Memo.

CLC, Protect Democracy, Issue One and RepresentUS also commissioned a poll that showed strong bipartisan support for updating the ECA.
In December 2021, CLC hosted a virtual public event titled “How Can We Keep Partisan Politics Out of Election Administration?” CLC welcomed guests Tammy Patrick, senior advisor to the Elections program at the Democracy Fund, and Peg Perl, director of elections for Arapahoe County, Colorado, to this fruitful and productive conversation. CLC hosted several virtual public events in 2021 on diverse democracy topics.

Also in December 2021, CLC published the explainer “What Happens To My Ballot After I Vote?” This piece, available on CLC’s website and accessible to voters through search engines, provides information about ballot counting processes so voters can feel certain and confident about casting their ballots. CLC’s blog content helps to educate our audiences about our work and provide voters with trustworthy information.
Whatever our color, background or zip code, most of us believe that, when it comes to our elections, we want a transparent process we can trust, where Americans have equal freedom to vote.

In 2021, CLC made valuable contributions to the fight for the freedom to vote and for safe and accessible elections for all.

**Defending and Advancing Americans’ Freedom to Vote**

CLC’s Freedom to Vote Lawsuits

**VICTORY FOR THE PASCUA YAQUI TRIBE IN ARIZONA**

The Pascua Yaqui Tribe, CLC and the Pima County Recorder’s Office signed an agreement on Aug. 16, 2021, that will establish an early voting site on the Pascua Yaqui reservation before the 2022 midterm election for every statewide primary and general election.

This settlement represented a significant victory for the reservation’s 4,000 residents, ending a long-running dispute stemming from a controversial decision in 2018 by former Pima County Recorder F. Ann Rodriguez to remove an early voting location on the reservation.

The Pascua Yaqui Tribe had advocated for the reinstatement of the early voting location in every election since Rodriguez removed the site weeks before the 2018 election.

The Tribe was represented by CLC, Osborn Maledon and the Indian Legal Clinic at Arizona State University.
Challenging Voting Barriers in Georgia

In 2021, CLC surveyed numerous potential avenues for litigation to protect voters. CLC has prioritized lawsuits to protect the First Amendment rights of nonpartisan voter-turnout nonprofits, whose activities were targeted by new state laws in 2021.

One of these lawsuits was filed in federal court in Georgia. CLC is representing a coalition of civic participation groups to block a new state law, S.B. 202, which, among many other problems, restricts the distribution of vote-by-mail applications to voters.

In a victory for voters, on Dec. 9, 2021, a federal court said CLC’s lawsuit challenging Georgia’s anti-voter law can move forward. CLC is preparing for trial at the time of publication.

Telling Stories of Those Impacted by Anti-Voter Efforts

**GAYLON TOOTLE**

CLC interviewed Gaylon Tootle, a blind registered voter in Georgia, on the impact of anti-voter bills in Georgia, especially for Black Georgians and Georgians with disabilities. Tootle’s remarks can be accessed on CLC’s YouTube page.

**VOTEAMERICA**

On our website, CLC published the story of Debra Cleaver, the founder and CEO of VoteAmerica, one of our clients in the Georgia lawsuit. She wrote that she fears Georgia’s law will have a chilling effect on nonpartisan voter outreach if it is not struck down.
Defending and Advancing Americans’ Freedom to Vote

CLC Plays Leading Role in Advocating for Federal Voting Legislation

CLC has been a strong advocate for federal election legislation for years—far before 2021. CLC has emerged as the go-to organization for legal/legislative review of all relevant democracy bills.

Throughout 2021 and into early 2022, CLC strongly supported the For the People Act, which passed the U.S. House in March 2021, and the subsequent Freedom to Vote and Freedom to Vote: John R. Lewis Acts, the latter of which incorporated the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act, which aims to restore the Voting Rights Act after it was undermined by the U.S. Supreme Court in 2013.

CLC’s Trevor Potter testified on Capitol Hill in support of the For the People Act in spring 2021. During his testimony, Trevor shared CLC’s analysis that the For the People Act would not benefit one political party over another and that many of the provisions have a long history of bipartisan sponsorship and support.

CLC was frequently in contact with congressional offices and served as an important part of the conversation advocating for the legislation in both traditional and digital media.
CLC Tracks Voting Policies at the State Level

On Aug. 11, 2021, CLC released a report grading states on their 2021 legislative sessions, specifically on how states changed their vote-by-mail and early voting laws in 2021. CLC’s report used a 10-point scale to grade the performance of all states that had completed their legislative sessions, examining the impact of changes caused by COVID-19 and detailing which states made those changes permanent. CLC’s report found that seven states enacted restrictive laws in the first half of the year, while nine states made vote-by-mail and early voting more accessible. CLC’s scorecard was featured in a report by the Fulcrum, and CLC used this report to educate reporters, partners and the public about the freedom to vote in the states.

CLC’s Caleb Jackson shared CLC’s state scorecard on Twitter and called for legislative action to protect the freedom to vote. In 2021, CLC spokespeople advocated for democracy on social media.
It’s important that every American has access to fair representation. The voting district maps drawn every 10 years have a big impact on our everyday lives. They determine which politicians our communities can vote for to represent us, and this impacts things like health care, the economy, pandemic response and more.

In 2021, CLC continued its long-running advocacy for fair maps. CLC advocated for fair representation in states like Wisconsin and Colorado, and won historic victories in Yakima County, Washington and Virginia Beach, Virginia. CLC organized a social media day of action—#OurFairMaps day—in April, and a diverse group of voters posted videos sharing why fair maps matter to them. And CLC continued its advocacy for and defense of independent redistricting commissions—a solution to take map drawing out of the hands of politicians and into the hands of citizens.

CLC Successfully Defended Michigan’s Voter-Approved Independent Redistricting Commission in Appeals Court, and Fairer Maps Followed

In May, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit upheld the state of Michigan’s voter-approved independent redistricting commission (IRC) as constitutional in Daunt v. Benson. CLC represents Voters Not Politicians, the grassroots group that drafted and sponsored the constitutional amendment to create an IRC.

Michigan’s IRC, which CLC defended, is made up of 13 registered voters. Those commissioners were obliged to follow redistricting criteria designed to ensure fairer maps.

The partisan fairness metrics used by CLC’s PlanScore.org demonstrate that Michigan’s IRC adhered to its call to ensure fairness for the congressional, state Senate and state House plans. In this way, Michigan’s IRC demonstrated how IRCs can be used to step away from partisan gerrymandering and toward fair maps.

Additionally, the process for Michigan’s IRC was generally more transparent than partisan-led legislative redistricting, which was beneficial for Michigan voters.
CLC Presents PlanScore.org, the Premier Tool to Measure Gerrymandering

In anticipation of the Aug. 16, 2021 release of redistricting data by the U.S. Census Bureau to the states, CLC relaunched PlanScore.org, an empowering online tool to measure voting maps and evaluate them for partisan impact.

Visitors to PlanScore.org can upload new district maps as they are being proposed and assess whether the plans are gerrymandered.

The site also contextualizes the gerrymandering taking place in the current cycle by allowing visitors to click on states and compare them to other states or previous maps from the same state. PlanScore.org presents the most comprehensive historical dataset of partisan gerrymandering ever assembled, going back to the 1970s.

In October, CLC launched the PlanScore library feature, giving visitors access to numerous analyses of noteworthy redistricting maps proposed around the country. The PlanScore library includes plans scored using various fairness metrics, as well as links to raw files and authoritative sources.

CLC’s PlanScore.org is an indispensable tool for fair maps advocacy. It helps voters and advocates easily see whether politicians in their states are rigging maps to keep themselves in power—which impacts everyday issues Americans care about. At the time of publication, PlanScore has been cited at least 59 times by media outlets since its inception.

→ VISIT PLANSCORE.ORG TO SEE FOR YOURSELF!

CLC’s Paul Smith Argues for Fair Maps at the U.S. Supreme Court

In 2017, CLC’s Paul Smith told the court there would be a “festival of gerrymandering” without federal judicial action. Unfortunately, that has happened. Now, we are actively challenging unfair maps in state courts across the country.
CLC has long been a leader in advocating for changes to our campaign finance system to ensure more accountability, more transparency and less corruption.

In 2021, CLC focused tremendous energy on promoting transparency in our political system and raising awareness about the harmful effects of secret spending in our elections. For example, CLC produced a new video explaining “dark money” and why it matters (check it out on YouTube!), successfully advocated for a veto of an anti-transparency bill in North Carolina and continued representing the city of Santa Fe in defending its political disclosure law, which protects voters’ right to know which groups are advocating for and against municipal ballot measures. In *Rio Grande Foundation v. City of Santa Fe*, CLC obtained a judgment upholding the law in January 2020, and in the summer of 2021, the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals rejected the plaintiff’s appeal of that decision. (The plaintiff has since filed a petition for certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court, and CLC is representing the city in opposing that petition.)

CLC also released a report and video in October 2021 examining the impact of DC’s Fair Elections program following the first election cycle under this promising program. Additionally, in February 2021, CLC filed one of the first-ever private citizen enforcement suits against a group (Iowa Values) that failed to register and report as a federal political committee. The case is still in its early stages, but CLC has already defeated Iowa Values’s attempt to get the case dismissed.

“Campaign Legal Center has long been a valuable partner in this work. I am ever grateful that you are there, willing and able to serve as a legal resource.”

— KATE TITUS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, COMMON CAUSE OREGON
CLC Action Wins Ability to Sue NRA Directly in Court Over Illegal Spending

This groundbreaking effort demonstrates the ingenuity and prowess of CLC Action, CLC’s 501(c)(4) partner, in addressing violations of campaign finance law, even when the federal government fails to do so.

The gun safety organization Giffords had asked the Federal Election Commission (FEC) to hold the National Rifle Association (NRA) accountable. Giffords’ complaint to the FEC detailed how the NRA illegally and surreptitiously contributed up to $35 million to the presidential campaign of Donald J. Trump and the Senate campaigns of Tom Cotton, Thom Tillis, Cory Gardner, Ron Johnson, Josh Hawley and Matt Rosendale across the 2014, 2016 and 2018 elections, in violation of federal law.

The FEC—the dysfunctional federal agency tasked with enforcing our campaign finance laws for federal campaigns—failed to enforce the law, so CLC Action, representing Giffords, took the FEC to court over its failure.

In September 2021, a federal court agreed with CLC Action’s position. The federal court ordered the FEC to act on CLC Action’s complaint within 30 days. The FEC again failed to act.

Consequently, CLC Action, on behalf of Giffords, filed suit directly against two NRA affiliates, Josh Hawley for Senate and Matt Rosendale for Montana, for violating federal campaign finance laws.

At the time of publication, the lawsuit is still ongoing, and CLC Action remains optimistic that it will be successful and will pave the way for future efforts to protect the voices of everyday Americans in our political system.

Trevor Potter on the Colbert Report

2021 marked the 10-year anniversary of CLC President Trevor Potter’s first appearance on the Colbert Report. Trevor’s on-air explanation of super PACs helped make the term a household name, and the program won a Peabody Award for excellence in reporting on money in politics.
In 2021, CLC’s ethics program pivoted to focus primarily on ethics in Congress. Ethics in Congress hadn’t received enough attention in recent years, and CLC leveraged its expertise to draw attention to systemic enforcement issues that crossed party lines.

CLC filed numerous STOCK Act complaints, published research showing the need for independent ethics enforcement in the U.S. Senate, filed complaints against members of Congress for misusing leadership PAC funds and for other infractions, and drew attention to members of Congress failing to cooperate with Office of Congressional Ethics (OCE) investigations. CLC made valuable contributions to furthering congressional ethics in 2021. For example, following one CLC complaint, the OCE found substantial reason to believe that a member of Congress’s campaign used private office space at no cost or for a rate below fair market value in violation of federal law, FEC regulations and House rules. Ensuring that ethics rules are followed is crucial for fostering public trust in government and preventing corruption.

CLC’s efforts have brought renewed attention to ethics in Congress. CLC’s ethics attorneys and work were cited in publications such as The New York Times, The Washington Post, NPR, Business Insider and local outlets reporting on members of Congress.

Additionally, for the executive branch, CLC drew attention to inspector general vacancies, the Hatch Act and President Biden’s lack of follow-through on his many ethics promises.
CLC’s Bipartisan STOCK Act Complaints Bring Momentum for Change

At the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, CLC noticed and documented a flurry of stock transactions by members of Congress. This drew attention because members had a front-row seat to information about the unfolding pandemic, and they were making consequential decisions about the economy and government spending. CLC’s research on early-pandemic congressional stock trading was cited by the Washington Post Editorial Board in a December 2021 opinion piece criticizing the practice of stock trading by members of Congress.

With congressional stock trading in the spotlight, CLC began to file complaints identifying apparent violations of the Stop Trading on Congressional Knowledge Act—or STOCK Act, for short. The STOCK Act requires members of Congress to report stock trades within 45 days of the transaction; failure to do so can result in civil and criminal penalties. CLC played a role in advocating for the law’s passage.

This law is important for protecting voters’ right to know what financial investments might be influencing politicians’ decisions. Unfortunately, this law has suffered from weak enforcement, leading to a recent widespread trend of members failing to properly disclose their stock activity.

In 2021, CLC filed STOCK Act complaints against over a dozen members of Congress from both parties to try to obtain enforcement and raise awareness of the problem.

Seven months after CLC filed a complaint with the OCE against Rep. Tom Malinowski (D-NJ) for alleged violations of the STOCK Act, OCE found “substantial reason to believe” there was a violation and took the rare step of referring the matter to the House Committee on Ethics. This represented the first true enforcement of the STOCK Act by OCE.

In late 2021 and early 2022—in part following CLC’s advocacy—the issue of congressional stock trading has gained considerable attention, and momentum toward enacting solutions is moving in the right direction. New bills have been introduced in Congress, traditional and social media scrutiny has intensified (with CLC getting press coverage and quotes) and U.S. Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi, in early 2022, signaled she may be open to changing her mind on congressional stock trading if enough members of Congress support change. U.S. House Minority Leader Kevin McCarthy floated the idea of restricting individual stock trades if Republicans retake the House in 2022.
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DONATE TO CLC
You can donate to Campaign Legal Center online by going to campaignlegal.org/donate or by mailing a check to:

Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th St. NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005

If you wish to make a gift via wire or stock, please contact us, and we can provide you with that information.

CLC has a policy of disclosing all donations over $200, in keeping with our values of transparency and accountability.

SIGN UP FOR OUR MAILING LIST
Subscribe using the form found at campaignlegal.org/get-updates

FOLLOW US AND ENGAGE WITH OUR WORK ON SOCIAL MEDIA

@CampaignLegal
linkedin.com/company/campaignlegalcenter
facebook.com/CampaignLegalCenter/
campaignlegal
youtube.com/c/CampaignLegalCenter
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iskender Akhmedov</td>
<td>Redistricting Data and Policy Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Atkins</td>
<td>Multimedia Strategist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhya Bathija</td>
<td>Vice President, Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair Bowie</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel and Restore Your Vote Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Bright</td>
<td>Sr. Vice President, Operations and Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Brooks</td>
<td>Sr. Staff Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Calderon-Fonseca</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Caputo</td>
<td>Legal Counsel, Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathaniel Caspari</td>
<td>Operations Associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Chlopak</td>
<td>Sr. Director, Campaign Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Cunningham</td>
<td>Executive Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Danahy</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel, Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilda Daniels</td>
<td>Voting Rights Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Deutsch</td>
<td>Director, Legislative Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Dewan</td>
<td>Executive Communications Strategist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Diaz</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel, Voting Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Dorisca</td>
<td>Sr. Operations Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabrina Fisher</td>
<td>Director, Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Gaber</td>
<td>Sr. Director, Redistricting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saurav Ghosh</td>
<td>Director, Federal Campaign Finance Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophia Gonsalves-Brown</td>
<td>Researcher/Investigator, Campaign Finance and Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannal Haddad</td>
<td>Sr. Comms Manager, Voting Rights and Redistricting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly Hall</td>
<td>Director, Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Hammitt</td>
<td>Program Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Hancock</td>
<td>Director, Strategic Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Hansen</td>
<td>Skadden Fellow/Law Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annabelle Harless</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel, Redistricting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghan Hess</td>
<td>Director, Digital Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abreya Higgins</td>
<td>Paralegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Hochsstein</td>
<td>Policy Fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Hodges</td>
<td>Director, HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Horan</td>
<td>Legal Fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Huling</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel, Voting Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Jaffery</td>
<td>Vice President, Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayden Johnson</td>
<td>Legal Fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Kashdan</td>
<td>Sr. Manager, Legislative Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Hinckley Kelley</td>
<td>Sr. Director, Policy and Strategic Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Kolker</td>
<td>Sr. Counsel, Campaign Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsay Krieger</td>
<td>Development Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gicola Lane</td>
<td>Restore Your Vote Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Lang</td>
<td>Sr. Director, Voting Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simone Leeper</td>
<td>Legal Counsel, Redistricting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Llewellyn</td>
<td>Director, State Campaign Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Lyon</td>
<td>Communications Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara Malloy</td>
<td>Sr. Director, Appellate Litigation and Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaney Marsco</td>
<td>Sr. Legal Counsel, Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan McAllen</td>
<td>Director, Campaign Finance Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron McKean</td>
<td>Legal Counsel, State and Local Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aseeem Mulji</td>
<td>Legal Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orion de Nevers</td>
<td>Legal Fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adav Noti</td>
<td>Vice President and Legal Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Onderko</td>
<td>Individual Giving Strategist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kedric Payne</td>
<td>Vice President, General Counsel, and Sr. Director, Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trevor Potter</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brendan Quinn</td>
<td>Sr. Communications Manager, Campaign Finance and Ethics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sehar Razuuddin</td>
<td>Director, Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valencia Richardson</td>
<td>Equal Justice Works Fellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayson Rost</td>
<td>Paralegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Roux</td>
<td>Manager, Individual Giving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marta Rusek</td>
<td>Digital Strategist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebekah Seder</td>
<td>Manager, Institutional Giving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebekah Singleton</td>
<td>Database Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Smith</td>
<td>Sr. Vice President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Tate-Smith</td>
<td>Communications Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bee Walsh</td>
<td>HR Generalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Wieand</td>
<td>Sr. Researcher/Investigator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CLC lists all donors of $200 or more—the same threshold required for Federal Election Commission reporting.

The 2032 Trust
Don & Beth Abbott
Charitable Giving Fund
L. Abrams
John Abramson
Louis and Anne Abrons Foundation
J. Dinsmore Adams, Jr. 
Edith Allen
Joe and Christiane Alsop
Christopher and Laurie Ambrose
Katharine Anderson
Elizabeth Andrews
Nathalie & James Andrews Foundation
Christopher Angell
Ansara Fund for Essex County
Anne Arensberg
Dennis Arfmann & Julie Brown Charitable Fund
Arnold Ventures
Bethany Atkins
The Aufmuth Family Foundation
Mark Avenmarg
Carol Axelrod
Ann Backer
William M. Backer Foundation
Todd and Diane Baker
Joanna Balme
Eliza Barclay
Ralph Bates
Marc Bauer
The BDM Family Foundation
Stephen Beckwith
Berkman Charitable Trust
Dana Beyer
Richard and Elaine Binder
Margaret Bishop
Eric Bjerkholt and Sophie Hahn
Blackbaud Giving Fund
Robert Blake
Sylvia Blake
Nathan Block
Sara Bloomfield
Timothy Boggs
Derek and Sissela Bok
Cornelia Bonnie
Emily Boocher and William Dana
The Boston Foundation
Randall Bovbjerg
Cal Bowie and Alex Orfinger
Peter Boyer and Terry Gamble
Randy Brachman
The Charles and Emily Breitenbach Charitable Fund
Bright Funds Foundation
Daniel Bross
Jeff Brown
William Brown
Constance and Thomas Bruce
Andrew Bruml
John and Linda Buntin
Kendall Burgemeister
Carol and Landon Butler
Camille Butrus
Jane Cafritz
Tim Callaghan
John L. Callas Family Fund
The Cantacuzene Family Charitable Fund
Carnegie Corporation of New York
Peter Gill Case Trust
David Chan and Christine Boehm
Christopher Chapin
Charity on Top Foundation
Susan Charnley
The Chase Family Philanthropic Fund
Arthur Che
Alexander Chester
The Chicago Community Foundation
Jo Citron
Nathaniel and Hester Clapp
Karen & Stephen Clegg Fund
Ken Cluskey
Steven Cohen
Susanna Colloredo-Mansfeld
Diane Conti
Nancy Cott
Stephen Cotton
Francine Cournos
Christopher Crockett
Paul D Cronin
Cynthia Crossen and James Gleick
Crows Nest Fund
Robert Dalglish
David Datz
The Emily Davie and Joseph S. Kornfeld Foundation
Porter Dawson
Graeme Daykin
Democracy Fund
Michele de Nevers Fund
Elizabeth Dietel
William and Linda Dietel
Mary Eileen Dixon
Dolnick Family Fund
DP Fund
Hannah G. Dreissigacker Giving Fund
George Driesen
Louisa Duemling
Scott Dunham
The Dunkle Family Charitable Fund
Cynthia Dwork
Leroy and Lindsay Eakin
Doug Eck
Ben Edelman
Daniel Brandeis Edelman
Educational Charitable Trust
Edwards Family Fund of the Silicon Valley Community Foundation
Neil Eggleston
Jonathan Eisler
Ellie and Dan Fund
Laurie Emrich
Vincent Errico
Diane Faisser
Susan Falsey
Chai Feldblum
Alan and Brenda Ferber
Fidelity Charitable
Chip and Susan Fisher
Stephen and Sandra Foote
Ford Foundation
Jill Freeman
Fund for a Better Future
William and Lia G. Family Foundation
Gaillot Giving Fund
Michael Garcia
Elizabeth Garside
Kerry Garside
Sam Gershman
David and Donna Gerson
Gerson Family Fund
Julia Getzels
Deborah Gieringer
Nathalie Gilfoyle
John Glenn
Andrew Goggins
Golden Family Fund
Michael and Anne Golden
The Lisa and Douglas Goldman Fund
Susan Goldman
Horace Goldsmith Foundation
Gwen Goodkin
Thomas Gottlieb and Carol Kirsh
The Jutost Graham Family Fund
Steven Grand-Jean
Erick Grau
Bruce Green
John and Mary Greenebaum
Ruth Greenwood
Neil Greengraber
Tim Greiner
Dana and Dan Cook Grossman
Kate Grundy
Valentin Gui
Boaz Gurchin
The Andrew D. Hall III and Natalie A. Olsen Fund
Open Society Foundations
Orange County Community Foundation
Norman Ornstein
Robert Osann
Georgina Owen
Frank Pace, Jr. Foundation
The Padmosi Foundation
Anne Pallie
Pavan Pamidimarri
D Williams Parker
Stuart Parsons
Malcom and Pamela Peabody
Pearl Fund
Robert Pennoyer
Jean Perin
Joseph Perta
Peters Family Foundation
Josh Pfrimmer
David Phillips
Zachary Piaker
Marnie S. Pillsbury Fund
Susanna Place and Scott Stoll
Planning for Tomorrow
Nancy Plaxico
Judith Plotz
Sharon Plummer
Calvin Pohl
The Marc and Tracy Porosoff Charitable Fund
Porpoise Fund
Maria Poulos
Snehal Prajapati
Prentice Foundation
Present Progressive Fund
Diana Prince
The Jerold J. and Marjorie N. Principato Foundation
Christopher Prosser
Anna Prow
Laura Quigg and Bjorn Flesaker
The Raines Family Fund
Ramsey Family Fund
Heather Randall
The Red House Fund
The Reed Family Foundation
Rick Rees
Maria Reyes
Lucy Rham
Suzanne and Robert Rheinstein
John Richardson
Cary Ridder
Marie and Walter Ridder
Rockefeller Brothers Fund
Rockefeller Family Fund
Harry and Helen Roper
Andrea Rosen
Daniel Rosenblatt
Jim Rowe
John Rozel
Jodie Rubenstein
Kenneth Ruberg
Wendy Rubin
Rudd-James Giving Fund
George and Lisbeth Ruderman
Howard Ruderman
Jack Ruderman
Matthew Ruderman
Rose Ruderman
Memorial Fund
Fran and Lew Rumford
Richard and Sally Russo
Cynthia Nathanson Rust
Erica Rutherford
Kent Sabo
Samuel Freeman
Charitable Trust
The Sandler Foundation
Stanley Sandrowicz
The Zankel Scala Family Foundation
Leslie and Maury Scallet
Mark Schenken
Michael Schiavoni
Ed Schneider
Robin Schneider
Will and Suzanne Schutte
Schwab Charitable Fund
Lena Ingegerd Scott
David and Marie Scudder
Sedgwick Family Charitable Trust
Segal-Ellis Family Fund
David Segatto
The Senville Foundation
Robert Serfass
The Nancy and Greg Serrurier Fund
Shames Family Foundation
The Shared Ascent Fund
Jeffrey and Darcie Sharlefin
David Sharpe
Eli Shearn
The Sherwood Foundation
Joseph Singer
Sirad Foundation
SLC Giving Fund
Joanne Slotnik and Stephen Trimble
Peter Sly
Farwell Smith
Edward Snowdon
Robert Snyder
Alison Soccodato
Daniel Solomon
Soltani-Plotz Charitable Fund
Sony Music Group
Barbara Speth
Michael Spinella and Matthew Welch
The Bernard and Anne Spitzer Charitable Trust
The Spud Giving Fund
Lynn and Samuel Stahl
Peter Staple
Charles Stein
Tom and Susan Stepp
Margrethe and Michael Stevens
Warren Strand
David Sullivan
Sustainable Grantmaking Partners Fund
Michael Switzenbaum
Rita Tamerius
The Taniwha Fund
Tantilla Fund
Nicholas Tenev
Scott Thomas
Brian and Claire Thompson
The Thorneburg Foundation
The Three Sisters Foundation
James Tilley and Deborah Schneider
Joan Tobin
Anna Totdahl
C. Bowdoin Train
Robert Tuschman
UBS
The Unite America Institute
Catherine Vaughan
Venturous Counsel
A Professional Corporation
David and Janet Villadsen
Christopher Volk
Mallory and Diana Walker
Lekan Wang
Alden Warner and Peter Reed
Jeffrey Warner
Viviane Warren
Michael Washburn and Nancy Carmichael
The Wasserman Fund of the Essex County Community Foundation
Nicole Watson
Tracy Weber
Virginia Weil
Liza Weisberg
Jim and Marianne Welch
Wellspring Philanthropic Fund
A.W. White Family Fund
Flo Wiener and Richard Hobish
Selma Williams and Leah Peicott
Leon Wilson
Timothy Wirth
Katherine Wise
Roger and Jill Witten
Sook-Bin Woo
Fredric Woocher and Wendy Dozoretz
Richard and Robin Woods
Michael Zamore
Zegar Family Fund
Zickler Family Foundation
Joanne and Peter Ziesing
Daniel Zilkha
Michael and Nina Zilkha
Linda Zonana
### Financials

**Operating Expenses by Function**

- **Programs**: $7,844,518
- **General & Administrative**: $1,927,359
- **Development**: $1,251,631

**Total**: $11,023,508

**Operating Revenue**

- **Individual Contributions**: $9,710,691
- **Foundation Support**: $7,008,142
- **Court Awards**: $150,406
- **Miscellaneous Revenue**: $1,067,041

**Total**: $17,936,280

*much of this was designated for future years*
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Jessica Amunson
Neil Eggleston
Chai Feldblum
Faith Gay
Patrick Geddes
ADVISOR TO THE BOARD
Stuart Gerson
Deborah Hellman
Paul Klingenstein
VICE CHAIR
Thurgood Marshall, Jr.
Judge Beverly Martin
Martha Minow
Norman Ornstein
CHAIR
Trevor Potter
PRESIDENT
Theodore M. Shaw
Peter Staple
CLC’s commitment is to democracy, not to any political party or to any particular electoral result. We think about systemic impact when we choose which work to pursue, but we work at all levels of government to introduce innovative ideas that will drive significant change or set an important legal precedent. Our priority is engaging and driving action—whether in the courtroom, newsroom or statehouse.