

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

TENNESSEE CONFERENCE of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION for the ADVANCEMENT of COLORED PEOPLE, on behalf of itself and its members, and LAMAR PERRY, CURTIS GRAY Jr., JOHN WEARE, BENJAMIN TOURNIER, and AMANDA LEE MARTIN, for themselves and those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM LEE, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Tennessee, TONY C. PARKER, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the Department of Correction of the State of Tennessee, MARK GOINS, in his official capacity as Coordinator of Elections for the State of Tennessee, TRE HARGETT, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Tennessee, and MELISSA HARRELL in her official capacity as Rutherford County Clerk of Circuit Court,

Defendants.

Civil No. _____

[Class Action]

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs the Tennessee Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Lamar Perry, Curtis Gray Jr., John Weare, Benjamin Tournier, and Amanda Lee Martin (“Plaintiffs”) bring this class action against Defendants William Lee, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Tennessee, Tony C. Parker, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the Department of Correction of the State of Tennessee, Mark Goins, in his official capacity as Coordinator of Elections for the State of Tennessee, Tre Hargett, in his official capacity as

Secretary of State of the State of Tennessee and Melissa Harrell, in her official capacity as Rutherford County Clerk of Circuit Court; (“Defendants”), and allege the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This case challenges the state of Tennessee’s unequal, inaccessible, opaque, and error-ridden implementation of the statutes granting restoration of voting rights to citizens who lost the right to vote because of a felony conviction. Plaintiffs’ claims arise under the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Twenty-Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs also challenge aspects of the voter registration process for individuals with felony convictions under the National Voter Registration Act (“NVRA”).

2. The primary pathway to voting rights restoration in Tennessee is a Certificate of Restoration of Voting Rights (“COR”). Tennessee law makes clear that an individual who meets certain criteria—including completion of sentence and certain legal financial obligations—has a statutory right to a COR. The legislature intended the COR system to streamline and make uniform and objective the voting rights restoration process, which had previously primarily depended on judicial discretion. The legislature assigned Defendants responsibility for managing the COR process. But due to Defendants’ failure to administer the law properly, the process is far from streamlined, uniform, or objective. It is opaque, decentralized, inaccurate, and inaccessible.

3. By statute, if a COR is requested by an eligible Tennessean, it must be completed by an official of the “pardoning authority or incarcerating authority”—meaning the Governor or the Tennessee Department of Corrections (“TDOC”). But neither the Governor nor TDOC has implemented adequate policies, guidance, or directives to comply with that statutory mandate.

4. Many eligible and potentially eligible Tennesseans have nowhere to go to begin the COR process, except to embark on what is often a wild-goose chase to find a local official willing to complete their COR. If they are actually able to find an official who will consider completing the COR, there are no uniform procedures for determining if that person meets the eligibility criteria. A person with the same facts surrounding their conviction and service of the terms of their sentence may get a different result depending on their county of conviction and which official in that county is making the determination of their eligibility. A refusal to fill out the COR comes with no statement of reasons; the person is simply turned away. There are no means to appeal.

5. This lack of guardrails and uniform policies creates a high risk of erroneous deprivation of the statutory right to COR. Indeed, erroneous deprivation of CORs occurs regularly.

6. Tennessee has created a statutory right to a COR for individuals who meet certain criteria and who request a COR from a designated official, but Defendants collectively erroneously deprive individual plaintiffs and those similarly situated of those CORs, and the right to vote a COR restores, without due process.

7. At least one county, Rutherford County, charges a fee for production of the COR to eligible individuals, denying the COR—and thus the right to vote—to those who cannot pay. That fee constitutes a poll tax in violation of the 24th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

8. The implementation failures of the rights restoration process create an unequal, scattershot system across Tennessee's ninety-five counties, causing disparate results for similarly situated individuals, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause.

9. Furthermore, elements of Tennessee's voter registration process violate the NVRA and create additional, unnecessary barriers to the franchise for individuals with felony convictions even when they never lost the right to vote or have already had their voting rights restored.

10. These constitutional and federal law violations injure Plaintiffs and members of organizational Plaintiff, the Tennessee Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, some of whom have been disenfranchised and seek to restore their rights, others of whom never lost the right to vote but are unable to register to vote because of their non-disqualifying felony convictions. These constitutional and NVRA violations also injure the organization itself by requiring it to divert resources from its other core priorities to provide supplementary assistance to individuals seeking rights restoration and voter registration, often without success.

11. Defendants must implement constitutionally sufficient procedures so that Tennesseans who meet the statutory requirements may receive their CORs and exercise their right to vote.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. This action is brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 52 U.S.C. § 20507 (the National Voter Registration Act), and the United States Constitution. This Court therefore has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because each is a citizen of Tennessee or has his or her principal place of business in the State.

14. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because some Defendants reside in this District and all Defendants reside in Tennessee, and because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in the Middle District of Tennessee.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

15. The Tennessee Constitution permits the state legislature to disenfranchise citizens upon “conviction by a jury of some infamous crime, previously ascertained and declared by law,

and judgment thereon by court of competent jurisdiction.” Tenn. Const. art. I, § 5. This provision is not self-executing, and disenfranchisement cannot be applied retroactively. *Gaskin v. Collins*, 661 S.W.2d 865, 867 (Tenn. 1983). Thus, persons convicted of crimes are not disenfranchised unless prior to their conviction the legislature has by law “ascertained” that those crimes are infamous and “declared” that conviction of those crimes results in loss of the right to vote.

16. Before January 15, 1973, the legislature defined a specific class of infamous crimes that resulted in disenfranchisement. A conviction before January 15, 1973 only disqualifies a person from voting if the conviction is for one of approximately twenty specific crimes listed in state law at the time *and* the judgment of conviction included a statement rendering the crime “infamous.”

17. Between January 15, 1973 and May 17, 1981, Tennessee had no law on the books stating that convictions for infamous crimes result in disenfranchisement. *See Crutchfield v. Collins*, 607 S.W.2d 478, 482 (Tenn. Ct. App. 1980). Thus, felony convictions during this “grace period” *never* disqualify a Tennessean from voting. A person with convictions only from this period is eligible to register and vote.

18. For convictions on or after May 17, 1981, the legislature defined “infamous crimes” to mean *all* felonies. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-20-112. All felony convictions from May 17, 1981 on—whether by a Tennessee court, a court in another state, or a federal court—result in loss of the right to vote, until that right has been restored. *Id.* at § 2-19-143.

19. A select few felony convictions *permanently* strip a person of their right to vote with no possibility of restoration, including convictions:

- a. after July 1, 1986 for first-degree murder, aggravated rape, treason, or voter fraud;

b. between July 1, 1996 and July 1, 2006 for any degree of murder or rape, treason, or voter fraud; and

c. after July 1, 2006 for any degree of murder or rape, treason, voter fraud, bribery under Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-16-1, misconduct involving a public official or employee under *id.* § 39-16-4, interference with government operations under *id.* § 39-16-5, or any felony sexual offense under *id.* § 40-39-202 where the victim was a minor. *Id.* § 40-29-204.

20. Like its felony disenfranchisement rules, Tennessee’s rights restoration process has also changed over the years. From 1981 to 2006, for most disqualifying convictions, the only avenue to restore the right to vote was to seek a court order upon completion of the maximum sentence, or upon receiving a pardon, or, in the case of out-of-state convictions, upon civil rights restoration under the laws of the jurisdiction of conviction. *See* Tenn. Code Ann. §§ 40-29-101, 2-19-143.

21. In 2006, the Tennessee legislature revised the eligibility requirements to restore voting rights for those convicted of felonies after May 18, 1981 and created a new administrative pathway for those eligible citizens to restore their right to vote by seeking a COR. 2006 Tenn. Pub. Acts c. 860 (codified at Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-201 *et seq.*).

22. A person disenfranchised due to felony convictions after May 18, 1981 is *entitled* to voting rights restoration by COR if they meet four requirements:

a. First, the person must have completed their sentence, including prison, probation, parole, or other community supervision, or received a pardon. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202(a).

b. Second, the person must have “paid all restitution . . . ordered by the court as part of the sentence” and “all court costs assessed against the person at the conclusion of the person’s trial, except where the court has made a finding at an evidentiary hearing that the applicant is

indigent at the time of application.” *Id.* § 40-29-202(b). While the statute requires payment of restitution and court costs related to felony convictions (absent a declaration of indigency), it does *not* require payment of any criminal fines. Nor does it require payment of any legal financial obligations (“LFOs”) related to misdemeanor convictions, civil violations, or fees associated with supervision.

c. Third, the person must be “current in all child support obligations.” *Id.* § 40-29-202(c).

d. Fourth, the person may not have been convicted of certain serious crimes, which vary based on the date of the conviction. *Id.* § 40-29-204; *see supra* paragraph 19.

23. A person who meets these eligibility requirements has a right to “request, and then *shall* be issued,” a COR. *Id.* § 40-29-203(a) (emphasis added).

24. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203(a) names the categories of officials who must issue CORs upon request by eligible persons: “(1) [t]he pardoning authority; (2) [t]he warden or an agent or officer of the incarcerating authority; or (3) [a] parole officer or another agent or officer of the supervising authority.” This list encompasses the Governor (the pardoning authority) and officials within TDOC (the incarcerating and supervising authority).

25. The Coordinator of Elections is required to create the COR form along with a statement adequately explaining the form and procedure for voting rights restoration. *Id.* § 40-29-205. The Coordinator of Elections is also responsible for printing and distributing the form to TDOC and the other supervising and pardoning authorities. *Id.* The COR form currently distributed

by the Coordinator of Elections on the Secretary of State’s website¹ is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

26. A COR serves as “sufficient proof that the person . . . is no longer disqualified from voting by reason of having been convicted of an infamous crime.” *Id.* § 40-29-203(c). In other words, a validly issued COR indicates that a person has regained their right to vote.

27. After requesting and being issued a completed COR, a person seeking to exercise their right to vote must submit the COR to the election administrator in the county where the person intends to register to vote. *Id.* § 40-29-203(d). The county election administrator must send the COR to the state Coordinator of Elections, who determines whether the COR was lawfully issued and notifies the county election administrator of that decision. *Id.* If the COR is approved, the person may submit a voter registration application and, once registered, exercise their right to vote. *Id.*

28. Under this statutory framework, tens of thousands of Tennesseans are eligible for a COR and, as a matter of law, have a statutory right to restore their right to vote upon request. And state law places a mandatory duty to issue CORs to eligible citizens squarely on Defendants. But Defendants have failed to administer the straightforward process set forth in Tennessee law, leaving eligible citizens to chase down various local and county-based officials to request CORs and endure a system that is unequal, inaccessible, opaque, and inaccurate. The problems with Defendants’ COR system are avoidable and easily fixed. Until those remedies are implemented, fully eligible Tennesseans will continue to be erroneously denied restoration of their voting rights.

¹ Secretary of State of Tennessee, “SS-3041 - Certificate of Restoration of Voting Rights” at 2 (last accessed Dec. 2, 2020) <https://sos-tn-gov-files.tnsosfiles.com/SS-3041.pdf?VwD85iTeo47D8jMFbugVtkTLkgRgrUHX>.

This suit seeks to compel Defendants to administer the COR system in a manner that passes constitutional muster.

PARTIES

I. Plaintiffs

A. Organizational Plaintiff

29. Plaintiff the Tennessee State Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (“TN NAACP”) is a nonpartisan, multi-racial, non-profit membership organization headquartered in Jackson, Tennessee and is the state’s chapter of the largest and most pre-eminent civil rights organization in the country. The TN NAACP was founded in 1946 to serve as the Tennessee arm of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People. Its mission is to eliminate race-based discrimination through securing political, educational, social, and economic equality rights and ensuring the health and well-being of all persons.

30. The TN NAACP has three regional divisions—Eastern, Middle, and Western Tennessee—as well as the 33 local branch units and 22 college chapters and youth councils. The TN NAACP and most of its local branch units are primarily volunteer-run, and all officers are volunteers. In total, the TN NAACP has more than 10,000 members across the state.

31. More than 90% of the TN NAACP’s membership is Black.

32. Black voters in particular have long been silenced by Tennessee’s felony disenfranchisement regime. During Reconstruction “[d]enying African Americans citizenship due to criminal convictions, thereby rendering them legally infamous, was part of the larger effort to save the status quo of white supremacy in the South.”² The 1870 Tennessee Constitution, enacted

² See Pippa Holloway, *Living in Infamy: Felon Disenfranchisement and the History of American Citizenship* 12 (2014).

shortly after the passage of the Reconstruction Amendments, denied the right to vote to anyone convicted of “infamous crimes,” a phrase which is still the basis for disenfranchisement in the state today.

33. Tennessee’s felony disenfranchisement law continues to have a disparate impact on Black Tennesseans. Black people make up 16% of the state’s total voting-age population, but account for 39% of its disenfranchised population.³ Of the state’s 451,000 disenfranchised citizens, nearly 175,000 are Black, accounting for more than 21% of the Black voting-age population—one of the highest rates of Black disenfranchisement in the United States.⁴ Tennessee’s felony disenfranchisement laws also disenfranchise nearly 11% of the state’s Latino voting-age citizens, the highest rate of Latino disenfranchisement in the country by a significant margin.⁵

34. More than 81% of Black Tennesseans who have lost the right to vote are post-sentence, meaning they may be eligible for CORs.⁶ Because of the demographic its membership represents, there is a high statistical probability that numerous TN NAACP members are disenfranchised and have a statutory right to a COR, but are unable to vindicate that right because of Defendants’ failure to institute due process. The TN NAACP has an active chapter in Murfreesboro, Rutherford County. There is also a high statistical probability that many TN NAACP members had felony convictions only during the “grace period,” between January 15, 1973 and May 17, 1981, meaning they never lost the right to vote but are still unable to register using the Tennessee state voter registration form or the online registration form.

³ Sentencing Project, *Locked Out 2020: Estimates of People Denied Voting Rights Due to a Felony Conviction*, at 17 (Oct. 30, 2020), <https://www.sentencingproject.org/publications/locked-out-2020-estimates-of-people-denied-voting-rights-due-to-a-felony-conviction/>.

⁴ *Id.* at 18.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.*

35. Through its rights restoration work, TN NAACP is aware of members who are eligible for CORs and have requested them but have not received them. It is also aware of members who were convicted of felonies only during the grace period, and therefore have never lost the right to vote, but have been denied voter registration.

36. TN NAACP members who are disenfranchised but have a statutory right to a COR (or may have a statutory right to a COR) are harmed by their inability to request and be issued a COR pursuant to Tennessee law. Defendants' failure to administer the COR process deprives TN NAACP members of their right to vote and inflicts harm on these members each time an election passes in which they are not allowed to cast a ballot.

37. The TN NAACP diverts significant resources from its other activities related to its core mission in order to assist its constituents and other community members with the voting rights restoration process. The lack of procedural due process in Defendants' administration of CORs forces the TN NAACP to expend additional money and time helping individuals navigate a process that is designed to fail. Staff and volunteers spend significant time and money holding public education workshops trying to explain the COR process. They must tailor these events to what they understand the "process" to be in each county. Assisting individuals seeking a COR may take dozens of hours and be spread across many months. It requires spending time and money making phone calls and taxiing those members between county offices, often to no avail. The time and money spent navigating the COR process detracts from the core work of the TN NAACP.

38. The TN NAACP also expends significant resources helping its members register to vote, almost exclusively using the Tennessee state voter registration form or directing them to the online registration portal. But the Tennessee state voter registration form and online portal are designed to exclude individuals with felony convictions, even if they have the right to vote. The

TN NAACP is injured when a person they identify and help register to vote is rejected despite being eligible. When this occurs, the TN NAACP must conduct extensive follow up, including spending additional time and resources troubleshooting the problem which may include seeking very old court records that are not easily accessible. Often these efforts will not be enough to correct erroneous denials of CORs, voter registration, and the right to vote.

39. The TN NAACP's political power is diminished by the inability of its members and its constituency to vindicate their statutory right to a COR and their right to vote. The TN NAACP's core mission is to empower the African American community in Tennessee and pass policy reforms that improve the lives of its constituents.

B. Individual Plaintiffs

40. Plaintiff Lamar Perry is a resident of Shelby County, Tennessee. In 2006, Plaintiff Perry was convicted of a felony, Forgery of \$1,000 or less. He served two years on probation. In 2013, he went through a second-chance program. At the conclusion of that program, he learned of the availability of the COR to restore voting rights in Tennessee. Eager to do so, Plaintiff Perry sought a COR but was told he did not qualify because he had been assessed \$3,288.10 in LFOs, which was more than three times the amount of his forgery offense. Plaintiff Perry was surprised to learn that he owed these LFOs, as he had already paid his restitution in full and believed that to be his only debt. Still, he worked to pay down this debt. In 2020, Plaintiff Perry, believing his debt to be fully paid, again sought a COR. Because probation officers in Shelby County routinely refuse to fill out CORs, Plaintiff Perry sought his COR from the Shelby County Clerks of Criminal Court, which will sometimes complete CORs upon request. In August 2020, the Shelby County Clerk of Court's office refused to fill out his COR, stating by email that he still owed "\$1,160.10 in court costs" on his case. At the time of this filing, however, his records show that his only outstanding LFO is a criminal fine of \$952.10. Under Tennessee law, fines are *not* required to be paid to qualify

for a COR, only court costs and restitution. *See supra* paragraph 22.b. Plaintiff Perry was wrongly denied a COR in time to register to vote for the 2020 Presidential Election. Defendants have provided no specific statement of reasons for the denial of Plaintiff Perry's COR on the basis of an outstanding fine and no means for Plaintiff Perry to appeal the Shelby County Clerk's refusal to complete his COR despite his eligibility.

41. Plaintiff Curtis Gray Jr. is a resident of Shelby County, Tennessee. In 1988, Mr. Gray was convicted of drug possession in Shelby County. He wishes to vote but Shelby County officials refuse to issue him a COR because of alleged outstanding LFOs. His court records show that he owes only \$279.00 in court costs and no restitution. However, officials in the Shelby County clerk of court's office have stated by email that he must pay more than twice that amount, which appears to include \$500 clearly labeled as a "fine." Tennessee law does not require payment of fines to be eligible for a COR. *See supra* paragraph 22.b. Defendants have provided no statement of reasons explaining the reasons for the denial of Plaintiff Gray's COR on the basis of an outstanding fine and no means for Plaintiff Gray to appeal the Shelby County Clerk's assessment of what he must pay to receive his COR.

42. Plaintiff John Weare is a resident of Lewis County, Tennessee. He wishes to restore his right to vote in Tennessee but has been unable to acquire a COR, or, in the alternative, a denial of a COR and a statement of reasons that would allow him to understand or appeal such a denial. Instead, he has been passed from agency to agency, spending countless time waiting on hold or being hung up on, only to be told that no one is willing to fill out his COR. In 1997, Mr. Weare was convicted of aggravated assault in Maricopa County, Arizona, and of aggravated assault on a minor in Yuma County, Arizona in 2003. He has completed all terms of his sentence and does not believe that he owes any court costs or restitution. Plaintiff Weare has asked multiple officials,

including clerks and probation officers in Maricopa and Yuma Counties, to fill out his COR but none has agreed or given him written denial. He has no means to appeal these non-decisions. The officials he has spoken with have directed him instead to Arizona's rights restoration process which involves a court petition in Arizona state court that would not achieve recognition of his right to vote in Tennessee. The Governor of Tennessee has the power to grant clemency to Plaintiff Weare for purposes of restoring his right to vote, and is therefore "a pardoning authority" for purposes of Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202; however, there is no mechanism for Plaintiff Weare to request a COR from the Governor.

43. Plaintiff Benjamin Virgil Tournier is a resident of Jackson, Madison County, Tennessee. For many years, Plaintiff Tournier believed that he was permanently barred from the franchise because he was convicted of theft of property in Yavapai County, Arizona in 1997 and forgery in Maricopa County, Arizona in 2001. But in 2020, he learned that he may be eligible for rights restoration and, if so, could register to vote by seeking CORs for his convictions and submitting them to his county election commission. It is his understanding that he would need one COR completed for each of his felony convictions, although this is an outstanding question that Defendants have failed to clarify. Three days after being released from prison in Arizona for his forgery conviction, Plaintiff Tournier moved to Tennessee through an interstate compact to be with his mother. He completed parole in Tennessee in 2003 under the authority of the Tennessee Department of Corrections. He does not believe he owes (and his records do not show) any outstanding court costs or restitution on his Maricopa County felony conviction. However, he and his advocates' attempts to find an official in Maricopa County willing to complete the COR have failed. Officials in probation and the county clerk's office have repeatedly referred him to Arizona's rights restoration process by petition to a court. Completing that process would be

burdensome and pointless because, according to the Tennessee Secretary of State's Elections Division, it would not restore his right to vote in Tennessee. He has received no official denial from Maricopa County, has no more officials to ask, and has no means to appeal his inability to receive a COR from Maricopa County. Since he served out his sentence on his conviction from Maricopa County under the supervision of TDOC, under law, he should be able to request a COR from a Tennessee probation officer. However, he has been unable to obtain a COR from the probation office in Madison County. Upon going in person to request a COR, Plaintiff Tournier was told to fill out the top portion of the form (despite the instructions on the form that it is not to be filled out by the applicant) and to leave it there to be filled out by an officer. He was told they will only fill out the half of the form then he must retrieve it to bring it to the Madison County Clerk of Court to complete the rest. The Clerk of Court in Madison County will not have a record of Plaintiff Tournier's conviction, however, because it originated in Maricopa County, Arizona. Therefore, once he receives the partially filled out form, he will still need to an official in Maricopa County to complete the rest, which they have already refused to do. Additionally, Plaintiff Tournier's attempts to find an official willing to complete a COR in Yavapai County led to the discovery that he has outstanding LFOs in that county. The Yavapai County Clerk has reported that Plaintiff Tournier still owes \$1,855 in "probation fees and attorneys' fees." He does not owe any child support obligations. It is not clear based on Yavapai's categorization of these obligations whether they would be considered "court costs" for purposes of the Tennessee COR requirements. He has no means by which to seek a determination on that matter. The Governor of Tennessee has the power to grant clemency to Plaintiff Tournier for his convictions for purposes of restoring his right to vote, and is therefore "a pardoning authority," for purposes of Tenn. Code § 40-29-202, however, there is no mechanism for Plaintiff Tournier to request a COR from the Governor.

44. Plaintiff Amanda Lee Martin is a resident of Chuckey, Tennessee in Greene County. In 2005, she was convicted of a federal drug-related felony in the Eastern District of Tennessee. She completed her sentence, including probation, in 2010. Upon release from probation, her probation officer gave her a partially completed COR confirming that she had finished all carceral and supervisory terms of her sentence. Since her probation officer would not complete the remaining portion of the COR with respect to her LFOs, Plaintiff Martin then went to the clerk's office at the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee in Greeneville to ask them to complete the remaining portions of the COR, which would confirm that she owed no outstanding court costs or restitution. The federal court clerk office refused and directed her to the Greene County Circuit Court Clerk office. When she arrived at the Greene County Circuit Court Clerk office, they also refused and sent her back to the federal court. Plaintiff Martin went back to the federal court clerk office, and they finally completed the COR. Plaintiff Martin brought the completed COR to the Greene County Election Commission. But weeks later, she received a letter informing her that she had submitted the wrong form and would have to get a new enclosed form filled out by the appropriate officials all over again. The new enclosed form requested exactly the same information as the COR Plaintiff Martin had already submitted but in a different order. She wished to restore her right to vote in the November 2020 election. But after facing arbitrary and unjustifiable administrative roadblocks once before, she feared that her COR would not be accepted a second time. Plaintiff Martin did not receive a written denial of her request for a COR, she has not received a statement of reasons, and she had no way to appeal this non-decision which prevented her from receiving her COR.

45. Plaintiffs Perry, Gray, Weare, Tournier, and Martin ("Individual Plaintiffs") seek to represent the class of similarly situated Tennessee residents as defined below.

II. Defendants

46. Defendant William Lee is the Governor of Tennessee (“the Governor”) and is sued in his official capacity. The Governor is Tennessee’s chief executive, must “take care that the laws be faithfully executed,” and has the “power to grant . . . pardons” to any individual convicted of a crime. Tenn. Const. art. III, §§ 1, 6, 10. The Governor also appoints the Commissioner of the Department of Correction who holds the office at his pleasure. Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-3-112.

47. Defendant Tony Parker is the Commissioner of the Department of Correction (“the Commissioner”) and is sued in his official capacity. The Commissioner is the executive officer of TDOC and is charged with the department’s general management. *Id.* §§ 4-3-111, 4-3-603. As Commissioner, Parker supervises the wardens, parole officers, agents, and other officers who are responsible for issuing CORs to eligible persons. *Id.* §§ 40-29-203(a), 4-6-107, 4-3-602.

48. Defendant Mark Goins is the Coordinator of Elections for Tennessee (“the Coordinator”) and is sued in his official capacity. The Coordinator is the head of the Tennessee Secretary of State’s Election Division (the “Election Division”), “the chief administrative election officer of the state,” and charged with “obtain[ing] and maintain[ing] uniformity in the application, operation, and interpretation of the election code.” *Id.* § 2-11-201(b); *see also id.* §§ 2-11-202, 2-11-215. As Coordinator, Goins is responsible for “prepar[ing] a certificate of voting rights restoration form and the written statement explaining the form and the procedure by which a person can . . . become eligible to vote.” *Id.* § 40-29-205. As the chief election officer, Goins is thereby the official responsible for coordinating implementation of the requirements of the National Voter Registration Act. *See* 52 U.S.C. § 20509.

49. Defendant Tre Hargett, is the Secretary of State of Tennessee (“the Secretary”) and is sued in his official capacity. The Secretary appoints the Coordinator of Elections who serves “at

the pleasure of the secretary of state” and may make regulations only as necessary to carry out the election code with “the concurrence of the secretary of state.” Tenn. Code Ann. § 2-11-201(a), (c).

50. Melissa Harrell is the Rutherford County Clerk of Circuit Court and is sued in her official capacity.

FACTS

I. Defendants’ Failure to Properly Administer the Rights Restoration Process Arbitrarily and Erroneously Deprives Thousands of Eligible Voters Access to the Franchise.

51. Tennessee’s rights restoration statute delegates the responsibility of issuing CORs to Defendants, who together comprise the pardoning, incarcerating, and supervising authorities in the state. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203(a). Yet Defendants have failed in their duty to administer a standardized, accurate, and navigable process for eligible citizens to “request” and “be issued” CORs under Tennessee law. *Id.* In the absence of any meaningful action by Defendants, various local and county-level officials have been left to field COR requests, resulting in a decentralized, inaccurate, and inaccessible COR system that fails to meet the basic demands of due process.

52. This scattershot COR system violates due process. It lacks basic constitutionally-mandated safeguards that Defendants could readily implement to minimize erroneous deprivation of the right to a COR. These safeguards include: access to an impartial decision-maker, a decision based on the legal rules, an explanation of the reasons for the decision, guidance to ensure uniform interpretation of the law, and an opportunity to be heard on appeal. *See Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 325 n.4 (1976) (citing *Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254 (1970)).

53. The current COR system creates a high risk that an eligible person will be erroneously deprived of a COR in at least five ways: (i) There is no uniform procedure for potentially eligible Tennesseans to initiate a COR request with an impartial decisionmaker; (ii) There is no procedure requiring officials who are statutorily required to issue CORs to provide an

affirmative or negative determination of a person’s eligibility; (iii) There is no procedure requiring the statutorily designated officials to explain a decision not to issue a COR; (iv) There is no state-level guidance or regulation to help county officials uniformly interpret and apply the statutory eligibility requirements; and (v) There is no appeals process for individuals who believe they have been erroneously deprived of a COR.

i. Initiating a COR Request

54. First, a lack of uniform procedures about where to initiate the COR process and abdication of responsibility from officials who are statutorily required to issue CORs leaves tens of thousands of potentially eligible citizens with nowhere to start the COR process.

55. There is no formal mechanism to request and be issued a COR from any statewide official or central office. Instead, the responsibility of fielding requests and issuing CORs has been implicitly delegated to an indeterminate set of TDOC and county-level officials.

56. In its only public guidance on the COR process, the Secretary of State’s website simply provides a link to a blank COR, *see* Ex. A, and instructs citizens to seek out “an agent, such as a probation/parole officer or a criminal court clerk, who has the authority to provide the required information regarding the individual’s conviction, final release date and information regarding restitution or court cost.”⁷ Notably, these instructions even direct individuals to request a COR from “a criminal court clerk” even though criminal court clerks are not part of any pardoning, incarcerating, or supervising authority and are not responsible for issuing CORs under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203(a).

⁷ Restoration of Voting Rights, Tennessee Secretary of State, <https://sos.tn.gov/products/elections/restoration-voting-rights> (last accessed Dec. 2, 2020).

57. The COR itself offers no further clarity. It states only that the four sections on the form regarding the individual’s conviction, release date, court costs, and restitution must “be completed by an agent of the pardoning authority, an agent or officer of the incarcerating authority, or a probation/parole officer or agent of the supervising authority.” *See* Ex. A. Thus, the only apparent way for a citizen to “request” and “be issued” a COR is to print out blank copies of the COR from the Secretary’s website, and then hunt for one or more officials—including criminal court clerks, who are not statutorily responsible for issuing CORs but are often the only officials willing to address the LFO portions of a COR—to fill out the required information.

58. On August 15, 2016, TDOC issued a three-page administrative policy (the “TDOC Policy”) requiring community supervision officers to issue CORs to eligible citizens upon final discharge from supervision. That policy expired August 15, 2019 and, upon information and belief, has not been renewed or replaced. In determining eligibility, officers are instructed to “review an offender’s case record to determine if he/she has met all financial obligations to the court and/or the victim.” But the TDOC Policy then contradicts its own mandate to “issue” a COR to every newly released eligible citizen because it forbids an officer from completing sections of the COR for which the officer does not have information. As a practical matter, TDOC officers rarely have access to information regarding a person’s outstanding LFOs, and the TDOC Policy does not instruct officers to seek it out. And TDOC has not created any mechanism to facilitate the sharing of LFO information between the Tennessee court system and TDOC to enable this process. Thus, CORs are rarely, if ever, “issued” upon final discharge from supervision or prison. The TDOC Policy also says nothing about the process for issuing CORs to those citizens who were never issued a COR upon discharge or who completed their sentence in the distant past.

59. In at least a handful of counties, the probation and parole offices do not issue CORs at all, sometimes citing limited capacity or a lack of records with which to determine eligibility. In Knox County, there was only *one* probation officer available for two days per week who was willing to fill out CORs in 2019.⁸ As a result, some eligible individuals in Knox County did not receive CORs or even a response after waiting for months.

60. In some counties, probation and parole offices will only complete the sections of the COR relating to the individual's conviction and release date, leaving eligible citizens to figure out which, if any, official will fill out the sections relating to restitution and court costs. On information and belief, probation officials in Cooke, Crockett, Cumberland, Greene, Hamilton, Lewis, Madison, Marshall, Maury, Monroe, and Shelby Counties have policies against filling out or have refused to fill out some or all of the CORs.

61. In addition, during the COVID-19 pandemic, officials in Maury, Marshall, Madison, and Crockett Counties have insisted that individuals initiate the COR process in person, even when the relevant offices have been closed to the public.

62. Tennesseans with disenfranchising felony convictions from other states face an even greater challenge: finding and convincing an out-of-state authority to complete and sign a foreign document. These out-of-state offices also often refuse to fill out CORs—which are foreign to them—even when the requestor clearly meets the eligibility criteria for rights restoration. The Governor of Tennessee has the power to grant clemency to all of its residents and is therefore a pardoning authority for purposes of issuing CORs. Despite that, Defendants have delegated COR

⁸ Isabel Lohman, *In Knox County, it can be tough for felons to vote again. Volunteers want to change that*, Knox News (Aug. 9, 2019), <https://www.knoxnews.com/story/news/local/2019/08/09/right-to-vote-felons-tennessee-knoxville/1753895001/>.

responsibility for Tennessee residents with out-of-state convictions to out-of-state officials. They have provided nowhere for Tennessee residents to go if an appropriate out-of-state official cannot be reached or refuses to cooperate. There is no mechanism for Tennesseans with out-of-state convictions to request CORs from the Governor.

63. When officials charged with issuing CORs abdicate their duty under law, would-be voters have nowhere to turn. These voters are erroneously denied the right to a COR and/or a determination of their eligibility to have their voting rights restored.

ii. Refusals to Issue CORs

64. Second, even if a Tennessean is able to find an official that accepts COR requests, that official may simply refuse to issue a COR.

65. Plaintiffs Weare and Tournier had their requests for a COR turned down without final decisions or explanations.

66. A refusal to fill out a COR is not the same as a determination of ineligibility. Absent a formal request mechanism and a formal denial, a refusal to fill out a COR leaves a Tennessean in limbo, unable to restore their right to vote, unable to determine what they would need to do to restore it, and unable to take any further action for lack of a final decision.

iii. No Written Decisions

67. Third, if an official does not issue or fill out some portion of a COR because they have determined the requestor to be ineligible, there is no requirement that the official explain in writing how they came to that conclusion. A written determination of ineligibility is necessary to inform Tennesseans of what they must do to become eligible for voting rights restoration.

68. Moreover, without a statement of reasons, an individual who has been denied a COR cannot determine whether the issuing authority followed or properly interpreted the

eligibility rules prescribed by the rights restoration statute. Nor can the individual assess whether the determination of ineligibility was based on accurate information about their sentence or outstanding LFOs.

69. The risk of error in determining an individual’s outstanding restitution and court costs for purpose of rights restoration is significant because there is no statewide criminal record database that tracks LFO obligations. The only publicly available databases are haphazardly managed by county-level court clerk offices, and Tennessee’s 95 counties do not use a consistent system for tracking LFOs assessed against individuals for criminal convictions.

70. An individual who has been convicted of a crime in Tennessee may be assigned several different kinds of LFOs by the court clerk—for example, restitution, fines, court costs, litigation taxes, and expenses related to supervision and rehabilitation—all of which are authorized by and/or separately defined in the Tennessee criminal code. *See, e.g.*, Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-24-105; *see also City of Chattanooga v. Davis*, 54 S.W.3d 248, 270 n.23 (Tenn. 2001). However, the only criminal LFOs that a person needs to pay to become eligible for a COR are “restitution” and “all court costs assessed against a person at the conclusion of the person’s trial” for a felony offense. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202.

71. But county LFO records often fail to clearly distinguish between court costs and restitution on one hand and the non-disqualifying LFOs on the other. Naming conventions for different types of LFOs also vary across counties. For older convictions, many county officials lack ready access to the judgments, sentencing documents, and cost bills, which may have been recorded by hand, have not been digitized, and/or are locked away in paper archives. Even when judgments, sentencing documents, and cost bills for older convictions are available and legible, the total “court costs” obligation may comprise fees that are no longer collectable under state or

county law. In addition, some counties permit or require that restitution payments be made directly to the victim. In these counties, records of restitution payment never come into possession of the county government.

72. County officials who field COR requests have refused to fill out CORs or have otherwise deemed individuals ineligible because of sloppy, incomplete, or missing LFO records through no fault of the requestor. They have also erroneously deemed COR requestors ineligible based on nonpayment of debts that do not implicate COR eligibility under Tennessee law, including fines, litigation taxes, probation fees, drug education and rehabilitation program costs, and even legal obligations related to misdemeanors. The instructions on the COR itself add to the confusion by referring to “court fines” when explaining how to fill out the portion of the application that is about court costs. *See* Ex. A.

73. Tennesseans who are denied CORs solely because of outstanding LFOs therefore face a high risk of erroneous deprivation and have no way to contest or verify whether they do, in fact, owe debts that must be paid to restore their right to vote.

iv. No Interpretation Guidelines

74. Fourth, upon information and belief, Defendants have failed to release any meaningful guidance or regulation to ensure officials uniformly interpret and apply the statutory eligibility requirements for rights restoration.

75. No statewide authority has issued clear guidance to local officials as to which legal debts should or should not be counted when assessing COR eligibility. The TDOC Policy fails to explain that LFOs other than restitution and court costs imposed at the time of trial need not be paid before a COR is issued. The Secretary of State’s website and the COR itself similarly offer no guidance delineating LFOs that need and need not be paid to vote.

76. Upon information and belief, this lack of guidance causes officials who field COR requests to improperly deny individuals their CORs based on legal debt which as a matter of law does not impact their right to a COR. Upon information and belief, clerks of court in Shelby County and Davidson County require payment of LFOs clearly labeled “fines” before issuing CORs. Individuals seeking CORs have even been told by clerks in Shelby County that they must pay legal debt associated with non-felonies in order to be issued their CORs.

77. Additionally, the lack of guidance causes those officials to incorrectly report the amount of legal debt to the individual seeking the COR, causing them to opt out of the process on the belief that the debt amount is insurmountable.

78. Shelby County clerks have stated that in order to be issued CORs, Plaintiff Perry will need to pay a \$952.10 debt that is labeled as a fine. They have also said that Plaintiff Gray will need to pay a \$500 debt which is also labeled as a fine. Under Tennessee law, “fines” are distinct from “court costs.” *City of Chattanooga v. Davis*, 54 S.W.3d 248, 270 n.23 (Tenn. 2001) (distinguishing court costs from fines). And the statute governing CORs states that applicants must pay “court costs” and “restitution,” not “fines.” Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202(b).

79. Nor is there a uniform policy or guidance as to how officials who issue CORs must evaluate COR eligibility when a requestor’s records are unclear or unavailable. Upon information and belief, individuals have been denied CORs solely because the county has failed to keep copies of their records. Additionally, restitution debts are often turned over to private debt collections companies, at which point the counties no longer keep records of payments. Upon information and belief, individuals have been denied CORs solely due to alleged debts that have gone to collections and been paid, but for which the county no longer has records.

80. Nor is there a uniform policy or guidance about how to administer COR requests. In Davidson County, for example, the local TDOC office that issues CORs prefers that individuals (or advocates on their behalf) email COR requests to a single parole and probation manager who then mails a completed COR to the requestor. However, that system failed in July 2020 when that one individual went on leave, causing at least some emailed COR requests to go unprocessed. In other counties, however, the requestor herself must appear in person at several different offices to get different portions of their COR filled out. Some counties continue to require in-person requests for CORs even during the COVID-19 pandemic and even during periods when the relevant office was physically closed. As there is no formal mechanism for requesting a COR, there is also no system for tracking COR requests.

81. Many, but not all, county election offices require citizens with felony convictions to submit a separate completed COR for each disenfranchising felony conviction. Defendants have not issued guidance on whether such duplicative COR processes are necessary or appropriate.

82. Additionally, there is a lack of uniformity around what is considered sufficient documentation to make use of the indigency exception as to court costs. Court clerks who field COR requests routinely do not accept declarations of indigency for various reasons. Counties differ as to whether the indigency declaration is a waiver of court costs or a statement that the existing debt is not a barrier to the restoration of voting rights. For example, in Shelby County, courts regularly order payment plans when granting applications for declarations of indigency. However, upon information and belief, the Shelby County criminal court clerks do not view such indigency declarations as sufficient for purposes of a COR if they do not completely waive the costs.

83. Defendants have provided no guidance as to what indigency declarations are sufficient, what is meant by the requirement that the declaration be issued “at the time of

application,” whether declarations need to be issued by a certain court, how declarations can be issued for court costs for out-of-state convictions, and whether one declaration is sufficient for the issuance of multiple CORs. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202(b)(2).

v. *No Appeals*

84. Fifth, despite the obvious risk of erroneous deprivation in Tennessee’s decentralized, dysfunctional COR system, there is no appeals process for individuals who believe they have been wrongly denied a COR. With local officials in 95 counties using their own system of recordkeeping and interpreting the COR statute without any guidance, there is ample room for mistakes. No process exists to correct them.

85. Taken together, these deficiencies demonstrate Defendants’ creation of a woefully inadequate rights restoration system, devoid of adequate process, that erroneously deprives tens of thousands of eligible voters of their statutory right to a COR. More than 80% of the disenfranchised population in Tennessee—at least 365,356 people—has completed their sentence including probation and parole.⁹ At minimum, tens of thousands of these individuals are eligible for a COR. They live in their communities, pay taxes, and work to be productive members of society. But as a result of Tennessee’s dysfunctional COR system, fewer than 5% of potentially eligible Tennesseans have been able to obtain a completed COR and submit it to the Election Commission for approval.¹⁰ The Election Division has reported that only 3,415 individuals have been granted CORs since 2016 — less than 1% of the post-sentence population.¹¹

⁹ Sentencing Project, *Locked Out*, at 16.

¹⁰ Marc Meredith & Michael Morse, *Discretionary Disenfranchisement: The Case of Legal Financial Obligations*, at appendix 6 (January 18, 2017) (“We are 95% confident that the population [COR submission] rate is between 2.5% and 4.9%. This [COR submission] rates provide an upper bound on the legal limit of ex-felons eligible to vote: the vast majority of ex-felons do not [submit a COR to the Elections Division] and thus remain ineligible.”).

¹¹ Sentencing Project, *Locked Out*, at 16.

II. Defendants' Voter Registration Form Does Not Inform Applicants with Felony Convictions of Relevant Voter Eligibility Requirements.

86. The NVRA requires each state to “inform applicants . . . of voter eligibility requirements” no matter whether applicants seek to register with a state or federal voter registration form or through the department of motor vehicles or any other voter registration agency. 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(5).

87. The NVRA also requires that both the federal voter registration form (the “federal form”) and any state-issued mail-in voter registration form used to register voters for federal elections must “specif[y] each eligibility requirement” for applicants. 52 U.S.C. § 20508(b)(2)(A); *see also* § 20505(a)(2) (stating that a state mail-in form should meet “all of the criteria stated in section 20508(b)”).

88. Tennessee’s state voter registration form and the Tennessee-specific instructions on the federal form fail to accurately inform applicants of the voter eligibility requirements in Tennessee.

89. Under Tennessee law, not all individuals convicted of felonies lose their eligibility to vote. Individuals with felony convictions only between January 15, 1973 and May 17, 1981—the so-called “grace period”—fully retain their right to vote, as do individuals who were convicted before January 15, 1973 of felonies that were not considered infamous. *See Gaskin*, 661 S.W.2d at 868; *Crutchfield*, 607 S.W.2d at 482.

90. But the state and federal forms fail to accurately notify voters about how specific felony convictions impact eligibility to vote.

91. The state form, attached hereto as Exhibit B, inaccurately states that to register, “you must not have been convicted of a felony, or if you have, your voting rights must have been

restored.”¹² The form also requires applicants to swear as to whether they have “ever been convicted of a crime which is a felony in this state, by a court in this state, a court in another state, or a federal court.”¹³

92. The state-specific instructions for Tennessee on the federal form, attached hereto as Exhibit C, more accurately states that to be eligible to vote applicants must “not have been convicted of a felony, but if convicted, your eligibility to register and vote depends upon the crime you were convicted of and the date of your conviction.”¹⁴ While unlike the state form, these instructions are technically accurate, they still fail to “specif[y] each eligibility requirement” in a way that would fully “inform applicants . . . of voter eligibility requirements.” 52 U.S.C. § 20508(b)(2)(A); 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(5).

93. These instructions are flatly inconsistent with state law governing voter eligibility: voters with felony convictions only during the grace period *never* lost their right to vote and need not seek rights restoration to be eligible to register to vote. These instructions therefore fail to inform voters about whether they are eligible to vote in Tennessee due to a prior felony conviction in violation of the NVRA.

III. Defendants’ Processing of Voter Registration Applications Denies Eligible Tennesseans with Felony Convictions their Right to Vote.

94. Moreover, the state registration form is designed to exclude eligible voters with felony convictions.

95. The form asks all voters to check a box—“YES” or “NO”—affirming whether they have ever been convicted of a felony. Checking “YES” (as those with felony convictions legally

¹² Tenn. Sec’y of State, Tennessee Mail-In Application for Voter Registration, <https://sos-tn-gov-files.tnsosfiles.com/forms/ss-3010.pdf>.

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ Election Assistance Comm’n, National Mail Voter Registration Form, <http://www.eac.gov/voters/national-mail-voter-registration-form/>.

must) has severe and unlawful consequences. Upon information and belief, the Tennessee Division of Elections instructs county Election Commissions to reject *all* paper registration forms on which the box is checked disclosing that the applicant has been convicted of a felony, regardless of whether the applicant ever lost their right to vote, and regardless of whether the voter has had their voting rights restored by operation of law, by order of a court, or through a COR.

96. The state's online voter registration system also prevents eligible voters with felony convictions from registering to vote. Despite the fact that Tennessee residents who were convicted of felony convictions only during that "grace period" did not lose the right to vote as result of those convictions, the online voter registration system does not distinguish convictions during that period from felony convictions after it, unlawfully denying fully enfranchised Tennesseans their right to vote. Similarly, the online registration system provides no means of registration for the thousands of Tennesseans who have had their right to vote restored through the COR process or otherwise. The online voter registration system also does not allow applicants to proceed once they have checked a box disclosing that they have a felony conviction.

97. The Division of Elections' practice of flatly rejecting all voter registration applications that affirm the existence of a felony conviction denies eligible citizens their fundamental right to vote.

98. These procedures and features of the state registration system also violate the NVRA.

99. Under the NVRA, each state "shall . . . ensure that any eligible applicant is registered to vote" in Federal elections if the eligible applicant timely submits a "valid voter registration form." 52 U.S.C.A. § 20507(a)(1). Applicants with felony convictions who never lost the right to vote or who have had their rights restored are "eligible" to vote. An affirmation that

they have a felony conviction does not render their voter registration application invalid. Thus, the Division of Elections must “ensure” that these eligible applicants are registered to vote if their applications are timely submitted—not reject them wholesale.

100. The NVRA also requires that voter registration forms include “an attestation that the applicant meets each [eligibility] requirement,” 52 U.S.C. § 20508(b)(3), and prohibits states from creating additional documentation requirements to register to vote beyond the registration form itself. *Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona*, 570 U.S. 1, 9 (2013). Yet, Defendants’ voter registration form requires eligible Tennesseans with past convictions to check a box that ensures that their registrations will be rejected. Then, in order to fight the erroneous denial, the state forces those Tennesseans to provide additional paperwork proving their eligibility. These procedures violate the NVRA.

IV. Defendant Harrell Charges Eligible Citizens a Poll Tax to Complete Each COR.

101. At least one county charges a fee to fill out each COR. The Rutherford County criminal court clerk’s office, for example, has a policy of charging citizens \$25 per COR, effectively enacting a poll tax.

102. This fee is also charged without a clear and uniform application and process for the indigent to request a waiver of this fee, despite Tennessee’s stated interest in ensuring the indigent can also access the franchise.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

103. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Individual Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons.

104. Plaintiffs Perry, Gray, Weare, Tournier, and Martin seek to represent the class of COR applicants. This class consists of Tennessee residents who have been convicted of felonies other than those felonies that result in permanent disenfranchisement under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-

29-204; have completed their sentence, including parole and probation; and believe that they satisfy all other requirements to restore their voting rights under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-202 in that they are current on all child support obligations and have paid all felony court costs (or have received an indigency declaration from a court) and restitution; and have requested or will request a COR from the pardoning or supervising authority.

105. Individual Plaintiffs do not seek claims for compensatory relief. They seek only declaratory and injunctive relief that is broadly applicable to all class members. The requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and in particular Rule 23(b)(2), are met with respect the class as defined above.

106. Class members are so numerous that joinder of all such members is impracticable. According to the latest estimates, more than 451,000 Tennesseans lost the right to vote due to a felony conviction. Upon information and belief, a vast majority of these individuals were convicted of felonies that do not permanently strip them of their right to vote. And it has been estimated that at least 365,000 of these disenfranchised individuals have completed their sentences, including parole and probation. Upon information and belief, at minimum, tens of thousands of such individuals are current on child support obligations and have paid all felony court costs and restitution.

107. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members with respect to allegations in this complaint. Plaintiffs have individual circumstances with regards to their felony convictions and efforts they have undertaken to restore their voting rights. However, questions of what minimum procedures and protections are constitutionally due to them, and to those similarly situated, as candidates for CORs is a matter of law and requires a uniform answer. Those questions include, but are not limited to, whether

Defendants' failure to administer the statutory rights restoration process creates a substantial risk of erroneous deprivation of a statutory and constitutionally protected right, and whether Defendants must provide constitutionally mandated procedures to those who are eligible to request and be issued a COR, including but not limited to, a mechanism to formally request a COR, a final decision on that request, a written statement of reasons for denial that uses uniform standards for interpreting the eligibility requirements, and an appeals process.

108. Individual Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class. Plaintiffs Perry, Gray, Weare, Tournier, and Martin are not aware of any conflict between their interests and those of the class they seek to represent.

109. Individual Plaintiffs can fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class because they are similarly situated with class members. Plaintiffs have retained counsel experienced in class-action and voting rights litigation to represent them and the class for the purposes of this litigation.

110. Defendants have acted, or refused to act, on grounds generally applicable to the class as a whole. Any injunctive and declaratory relief awarded to remedy Defendants' failure to administer the COR process will be appropriate respecting the class as a whole. Plaintiffs do not seek to compel issuance of their individual CORs; rather they seek the implementation of a process that will allow them to receive their CORs or, if they are deemed ineligible, will provide them with a fair and accurate assessment of what they must do to become eligible, and a means of appealing an eligibility determination they believe is erroneous.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT ONE

**Denial of Procedural Due Process in Violation of
the Fourteenth Amendment regarding the Statutory Right to a COR, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Lee, Parker, Goins, & Hargett)**

111. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

112. Defendants' failure to administer a functional rights restoration system denies Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated, their statutory right to a COR without procedural due process in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.

113. Under *Mathews v. Eldridge*, the determination of what process is due rests on the balance between (1) the interest affected; (2) the risk of erroneous deprivation under the current procedures and the "probable value, if any, of additional or substitute procedural safeguards;" and (3) the state's interest, including the "fiscal and administrative burdens" additional procedures would entail. 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976).

114. The Sixth Circuit has described the *Mathews* test "less as a three-way see-saw, and more as a two-step template." *Hicks v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 909 F.3d 786, 800 (6th Cir. 2018). First, the court must determine whether there is a legitimate risk of erroneous deprivation; if there is, then at least some amount of due process is required, and the court must proceed to determine what procedures are required by weighing the value of the interest affected and additional procedures against the cost of those procedures. The Sixth Circuit has made clear that "where the liberty or property interest is significant and the cost to the government of providing additional, valuable process is low, then greater procedures must be implemented." *Id.*

115. The Tennessee legislature has created a liberty interest, protected by procedural due process, in a COR for individuals who meet certain eligibility criteria. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203. See *Wilkinson v. Austin*, 545 U.S. 209, 221 (2005) ("A liberty interest may arise from the Constitution itself . . . or it may arise from an expectation or interest created by state laws or policies."); *Atherton v. D.C. Office of Mayor*, 567 F.3d 672, 689 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (holding that

due process applies to interests “created by state laws or policies” where such laws or policies “contain substantive limitations on official discretion, embodied in mandatory statutory or regulatory language”).

116. The interest in a COR is significant because it is the key to exercising the fundamental right to vote. *See Ga. Muslim Voter Project v. Kemp*, 918 F.3d 1262, 1270–71 (11th Cir. 2019) (holding that where an interest “implicates the fundamental right to vote, it [is lent] more than modest weight”).

117. The Tennessee legislature assigned Defendants the responsibility for issuing CORs and administering the COR system. But they have abdicated their duty to provide Tennesseans a uniform, accurate, and functional system for issuing CORs upon request of eligible citizens. The patchwork system that has emerged in this administrative void fails to provide adequate procedural safeguards against a high risk of erroneous deprivation.

118. Specifically, the current COR process lacks uniform access to an impartial decisionmaker, clear decisions based on the rules, a statement of reasons for the decision, uniform procedures for assessing eligibility, and an opportunity to be heard (on appeal). *See Mathews*, 424 U.S. at 319 n.4 (citing *Goldberg v. Kelley*, 397 U.S. 254, 267-71 (1970)).

119. The risk of erroneous deprivation of the statutory right to a COR is high because the current COR process is opaque, decentralized, inaccurate, and inaccessible.

120. The errors experienced by Plaintiffs are not atypical but rather emblematic of risks inherent in the COR system due to Defendants’ failure to provide uniform guidance, policies, procedures, and training. *See Mathews*, 424 U.S. at 344; *Jones v. Commissioner of Soc. Sec.*, 2011 WL 1527159 at *7 (E.D. Tenn. 2011).

121. Tennesseans who meet certain eligibility requirements are entitled to a COR. Tennesseans who do not or are unsure whether they meet the eligibility requirements are entitled to a written determination that clearly explains what they must do to become eligible for a COR and restore their right to vote.

122. Implementing procedures to ensure due process would not impose an undue burden on the state. Indeed, there is a simple, low-cost solution to remedy the state's current unconstitutional COR system: the administration of a central, state-level application process. This would give each potentially eligible Tennessean a place to formally request a COR, as contemplated by state law, and initiate the rights restoration process. A neutral decision-maker would render a decision based on uniform rules and either issue a COR or a written denial explaining why the person does not meet the requisite criteria. A centralized process would also facilitate appeals.

123. Creating a central COR process would further the state's interest in streamlining inefficient systems, conserving state resources, coming into compliance with the law, and not discriminating against the indigent, among others. The state would spend fewer net resources and government staff time on each COR. In addition, Tennessee law makes clear that the responsibility for issuing CORs sits squarely with the Governor and TDOC, as the pardoning, incarcerating, and supervising authorities. But in many counties, TDOC agencies have abdicated that responsibility, often implicitly delegating their authority, without guidance or agreement, to clerks without any statutory directive. State law also requires the Division of Elections to create the COR form and verify that CORs are lawfully issued. Inherent in these responsibilities is the duty to ensure that COR-issuing authorities properly interpret and apply the statutory requirements.

COUNT TWO
Denial of Procedural Due Process in Violation of

**the Fourteenth Amendment regarding the Fundamental Right to Vote, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Lee, Parker, Goins, & Hargett)**

124. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

125. Defendants' failure to administer a functional rights restoration system also denies Individual Plaintiffs, and those similarly situated, the fundamental right to vote without procedural due process in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.

126. A validly issued COR indicates that a person who was previously disenfranchised can exercise their fundamental right to vote. *See* Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203(c).

127. There is a liberty interest in the fundamental right to vote that is protected by the doctrine of procedural due process. *See, e.g., Cook v. Randolph Cty.*, 573 F.3d 1143, 1152 (11th Cir. 2009) (noting that “[t]he Constitution guarantees procedural and substantive due process when a liberty interest is at stake,” including “the right to vote”); *Barefoot v. City of Wilmington*, 306 F.3d 113, 124 n.5 (4th Cir. 2002) (“The right to vote . . . is certainly a protected liberty interest.”).

128. Thus, Defendants' failure to administer procedures to protect against erroneous deprivation of CORs, and the attendant right to vote, also violates the Due Process Clause.

COUNT THREE
Violation of the Equal Protection Clause
Arbitrary and Unequal Disenfranchisement, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(All Plaintiffs against Defendants Lee, Parker, Goins, & Hargett)

129. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

130. Defendants' application of the COR statutes has created a system where similarly situated Tennesseans —convicted of the same crime and who have served the same sentence and met their relevant LFOs—may be granted or denied access to the right to vote based solely on the

county of their felony conviction. Such an unequal system cannot withstand even rational basis review.

131. Whether or not an eligible individual is able to request and be issued a COR and thereby regain their right to vote depends entirely on the willingness of local and county-level officials to entertain COR requests, their varying interpretations of state law (especially regarding LFO requirements), and their processes for keeping and maintaining records.

132. It is well established that “a citizen has a constitutionally protected right to participate in elections on an equal basis with other citizens in the jurisdiction.” *Dunn v. Blumstein*, 405 U.S. 330, 336 (1972). Thus, “the State may not by later arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person’s vote over that of another.” *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98, 104–5 (2000). The same logic applies to rights restoration. If the state restores the right to vote to certain individuals, it may not deny it to others similarly situated simply because they must seek rights restoration in a different county.

133. Because the COR implicates an individual’s fundamental right to vote, Defendants’ existing system for restoring the right to vote is subject at least to intermediate scrutiny. But Defendants cannot present even a rational basis for maintaining such a discriminatory system.

134. No criminal justice interest is served by treating individuals differently who have met the COR requirements but were convicted in different counties and therefore must seek CORs from officials who adhere to differing procedures. No election protection or ballot integrity purpose is served by maintaining a system where qualified individuals, even those who may now reside in the same county, are either allowed to participate in an election or not, based solely on *where* they were convicted of a felony. In fact, election integrity is *threatened* where county-level officials can make inconsistent decisions to grant or not grant a COR, and the attendant right to

vote, to similarly situated individuals. No state interest in economic efficiency is served by a system that requires a person to chase down multiple state- and county-level officials for information that a single individual could verify to determine a person's eligibility for rights restoration.

135. Absent a uniform process and standards for requesting and issuing CORs, including an appeal mechanism, there is no way to ensure equal application of the COR process and the attached right to vote to similarly situated individuals.

COUNT FOUR
Violation of the National Voter Registration Act, 52 U.S.C. § 20501, *et seq.*
(Plaintiff TN NAACP against Defendants Goins and Hargett)

136. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

137. Tennessee's paper and online voter registration forms violate the NVRA's requirement that they "inform applicants . . . of voter eligibility requirements," 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(5), and that they "specif[y] each eligibility requirement" for applicants, 52 U.S.C. § 20508(b)(2)(A); *see also* § 20505(a)(2) (stating that a state mail-in form should meet "all of the criteria stated in section 20508(b)").

138. The State Form fails to fully inform applicants with felony convictions of their eligibility under Tennessee law. To the contrary, it plainly misinforms voters of the eligibility requirements by stating that no individual with a felony conviction may register to vote unless she has undergone the restoration of rights process. Nowhere on Tennessee's mail-in registration form is the blanket exception for felony convictions between January 15, 1973 and May 17, 1981 explained to voters, nor the limited list of offenses punishable by disenfranchisement prior to

January 15, 1973. These omissions are a clear violation of Defendants Goins' and Hargett's duty under the NVRA to accurately inform applicants of the relevant qualifications to vote.

139. The state-specific instructions for Tennessee on the Federal Form are do not fully inform registrants of state law. To ensure the Federal Form's accuracy, a state's chief election official must notify the EAC of any changes in the state's voter eligibility requirements within 30 days. 11 C.F.R. § 9428.6. Given the deficiencies in the State Form, it appears that Defendants have not specified the exceptions to its blanket felony disenfranchisement provision. Regardless of the source of the errors, Defendants should immediately provide the EAC with accurate substitute instructions.

140. On August 22, 2018, on behalf of Plaintiff TN NAACP, Plaintiff's Counsel sent Defendants Hargett and Goins a letter notifying them that Tennessee's registration forms and procedures are out of compliance with the NVRA. The Elections Division subsequently acknowledged receipt of that letter but has not corrected the violations.

COUNT FIVE
Violation of the National Voter Registration Act, 52 U.S.C. § 20501, *et seq.*
(Plaintiff TN NAACP against Defendants Goins and Hargett)

141. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

142. Tennessee's practice of rejecting *all* registration forms on which the applicant affirmed that they have a felony conviction—even those of applicants who never lost their right to vote or had the right restored—violates the NVRA's requirement that the state “ensure that any eligible applicant is registered to vote” in Federal elections if the eligible applicant timely submits a “valid voter registration form.” 52 U.S.C.A. § 20507(a)(1). Rather than create a form that allows Tennesseans who have been convicted of felonies but are eligible to vote to attest to their

eligibility, Defendants have created a blanket policy of rejection that places the burden of proving eligibility onto the prospective voter and requires them to fight the erroneous rejection with additional paperwork. This process violates the NVRA, which prohibits states from creating additional documentation requirements to register to vote beyond the registration form itself. *Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona*, 570 U.S. 1, 9 (2013); 52 U.S.C. § 20508(b)(3).

143. On August 22, 2018, on behalf of Plaintiff TN NAACP, Plaintiff's Counsel sent Defendants Hargett and Goins a letter notifying them that Tennessee's registration forms and procedures are out of compliance with the NVRA. The Elections Division subsequently acknowledged receipt of that letter but has taken no action.

COUNT SIX
Deprivation of the Fundamental Right to Vote
in Violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Plaintiff TN NAACP against Defendants Goins and Hargett)

144. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

145. Tennessee's eligible citizens have a fundamental right to vote under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. When analyzing the constitutionality of a voting procedure, the Court "must weigh 'the character and magnitude of the asserted injury to the rights protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments that the plaintiff seeks to vindicate' against 'the precise interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule,' taking into consideration 'the extent to which those interests make it necessary to burden the plaintiff's rights.'" *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 434 (quoting *Anderson v. Celebrezze*, 460 U.S. 780, 789 (1983)).

146. Tennessee's practice of rejecting *all* voter registration forms on which the applicant affirmed that they have a felony conviction—even those of applicants who never lost their right to

vote or had the right restored—violates eligible voters’ fundamental right to vote in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments. This practice imposes a severe burden on the right to vote of citizens with felony convictions who are nonetheless eligible to exercise the franchise.

147. There is no state interest in favor of rejecting all voter registration forms on which the applicant affirmed that they have a felony conviction that justifies the severe burden placed on such applicants who are eligible to vote and have timely submitted a valid voter registration form. No state interest justifies depriving these eligible citizens their fundamental right to vote.

COUNT SEVEN
Imposition of a Poll Tax in Violation of
the Twenty-Fourth Amendment, 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Plaintiff TN NAACP against Defendants Harrell and Goins)

148. Plaintiffs re-allege, as though fully set forth in this paragraph, all the allegations of this Complaint.

149. The Twenty-Fourth Amendment provides that “[t]he right of citizens of the United States to vote in any primary or other election for President or Vice President, for electors for President or Vice President, or for Senator or Representative in Congress, shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State by reason of failure to pay any poll tax or other tax.” U.S. Const. amend. XXIV, § 1 (emphasis added).

150. Court clerks in at least one county, Rutherford County, refuse to fill out or issue CORs unless the requestor pays a fee (“COR fee”).

151. Defendant Goins has failed to meet his statutory mandate to issue instructions for completion of the COR by failing to specify that fees for completion are not authorized by the legislature. Tenn. Code Ann § 40-29-205.

152. A COR serves as proof that a person is no longer disqualified from voting because of a prior disenfranchising felony conviction. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-29-203(c). Individuals with

prior disenfranchising felony convictions who have become eligible to vote must submit a COR in addition to their voter registration application to their county Election Commission in order to register and exercise the franchise.

153. A COR fee denies the right to vote to all individuals who fail to pay the fee but are nonetheless eligible to receive a COR and register to vote.

154. A COR fee is therefore a prohibited “poll tax or other tax” within the meaning of the Twenty-Fourth Amendment. *See Bynum v. Conn. Comm’n on Forfeited Rights*, 410 F.2d 173 (2nd Cir. 1969) (holding that a challenge to a \$5 application fee for voting rights restoration after a felony conviction had substantial merit).

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- A. Certify the putative plaintiff class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).
- B. Enter a preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Defendants Lee, Parker, Hargett, and Goins to implement constitutionally required safeguards to ensure that the COR system meets the minimum requirements of the Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Such a system must include the following procedural elements:
 - i. a uniform, formal mechanism to request a COR before an impartial decisionmaker,
 - ii. a requirement to issue formal decisions on COR requests,
 - iii. a requirement to provide a written statement of reasons for any denials of COR requests,

- iv. uniform procedures for interpreting the COR requirements, particularly with regard to the LFO requirements and indigency declarations, and
- v. a uniform appeals process.

C. Enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants Hargett and Goins from rejecting valid voter registration applications from eligible voters and requiring Defendants Hargett and Goins to create registration forms and policies that comply with the NVRA and do not impose an undue burden on the right of eligible citizens to register and vote, including:

- i. specifying the registration requirements on all registration forms,
- ii. modifying the state voter registration form such that it can be used by all eligible citizens even if they have been convicted of a felony, and
- iii. modifying the online voter registration portal such that it can be used by all eligible citizens even if they have been convicted of a felony.

D. Enter a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant Harrell from charging a fee to complete CORs and requiring Defendant Goins to issue instructions prohibiting the charging of administrative fees for the issuance of CORs.

E. Award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

F. Grant such other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: December 3, 2020

/s/ Charles K. Grant

Charles K. Grant, BPR No. 017081
Denmark J. Grant, BPR No. 036808
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC
211 Commerce Street, Suite 800
Nashville, TN 37201
Telephone: (615) 726-5600
Facsimile: (615) 726-0464

cgrant@bakerdonelson.com
dgrant@bakerdonelson.com

Danielle Lang*
Mark P. Gaber*
Aseem Mulji*
Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th St. NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
(202)-736-2200
Dlang@campaignlegal.org
Mgaber@campaignlegal.org
Amulji@campaignlegal.org

Keeda Haynes, BPR No. 031518[†]
Free Hearts
2013 25th Ave N,
Nashville, TN 37208
(615) 479-5530
keeda@freeheartsorg.com

Phil Telfeyan*
Natasha Baker*
Equal Justice Under Law
400 7th St. NW, Suite 602
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 505-2058
ptelfeyan@equaljusticeunderlaw.org
nbaker@equaljusticeunderlaw.org

*Counsel for the Petitioners-Plaintiffs and the
Putative Classes*

* Application for admission *pro hac vice*
forthcoming

[†] Application for admission to the United States
District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee
submitted

EXHIBIT A



State of Tennessee
312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, 7th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37243
615-741-7956

CERTIFICATE OF RESTORATION OF VOTING RIGHTS
for Persons Convicted of a Felony on or after May 18, 1981

This includes any federal or state felony conviction both
within Tennessee or from another state.

TO BE COMPLETED BY AN AGENT OF THE PARDONING AUTHORITY, AN AGENT OR OFFICER OF THE INCARCERATING AUTHORITY, OR A PROBATION/PAROLE OFFICER OR AGENT OF THE SUPERVISING AUTHORITY. A SEPARATE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED FOR EACH FELONY CONVICTION WITH A DIFFERENT DOCKET/CASE NUMBER. THE PERSON CONVICTED OF THE FELONY OFFENSE MAY NOT COMPLETE THIS FORM.

1. I hereby certify that the following information is true and correct:

- a. Applicant's Name: _____
(First) (Middle) (Last)
- b. Applicant's County of Residence: _____ c. Applicant's Phone Number: _____
- d. Felony Conviction: _____
- e. Month/Day/Year of Conviction: _____ f. TOMIS ID: (if applicable) _____
- g. Date of Birth: _____ h. Soc. Sec. No.: _____

2. On the _____ day of _____, _____ **(check one)**

- ☐ The above individual received a pardon which contained no special conditions pertaining to the right of suffrage. A copy of said pardon is attached hereto; *or*
- ☐ The maximum sentence imposed for such infamous crime has been served by the above individual; *or*
- ☐ The maximum sentence imposed for such infamous crime has expired; *or*
- ☐ The above individual has been granted final release from incarceration or supervision from either the United States Probation/Parole, a state Board of Probation/Parole, the Department of Correction, or county correction authorities.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Address: _____ Phone Number: _____

3. I hereby certify that the following is true and correct: **(check one)**

- ☐ The court did not order the above individual to pay any restitution as part of his or her sentence; *or*
- ☐ All of the restitution ordered by the court as a part of the sentence for the above individual has been paid; *or*
- ☐ For Federal Convictions Only, 18 U.S.C. § 3613(b) applies in this case and therefore the liability to pay has expired; *or*
- ☐ Restitution ordered by the court is owed.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Address: _____ Phone Number: _____

4. I hereby certify that the following is true and correct: **(check one)**

- ☐ The court did not order the above individual to pay any court cost as part of his or her sentence; *or*
- ☐ All court cost assessed against the above individual has been paid; *or*
- ☐ The court has made a finding at an evidentiary hearing that the above individual is indigent at the time of application; *or*
- ☐ Court costs ordered by the court are owed.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed Name: _____ Title: _____

Address: _____ Phone Number: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Instructions to the Agent Completing the Certificate of Restoration:

In order to complete any section of this form, the agent must have access to the information being attested to on this form.

1. In **BOX #1**, the proper authority/agent must provide the requested applicant information.

NOTE: For 1d, list the crime(s) for which the person was convicted.

For 1e, list the date the person was convicted for the crime listed in 1d.

2. In **BOX #2**, the proper authority/agent must provide the following information:

- a) Provide the date that corresponds to the box that is checked
- b) Check the appropriate box indicating how the applicant completed their sentence
- c) Provide your signature (print name below signature) and contact information

3. In **BOX #3**, the proper authority/agent must provide the following information:

- a) Check the appropriate box as it relates to any restitution that was or was not assessed to the applicant.
- b) Provide your signature (print name below signature) and contact information.

4. In **BOX #4**, the proper authority/agent must provide the following information:

- a) Check the appropriate box as it relates to any court fines that were assessed to the applicant.
- b) Provide your signature (print name below signature) and contact information.

Persons convicted of any of the following, cannot have his or her voting rights restored:

- Between July 1, 1986, and June 30, 1996 - first degree murder, aggravated rape, treason, or voter fraud
- Between July 1, 1996, and June 30, 2006 - murder, rape, treason, or voter fraud
- On or after July 1, 2006 – Any of the above, or any degree of murder or rape or any felony offense under TCA Title 39, Chapter 16, parts 1, 4, or 5; or any sexual offense under TCA § 40-39-202(20) or any violent sexual offense under TCA § 40-39-202(30) designated as a felony and where the victim of such offense was a minor

Instructions to the Applicant Seeking to have His or Her Voting Rights Restored:

- After completion, the original form must be filed with the local county election commission office in the county the applicant desires to register to vote.

NOTICE

A person is not eligible to apply for a voter registration card and have their voting rights restored unless the person is current in all child support obligations. Before restoring the voting rights of an applicant, the Coordinator of Elections will verify with the Department of Human Services that the applicant does not have any outstanding child support payments or arrearages.

EXHIBIT B

Tennessee Mail-In Application For Voter Registration

You can use this form to:

- ☞ register to vote in Tennessee or to change your name and/or address.

To register to vote:

- ☞ you must be a U.S. citizen, AND
- ☞ you must be a resident of Tennessee, AND
- ☞ you must be at least 18 years old on/or before the next election, AND
- ☞ you must not have been convicted of a felony, or if you have, your voting rights must have been restored.

Are you interested in working on Election Day? ☐ Yes ☐ No

MAIL OR HAND DELIVER THIS FORM TO YOUR COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION.

Go to: http://tnsos.org/elections/election_commissions.php to find your County Election Commission address.

Instructions / checklist:

- ☐ Please PRINT with a blue or black **INK** pen (not felt tip).
- ☐ Provide the information in boxes 1-10 below, read and answer the VOTER DECLARATION in box 11, and sign by the "X" in box 12.
- ☐ An application for voter registration must be postmarked or hand delivered to the proper county election commission office at least 30 days before an election.
- ☐ Voter registration records are public records, open to inspection by any citizen of Tennessee, excluding social security numbers.
- ☐ To ensure a more confidential mailing process of this form, the applicant is encouraged to place the voter registration application in an envelope addressed to the county election commission.

Names of persons selected for jury service in state court are not chosen from permanent voter registration records.

If you are qualified and the information on your form is complete, we will add your name to the county's voter rolls.

We will then mail you a voter registration card. This card will tell you where to vote.

Federal or Tennessee State Government Issued Photo ID Is Required To Vote Unless Exception Applies.

1 Are you a citizen of the United States? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Will you be 18 years of age or older on or before Election Day? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If you answered "No" in response to either of the above, do not complete this form.						FOR COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION USE ONLY Mail _____ Reg # _____ Approved _____ Effective Date _____ P/A _____ District _____ Precinct _____ Ward _____					
2 LAST NAME		FIRST NAME		MIDDLE NAME		SUFFIX		3 SEX <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	4 RACE (OPTIONAL)		
5 ADDRESS WHERE YOU LIVE (DO NOT GIVE A P.O. BOX)				APT. #	CITY	COUNTY	STATE	ZIP CODE			
6 ADDRESS WHERE YOU GET YOUR MAIL (IF DIFFERENT THAN ABOVE)							7 E-MAIL (OPTIONAL)				
8 DATE OF BIRTH		CITY AND STATE OF BIRTH		SOCIAL SECURITY #			(required under T.C.A. § 2-2-116 for purposes of identification and to avoid duplicate registration)		9 PHONE #		
10 NAME AND ADDRESS ON LAST VOTER REGISTRATION NAME _____ ADDRESS _____ CITY _____ COUNTY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____											
11 VOTER DECLARATION: I, being duly sworn on oath (or affirmation) declare that the above address is my legal residence and that I plan to remain at such residence for an undetermined period of time and say that to the best of my knowledge and belief all of the statements made by me are true. 1. Are you a resident of the State of Tennessee? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 2. Have you ever been convicted of a crime which is a felony in this state, by a court in this state, a court in another state, or a federal court? <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>						12 WARNING: Giving false information to register to vote or attempting to register when not qualified is a felony punishable by not less than two (2) years nor more than twelve (12) years imprisonment or a fine of \$5,000 or both. X _____ Signature (or mark) of Applicant _____ Date _____ <i>If applicant is unable to sign, provide signature of person who signed for applicant.</i> _____ Signature of Person Assisting _____ _____ Address _____					



OFFICIAL
ELECTION MAIL™
Authorized by the U.S. Postal Service


TO:

_____ COUNTY ELECTION COMMISSION

[illegible]

EXHIBIT C

Register To Vote In Your State By Using This Postcard Form and Guide



For U.S. Citizens

General Instructions

Who Can Use this Application

If you are a U.S. citizen who lives or has an address within the United States, you can use the application in this booklet to:

- Register to vote in your State,
- Report a change of name to your voter registration office,
- Report a change of address to your voter registration office, or
- Register with a political party.

Exceptions

Please do not use this application if you live outside the United States and its territories and have no home (legal) address in this country, *or* if you are in the military stationed away from home. Use the Federal Postcard Application available to you from military bases, American embassies, or consular offices.

New Hampshire town and city clerks will accept this application only as a request for their own absentee voter mail-in registration form.

North Dakota does not have voter registration.

Wyoming law does not permit mail registration.

How to Find Out If You Are Eligible to Register to Vote in Your State

Each State has its own laws about who may register and vote. Check the information under your State in the State Instructions. All States require that you be a United States citizen by birth or naturalization to register to vote in federal and State elections. Federal law makes it illegal to falsely claim U.S. citizenship to register to vote in any federal, State, or local election. You **cannot** be registered to vote in more than one place at a time.

How to Fill Out this Application

Use both the Application Instructions and State Instructions to guide you in filling out the application.

- First, read the Application Instructions. These instructions will give you important information that applies to everyone using this application.
- Next, find your State under the State Instructions. Use these instructions to fill out Boxes 6, 7, and refer to these instructions for information about voter eligibility and any oath required for Box 9.

When to Register to Vote

Each State has its own deadline for registering to vote. Check the deadline for your State on the last page of this booklet.

How to Submit Your Application

Mail your application to the address listed under your State in the State Instructions. Or, deliver the application in person to your local voter registration office. The States that are required to accept the national form will accept copies of the application printed from the computer image on regular paper stock, signed by the applicant, and mailed in an envelope with the correct postage.

First Time Voters Who Register by Mail

If you are registering to vote for the first time in your jurisdiction and are mailing this registration application, Federal law requires you to show proof of identification the first time you vote. Proof of identification includes:

- A current and valid photo identification or
- A current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck or government document that shows your name and address.

Voters may be exempt from this requirement if they submit a **COPY** of this identification with their mail in voter registration form. If you wish to submit a **COPY**, please keep the following in mind:

- Your state may have additional identification requirements which may mandate you show identification at the polling place even if you meet the Federal proof of identification.
- Do not submit original documents with this application, only **COPIES**.

If You Were Given this Application in a State Agency or Public Office

If you have been given this application in a State agency or public office, it is your choice to use the application. If you decide to use this application to register to vote, you can fill it out and leave it with the State agency or public office. The application will be submitted for you. Or, you can take it with you to mail to the address listed under your State in the State Instructions. You also may take it with you to deliver in person to your local voter registration office.

Note: The name and location of the State agency or public office where you received the application will remain confidential. It will not appear on your application. Also, if you decide not to use this application to register to vote, that decision will remain confidential. It will not affect the service you receive from the agency or office.

Application Instructions

Before filling out the body of the form, please answer the questions on the top of the form as to whether you are a United States citizen and whether you will be 18 years old on or before Election Day. If you answer no to either of these questions, you may not use this form to register to vote. However, state specific instructions may provide additional information on eligibility to register to vote prior to age 18.

Box 1 — Name

Put in this box your full name in this order — Last, First, Middle. Do not use nicknames or initials.
Note: If this application is for a change of name, please tell us in **Box A** (*on the bottom half of the form*) your full name before you changed it.

Box 2 — Home Address

Put in this box your home address (legal address). Do **not** put your mailing address here if it is different from your home address. Do **not** use a post office box or rural route without a box number. Refer to state-specific instructions for rules regarding use of route numbers.

Note: If you were registered before but this is the first time you are registering from the address in Box 2, please tell us in **Box B** (*on the bottom half of the form*) the address where you were registered before. Please give us as much of the address as you can remember.

Also Note: If you live in a rural area but do not have a street address, or if you have no address, please show where you live using the map in Box C (*at the bottom of the form*).

Box 3 — Mailing Address

If you get your mail at an address that is different from the address in Box 2, put your mailing address in this box. If you have no address in Box 2, you **must** write in Box 3 an address where you can be reached by mail.

Box 4 — Date of Birth

Put in this box your date of birth in this order — Month, Day, Year. *Be careful not to use today's date!*

Box 5 — Telephone Number

Most States ask for your telephone number in case there are questions about your application. However, you do not have to fill in this box.

Box 6 — ID Number

Federal law requires that states collect from each registrant an identification number. You must refer to your state's specific instructions for item 6 regarding information on what number is acceptable for your state. If you have neither a drivers license nor a social security number, please indicate this on the form and a number will be assigned to you by your state.

Box 7 — Choice of Party

In some States, you must register with a party if you want to take part in that party's primary election, caucus, or convention. To find out if your State requires this, see item 7 in the instructions under your State.

If you want to register with a party, print in the box the full name of the party of your choice.

If you do not want to register with a party, write "no party" or leave the box blank. Do not write in the word "independent" if you mean "no party," because this might be confused with the name of a political party in your State.

Note: If you do not register with a party, you can still vote in general elections and nonpartisan (nonparty) primary elections.

Box 8 — Race or Ethnic Group

A few States ask for your race or ethnic group, in order to administer the Federal Voting Rights Act. To find out if your State asks for this information, see item 8 in the instructions under your State. If so, put in Box 8 the choice that best describes you from the list below:

- American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Asian or Pacific Islander
- Black, *not* of Hispanic Origin
- Hispanic
- Multi-racial
- White, *not* of Hispanic Origin
- Other

Box 9 — Signature

Review the information in item 9 in the instructions under your State. Before you sign or make your mark, make sure that:

- (1) You meet your State's requirements, and
- (2) You understand **all** of Box 9.

Finally, sign your **full** name or make your mark, and print today's date in this order — Month, Day, Year. If the applicant is unable to sign, put in **Box D** the name, address, and telephone number (optional) of the person who helped the applicant.

Voter Registration Application

Before completing this form, review the General, Application, and State specific instructions.

Are you a citizen of the United States of America? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		This space for office use only.				
Will you be 18 years old on or before election day? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No						
If you checked "No" in response to either of these questions, do not complete form. (Please see state-specific instructions for rules regarding eligibility to register prior to age 18.)						
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms.	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jr <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> Sr <input type="checkbox"/> IV	
2	Home Address		Apt. or Lot #	City/Town	State	Zip Code
3	Address Where You Get Your Mail If Different From Above			City/Town	State	Zip Code
4	Date of Birth Month Day Year	5	Telephone Number (optional)		6 ID Number - (See item 6 in the instructions for your state)	
7	Choice of Party (see item 7 in the Instructions for your State)	8	Race or Ethnic Group (see item 8 in the Instructions for your State)			
9	I have reviewed my state's instructions and I swear/affirm that: ■ I am a United States citizen ■ I meet the eligibility requirements of my state and subscribe to any oath required. ■ The information I have provided is true to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury. If I have provided false information, I may be fined, imprisoned, or (if not a U.S. citizen) deported from or refused entry to the United States.			<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;">Please sign full name (or put mark) ▲</p> <p>Date: <div style="display: inline-block; width: 150px; border-bottom: 1px solid black; position: relative;"><div style="position: absolute; left: 0; top: -5px; width: 33%;"></div><div style="position: absolute; left: 33%; top: -5px; width: 33%;"></div><div style="position: absolute; left: 66%; top: -5px; width: 33%;"></div></div><div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; width: 150px;">MonthDayYear</div></p>		

If you are registering to vote for the first time: please refer to the application instructions for information on submitting copies of valid identification documents with this form.

Please fill out the sections below if they apply to you.

If this application is for a **change of name**, what was your name before you changed it?

A	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms.	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jr <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> Sr <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV
----------	--	-----------	------------	----------------	--

If you were **registered before** but **this is the first time you are registering from the address in Box 2**, what was your address where you were registered before?

B	Street (or route and box number)	Apt. or Lot #	City/Town/County	State	Zip Code
----------	----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------	----------

If you live in a rural area but do not have a street number, or if you have no address, please show on the map where you live.

C	■ Write in the names of the crossroads (or streets) nearest to where you live.		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 100px; position: relative;"><div style="position: absolute; top: 0; right: 0;">NORTH ↑</div></div>
	■ Draw an X to show where you live.		
	■ Use a dot to show any schools, churches, stores, or other landmarks near where you live, and write the name of the landmark.		
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Example</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">● Grocery Store Woodchuck Road</div>	
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Public School ●</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">X</div>	

If the applicant is unable to sign, who helped the applicant fill out this application? Give name, address and phone number (phone number optional).

D	
----------	--

Mail this application to the address provided for your State.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FIRST CLASS
STAMP
NECESSARY
FOR
MAILING



Voter Registration Application

Before completing this form, review the General, Application, and State specific instructions.

Are you a citizen of the United States of America? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		This space for office use only.					
Will you be 18 years old on or before election day? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No							
If you checked "No" in response to either of these questions, do not complete form. (Please see state-specific instructions for rules regarding eligibility to register prior to age 18.)							
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms.	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jr <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> Sr <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV		
2	Home Address		Apt. or Lot #	City/Town	State	Zip Code	
3	Address Where You Get Your Mail If Different From Above			City/Town	State	Zip Code	
4	Date of Birth Month Day Year		5	Telephone Number (optional)		6	ID Number - (See item 6 in the instructions for your state)
7	Choice of Party (see item 7 in the instructions for your State)		8	Race or Ethnic Group (see item 8 in the instructions for your State)			
9	I have reviewed my state's instructions and I swear/affirm that: ■ I am a United States citizen ■ I meet the eligibility requirements of my state and subscribe to any oath required. ■ The information I have provided is true to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury. If I have provided false information, I may be fined, imprisoned, or (if not a U.S. citizen) deported from or refused entry to the United States.			<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;">Please sign full name (or put mark) ▲</p> <p>Date: <div style="display: inline-block; width: 150px; border-bottom: 1px solid black; margin: 0 10px;"></div> / <div style="display: inline-block; width: 150px; border-bottom: 1px solid black; margin: 0 10px;"></div> / <div style="display: inline-block; width: 150px; border-bottom: 1px solid black; margin: 0 10px;"></div></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Month Day Year</p>			

If you are registering to vote for the first time: please refer to the application instructions for information on submitting copies of valid identification documents with this form.

Please fill out the sections below if they apply to you.

If this application is for a **change of name**, what was your name before you changed it?

A	<input type="checkbox"/> Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms.	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jr <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> Sr <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV
---	--	-----------	------------	----------------	---

If you were **registered before but this is the first time you are registering from the address in Box 2**, what was your address where you were registered before?

B	Street (or route and box number)	Apt. or Lot #	City/Town/County	State	Zip Code
---	----------------------------------	---------------	------------------	-------	----------

If you live in a rural area but do not have a street number, or if you have no address, please show on the map where you live.

C	■ Write in the names of the crossroads (or streets) nearest to where you live. ■ Draw an X to show where you live. ■ Use a dot to show any schools, churches, stores, or other landmarks near where you live, and write the name of the landmark.		<div style="text-align: right;">NORTH ↑</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 150px; width: 100%;"></div>
	Example		
	Public School ●	● Grocery Store Woodchuck Road X	

If the applicant is unable to sign, who helped the applicant fill out this application? Give name, address and phone number (phone number optional).

D	
---	--

Mail this application to the address provided for your State.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FIRST CLASS
STAMP
NECESSARY
FOR
MAILING



State Instructions

Mailing address:

Elections, Secretary of State
500 E. Capitol
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Tennessee

Updated: 05-01-2020

Registration Deadline — 30 days before the election.

6. ID Number. Your full social security number is required. Social security number, if any, is required for purposes of identification and to avoid duplicate registration (TCA 2.2.116).

7. Choice of Party. You do not have to register with a party if you want to take part in that party's primary election, caucus, or convention.

8. Race or Ethnic Group. Optional.

9. Signature. To register in Tennessee you must:

- be a citizen of the United States
- be a resident of Tennessee
- be at least 18 years old on or before the next election
- not have been convicted of a felony, but if convicted, your eligibility to register and vote depends upon the crime you were convicted of and the date of your conviction. For more information about this process, call 877-850-4959 or visit <https://sos.tn.gov/restoration>. If your conviction has been expunged, you are not considered to have a felony conviction.
- not be adjudicated incompetent by a court of competent jurisdiction (or have been restored to legal capacity)

Mailing address:

Coordinator of Elections
Tennessee Tower, Seventh Floor
312 Rosa L. Parks Ave.
Nashville, TN 37243-1102

Texas

Updated: 03-01-2006

Registration Deadline — 30 days before the election.

6. ID Number. You must provide your driver's license number to register to vote. If you do not have a driver's license then you will have to provide at least the last four digits of your social security number. If you have neither, please write "NONE" on the form. A unique identifying number will instead be assigned to you by your State.

7. Choice of Party. You do not have to register with a party if you want to take part in that party's primary election, caucus, or convention.

8. Race or Ethnic Group. Leave blank.

9. Signature. To register in Texas you must:

- be a citizen of the United States
- be a resident of the county in which the application for registration is made
- be at least 17 years and 10 months old (you must be 18 to vote)
- not be finally convicted of a felony, or if a convicted felon, you must have fully discharged your punishment, including any incarceration, parole, supervision, period of probation or be pardoned.
- have not been declared mentally incompetent by final judgment of a court of law

Mailing address:

Office of the Secretary of State
Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, TX 78711-2060

Utah

Updated: 09-19-2019

Registration Deadline —

Registration deadlines vary:

- **Mail:** registration forms must be postmarked or otherwise marked as received by the Post Office 30 days before the election.

- **In-person:** registration forms may be dropped off at the county clerk's office 7 days before the election.

- **Online:** registrations must be submitted 7 days before the election. Requires a valid Utah driver license or valid Utah ID.

- **Same-Day:** voters may register at the polls during the early voting period or on Election Day by filling out a provisional ballot.

6. ID Number. Your completed voter registration form must contain one of the following: a Utah Driver License number, a Utah State Identification number, or the last four digits of your Social Security number. If you do not have a Utah Driver License or a Utah State Identification card, please write "None" in the designated space and fill in the last four digits of your Social Security number.

7. Choice of Party. Declaring a party is not required in order to register to vote. However, Utah's election law allows each political party to choose whom it will allow to vote in its primary election. If you do not affiliate with a party,